THE CORPORATION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF TEMAGAMI
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING
AGENDA

Friday, December 15, 2017, 1:30 P.M.
Welcome Center

An audio recording of the Open Session of this meeting is being made and will be available through the Municipal Website as a public service to further enhance access to municipal government services and to continue to promote open and transparent government. As a visitor, your presence may be recorded and your name and address may be revealed during certain parts of the Council meeting.

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA
Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the combined Committee of the Whole and Regular Council Meeting Agenda dated December 15, 2017 be adopted as presented / amended.

3. DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OR PECUNIARY INTEREST AND GENERAL NATURE THEREOF

4. DELEGATIONS/ PRESENTATIONS

4.1 Registered Delegations/ Presentations
1. Service Canada Presentation
Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the presentation by Patsy Bélanger of Service Canada be received.

4.2 Unregistered Presentations (Maximum 15 Minutes in Total- in accordance with rules in By-law)

5. CLOSED SESSION
Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this Regular / Special Council meeting proceed in camera at p.m., under section 236 of the Municipal Act. 2001 as amended, in order to address matters pertaining to: (2)(b) Personal matters about identifiable individuals, including municipal or local board employees regarding administrative positions and the employment of administrative personnel; and (2)(f) The receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor/client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose regarding to contractual agreements with senior administration.

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting return to regular session at p.m.

6. MOVE TO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting move to Committee of the Whole at p.m.

7. REVIEW OF THE MINUTES

7.1 Regular Council Meeting - DRAFT Minutes - Nov 23, 2017 - revised

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Minutes of the Regular Council meeting held on November 23, 2017 be adopted as presented / amended.

7.2 Draft Minutes - Special Council Meeting Nov 23 and 24, 2017 - revised

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Minutes of the Special Council meeting held on November 23 and 24, 2017 be adopted as presented / amended.

8. BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

9. STAFF REPORTS

9.1 Memo 2017-M-111 - Surplus 2006 International Plow Truck

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Memo 2017-M-111 regarding Surplus Equipment - 2006 International Plow and Sander Truck; AND FURTHER THAT Council direct staff to proceed with Option #______.

9.2 Memo 2017-M-113 - Employee Recognition

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Memo 2017-M-113 - Employee Recognition be received for information.

9.3 Report 2017-047 - Temagami Fire Department Report Nov-17

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Report 2017-047 - Temagami Fire Department Report for November 2017 be received for information.

10. COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS

10.1 Memo 2017-M-106 - Committee of Adjustment Recommendation for New Member

Draft Motion:
WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment (COA) received a letter of resignation from a member; AND WHEREAS the Committee recommends that Council consider applicants from the recent Ad to fill the current vacancy; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Memo No. 2017-M-106 regarding the recommendation from COA; AND FURTHER THAT Council acknowledge and adopt the recommendations made by the COA; AND FURTHER THAT Council hereby appoints Gary Cline to the Committee of Adjustment.

10.2 Memo 2017-M-108 - PAC Recommendation re External Relations Committee during the Official Plan Review

Draft Motion:
WHEREAS in 2003 the TFN and TAA established an External Relations Committee, as specified in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Municipality of Temagami, for the purpose of consultation during the Official Plan (OP) process; AND WHEREAS after the Official Plan was adopted in 2004 the External Relations Committee was dissolved; AND WHEREAS at the PAC meeting held on November 22, 2017 at 1:00 pm, the committee recommended that an External Relations Committee be established for the 2017-
2018 OP Review; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT that Council receive Memo 2017-M-108; AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation from PAC and direct the MOU Committee to request that the TFN and TAA establish an External Relations Committee.

10.3 **Memo 2017-M-112 - GGF recommendations**

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Memo 2017-M-112 regarding the General Government and Finance Advisory Committee’s Recommendation regarding Website and Plow truck; AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the Committee’s recommendation to appoint an ad hoc committee to work with staff on the website project; AND FURTHER THAT ____ be appointed to the committee.

10.4 **Memo 2017-M-109 - PAC recommendation regarding Mining Location B - revised**

Draft Motion:
WHEREAS the 1997 Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) Temagami Land Use Plan zoned Mining Location B as Special Management Area (SMA); AND WHEREAS the current Official Plan mirrors the MNR Land Use Plan and the designation for the properties known as Ferguson Point is SMA; AND WHEREAS written submissions were received regarding Mining Location A, B & C in 2009 during the Official Plan Review; AND WHEREAS at the PAC meeting held on November 22, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. the committee recommended to Council to seek a legal opinion and a second opinion on the Planning Consultant’s recommendation; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT that Council receive Memo 2017-M-109; AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation from PAC and direct staff to seek a legal opinion and a second opinion on the Planning Consultant’s recommendation.

10.5 **Memo 2017-M-110 - PAC recommendation regarding Planner vs. Consultant**

Draft Motion:
WHEREAS the Municipality would be hiring a consultant for the Official Plan Review and a consultant for the Community Improvement Plan and uses a consulting firm for other planning related matters; AND WHEREAS it may be beneficial to hire a Full-time Planner on contract to accomplish these projects and mentor the Planning Assistant; AND WHEREAS the contract would be for an 18 month to 2 year position meeting the specified qualification and/or experience to facilitate an Official Plan and Zoning By-Law review, meeting the legislative requirements; AND WHEREAS at the Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting held on November 22, 2017 at 1:00 the Committee recommended to consider hiring a Planner on contract; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Memo No. 2017-M-110 regarding the proposed Planner Request for Proposal; AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation from PAC to direct and authorize staff to prepare the Request for Proposal.

11. ANNOUNCEMENTS AND VERBAL REPORTS FROM MAYOR AND COUNCILLORS

12. CORRESPONDENCE

12.1 **Cindy Salmond Letter regarding Municipality contacting Union Gas concerning Cassels Lake**

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive correspondence from Cindy Salmond dated November 21, 2017 regarding a request to follow up with Union Gas concerning service to Cassels Lake Subdivision; AND FURTHER THAT

12.2 **Anita Hanke Parking in lots in town in winter months**
Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive correspondence from Anita Hanke dated November 28, 2017 regarding Parking in lots in town in winter months; AND FURTHER THAT

12.3 Ontario Northland Expanding Bus Service for the North

12.4 MNRF Summary of Long-Term Management Direction 2019-2029 Temagami Unit Forest Plan

12.5 Ontario Provincial Police Updates from the Municipal Policing Bureau of the OPP

12.6 Town of Ignace Resolution of Support for the Provincial Flood Insurance Program

12.7 FONOM Media Release re Ontario Forestry Coalition asks Government to Support Sector

12.8 Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change Minister's Annual Report on Drinking Water 2017

12.9 Accessibility Directorate of Ontario re New Information Booklet about Ontario's Accessibility Laws

12.10 Ministry of Finance - Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund

12.11 Northern Lights Cannabis Corp Written Notice of intent to submit an application to become a licensed provider

12.12 Federation of Northern Ontario Municipalities Ontario Government increases financial support to Communities

12.13 Building Ties Temiskaming Launch of the Explore the Wonders of Winter Guide

12.14 Federation of Northern Ontario Municipalities Fall newsletter 2017

12.15 Ministry of Infrastructure Building Better Lives Ontario's Long-Term Infrastructure Plan 2017

12.16 Municipality of East Ferris Support of Resolution of the Town of Hearst concerning two plus one road program

12.17 Federation of Northern Ontario Municipalities The Canadian Stimulus Fund outreach

13. BY-LAWS

13.1 By-law 17-1379, being a by-law to ratify the disposal of property at 6710 and 6714 Highway 11 North

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT By-law 17-1379, being a by-law to ratify the disposal of property at 6710 and 6714 Highway 11 North, be taken as read a first, second and third time and finally passed this 15th day of December 2017; AND FURTHER THAT the said by-law be signed by the Mayor and Clerk and recorded in the by-law book.

13.2 By-law 17-1380 to appoint an Acting CAO

Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT By-law 17-1380, being a by-law to appoint an acting CAO, be taken as read a first, second and third time and finally passed this 15th day of December 2017; AND FURTHER THAT the said by-law be signed by the Mayor and Clerk and recorded in the by-law book.

14. APPROVED MINUTES OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS
Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the minutes of the Advisory Committees and Local Boards that have been submitted for Council’s consideration be received and listed in the minutes of this meeting.

14.1 Temiskaming Health Unit Minutes of the Regular Board Meeting for October 4, 2017 and Qrt 3 Report
14.2 Planning Advisory Committee Minutes
14.3 Minutes - PAC -October 30, 2017
14.4 Au Chateau Board Meeting Minutes October 18, 2017

15. UNFINISHED BUSINESS
15.1 Horizon 360 expenses
15.2 Resolution 17-439 re Train Station Lease to be reviewed in November

16. NEW BUSINESS

17. NOTICES OF MOTION

17.1 Motion by Councillor Harding regarding Electronic Signboard Location
Draft Motion:
WHEREAS the Economic Development Committee at its meeting of November 9th 2017 has reviewed and discussed a number of potential locations for the Municipality’s electronic signboard; AND WHEREAS that committee has determined it best to recommend to Council that the signboard be installed temporarily in an easily accessible location to offer the opportunity to test the electronics, the suitability and the effectiveness of the sign; THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT council direct staff to temporarily install the electronic signboard on the handrail on the northeast side of the Welcome Centre in order to determine its appropriateness as an informational sign.

17.2 Motion by Councillor Prefasi regarding Temagami Local Calling Area
Draft Motion:
WHEREAS the municipality of Temagami’s boundaries stretch from Marten River to the south to James Lake to the North; AND WHEREAS the Municipality of Temagami encompasses all of the telephones in area codes (237) Lake Temagami, (569) Temagami and 892 (Marten River); AND WHEREAS in 1998 the Province of Ontario combined these three areas into one municipality, the Municipality of Temagami; AND WHEREAS telecommunications among all three of these areas continue to be inexplicably assessed long distance charges; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the Municipality of Temagami direct staff to petition the CRTC, Bell, Bell Alliant, Ontera, the Province of Ontario, the Government of Canada and any other agencies involved in telecommunication oversight to make telephone calls among these three exchanges “local” calling by removing the long distance charges as they are all within the boundaries of the Municipality; AND FURTHER THAT as North Bay and Temiskaming Shores are the two closest communities to Temagami and are heavily relied upon by residents of Temagami for all of their commercial activities, very notably banking, groceries and hospitals; THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that these agencies be petitioned to also include North Bay and Temiskaming Shores in Temagami’s local calling area.

17.3 Motion by Councillor Prefasi regarding an Ad Hoc Committee to deal with OMB matters
Draft Motion:
WHEREAS the Municipality of Temagami is facing a hearing and/or mediation at the Ontario Municipal Board due to an appeal from the TFN relating to a severance decision on two island locations; AND WHEREAS the thrust of the TFN’s appeal rests on a gravesite being discovered on an island on Lake Temagami and on a concern that the Municipality has not properly consulted the mapping in the Municipality’s possession showing whether these two islands depict a moderate to high potential for heritage and cultural sensitivity; AND WHEREAS the Municipality has consulted the mapping in its possession and has not found evidence indicating a cultural or heritage sensitivity on the sites in question; THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Council direct staff to formally request that the TFN share the maps which they may have access to which relate to the cultural or heritage sensitivity of these sites in order that we have the tools to deal effectively with the TFN’s concerns; and, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council direct that staff formally request information from the owners of the property as to the results of their voluntary archaeological assessment on the sites; AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that in the absence of information being provided by either the TFN or by the owners of the property, that Council direct staff to make a motion to the Ontario Municipal Board that the TFN provide the mapping upon which they rely in their claim and that the property owners divulge the stage which the Archaeological Assessment that they volunteered to do has reached; AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council appoint an Ad Hoc Committee composed of the Chairs of PAC and the Committee of Adjustment, the Mayor (or the Mayor’s designate) and the Clerk to review the situation, to deal with this OMB Hearing proactively before the Municipality is engulfed in process and procedures which will not present the opportunity to speak to these issues in advance of a hearing, and to take proactive and appropriate actions and inform Council on a regular basis as to the progress of the OMB process as we move forward; AND THAT the Municipality’s lawyer who is charged with defending the Municipality’s position regarding this appeal be invited to meet with Council in January in a question and answer period.

18. RETURN TO REGULAR SESSION
Draft Motion:
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting return to regular session at p.m.

19. CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS

20. ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED SEPARATELY FROM CONSENT AGENDA

21. CONFIRMATION BY-LAW

22. ADJOURNMENT
THE CORPORATION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF TEMAGAMI
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING
MINUTES

Thursday, November 23, 2017, 6:30 P.M.
Welcome Center

ABSENT: L. Hunter (with notice)
STAFF: P. Cormier, E. Gunnell, B. Turcotte
GUESTS: P. Ouimette and L. Imhoff from NEOnet.

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL
Deputy Mayor Koski called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. and called the roll. There was one person in the audience.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA
17-693
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: C. Lowery
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Regular Council Meeting Agenda dated November 23, 2017 be adopted as presented / amended.
CARRIED

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OR PECUNIARY INTEREST AND GENERAL NATURE THEREOF
Councillor C. Lowery declared on item 15.1 regarding the Lake Temagami Access Point, as her husband is a shareholder in Temagami Barge.

CLOSED SESSION
17-694
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this Regular Council meeting proceed in camera at 6:32 p.m., under section 236 of the Municipal Act. 2001 as amended, in order to address matters pertaining to subsections: (2)(d) Labour relations or employee regarding hiring for the Treasurer position; and (2)(f) The receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor/client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose regarding a legal opinion on an appeal to the OMB.
CARRIED

17-695
MOVED BY: D. Burrows
SECONDED BY: R. Prefasi
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting return to regular session at 7:02 p.m.
CARRIED
Upon return to open session, Chair Brian Koski reported that Council had gone into closed session as authorized and had given direction regarding hiring a planner to represent us at an OMB appeal, and regarding contacting the TFN regarding obtaining more information related to archeological potential.

Upon returning to open session, there were 4 people in the audience.

ADOPTION OF MINUTES
Draft Minutes of the Committee of the Whole Meeting held on November 14, 2017
17-686
MOVED BY: D. Burrows
SECONDED BY: C. Lowery
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Minutes of the Committee of the Whole meeting held on November 14, 2017 be adopted as presented / amended.
CARRIED

Draft Minutes of the Special Council Meeting held on November 14, 2017 at 3:00 pm
17-697
MOVED BY: J. Harding
SECONDED BY: D. O'Mara
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Minutes of the Special Council Meeting held on November 14, 2017 be adopted as presented / amended.
CARRIED

BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES
None.

DELEGATIONS/PRESENTATIONS
Registered Delegations/Presentations
Paul Ouimette and Laura Imhoff of NEOne re: Update on NEOnet Initiatives
Paul Ouimette and Laura Imhoff of NEOnet gave a presentation regarding NEOnet initiatives. Paul explained that NEOnet is a not-for-profit entity that works with the federal and provincial governments and providers, such as Xplornet, to bridge the gap in unserved and underserved areas. He gave a presentation that explained their work and progress on various initiative including cellular, broadband, fiber optic, etc. He explained the infrastructure progress at this point and expected progress in 2018. Laura spoke about NEOnet's economic development initiatives for helping local businesses with their technology and explained about BEAM ebusiness solutions. She also spoke about their education initiatives, such as teaching seniors to use technology such as hand held devices like tablets and cell phones. She spoke about how improved technology in the area will allow tourism businesses to increase their offerings to more than just hunting and fishing, to business conferences, etc. They answered questions from Council and the following motions were passed:
17-698
MOVED BY: C. Lowery
SECONDED BY: D. O'Mara
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Presentation by Paul Ouimette and Laura Imhoff of NEOne regarding Update on NEOnet be received.

AMENDED

**Amendment:**
17-699
MOVED BY: D. O’Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the motion be amended to add AND FURTHER THAT Xplornet be asked to provide us with updates when appropriate with plans for broadband service for the Temagami area.
CARRIED

17-698 (As Amended)
MOVED BY: C. Lowery
SECONDED BY: D. O’Mara
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Presentation by Paul Ouimette and Laura Imhoff of NEOnet regarding Update on NEOnet be received; AND FURTHER THAT Xplornet be asked to provide us with updates when appropriate with plans for broadband service for the Temagami area.
CARRIED

Unregistered Presentations (Maximum 15 Minutes in Total - per Procedure By-law)
None.

**CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS**
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O’Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council adopt the consent agenda motions as presented on the agenda.
CARRIED

**Report 2017-045 - Marten River Fire Department October 2017**
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O’Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To receive for information.
CARRIED

**Temagami Lakes Association Request re Invasive Species Awareness Billboard**
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O’Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To receive the correspondence and send a letter to thank the TLA for doing this and inform them that Council would prefer that they put the sign somewhere on the Lake Temagami Access Road rather than at a specific landing.
CARRIED
Nimkie Mining offer to donate Mining Display Items
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To receive the correspondence and send a letter to Randy Becker to thank him very much for the donation, to accept it, and to explain that we would like to store it until we can find a proper place and manner to display it, and that Council will commit to deciding where to display it within the next 6 months.
CARRIED

Ministry of Transportation Update on Temagami Corridor Snow Maintenance Programs
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To receive the correspondence and to send a letter to MTO to express Council's concern over the poor road conditions during the recent snowstorm despite using this liquid.
CARRIED

Association of Municipalities of Ontario Province Releases New Action Plan for Seniors
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To receive the correspondence and refer it to the MOU Committee.
CARRIED

Min of Finance Framework to Govern Use & Retail Distribution of Non-Medical Cannabis
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To receive the correspondence and to send a letter to ask the Ministry to consider putting a cannabis store in Temagami.
CARRIED

DRAFT Minutes of Special Council Meeting held October 23, 2017
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To adopt the minutes as presented.
CARRIED

Draft Minutes of the Special Council Meeting held October 26, 2017
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To adopt the minutes as presented.
CARRIED
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To adopt the minutes as presented.
CARRIED

DRAFT Minutes of the Special Council Meeting held October 31, 2017
17-700
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
To adopt the minutes as presented.
CARRIED

STAFF REPORTS
Items to be Considered Separately from Consent Agenda:
Report 2017-044 - Property Request re 41 Goward Ave.
17-701
MOVED BY: D. Burrows
SECONDED BY: D. O'Mara
WHEREAS the Municipality of Temagami has received a letter from Mr. Black inquiring about purchasing two lots abutting his property; AND WHEREAS at the regular Council meeting held on October 26, 2017 Council directed staff to prepare a report including information on whether lots merge on title in this plan of subdivision; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Report No. 2017-044 regarding the information on whether lots merge on title in Reference Plans; AND FURTHER THAT Council direct staff to notify Mr. Black that Council is not interested in selling these properties at this time.
CARRIED

17-702
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: D. O'Mara
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the motion be amended to remove the words "is not interested in selling these properties" and replace them with "is interested in selling only one of these properties" and add "at a price to be determined."
CARRIED

17-701 as amended
MOVED BY: D. Burrows
SECONDED BY: D. O'Mara
WHEREAS the Municipality of Temagami has received a letter from Mr. Black inquiring about purchasing two lots abutting his property; AND WHEREAS at the regular Council meeting held on October 26, 2017 Council directed staff to prepare a report including information on whether lots merge on title in this plan of subdivision; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Report No. 2017-044 regarding the information on whether lots merge on title in
Reference Plans; AND FURTHER THAT Council direct staff to notify Mr. Black that Council is interested in selling only one of these properties at this time at a price to be determined. CARRIED

Report 2017-046 Temagami Fire Department Report for Oct 2017 17-703
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Report 2017-046 be received for information. CARRIED

COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS
Items to be Considered Separately from Consent Agenda:
Memo 2017-M-103 - PAC re Second Units 17-704
MOVED BY: D. Burrows
SECONDED BY: R. Prefasi
WHEREAS the Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) passed resolution 17-25 at their October 30, 2017 meeting, which PAC recommends to Council to initiate a housekeeping amendment to the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law to incorporate the existing legislation dealing with second units; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT that Council receive Memo 2017-M-103. AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation of the PAC and direct Staff to commence an Official Plan Amendment application.

Councillor Lowery requested a recorded vote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recorded</th>
<th>YEAS</th>
<th>NAYS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. Burrows</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Harding</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Koski</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Lowery</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. O'Mara</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Prefasi</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND VERBAL REPORTS FROM MAYOR AND COUNCILLORS
• Councillor C. Lowery reported on the open house at the child care centre located at Temagami Public School that she and Councillor R. Prefasi had attended on the past Saturday. She reported that there is an article on it in this week's Temiskaming Speaker.
• Councillor R. Prefasi added to Councillor Lowery's report that there are an additional 5 children that will be entering the pre-kindergarten next year at the Temagami Public School.
CORRESPONDENCE
Items to be Considered Separately from Consent Agenda:

Township of Montague and supporting Municipalities Resolutions regarding Bill 148 and Additional Information on Bill 148 [MMA letter to AMO Nov 2017]
Council was informed that the Bill has now been passed, with amendments as requested by AMO, so no motion was made on this item.

Temagami Police Services Board Request to Maintain Temagami’s Own Board
17-705
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: C. Lowery
Whereas the continuance of a Section 10 Police Services Board is a priority to the Municipality of Temagami; and
Whereas the Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services has advised the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards that it will be introducing a new/revised Police Services Act in the Provincial Legislature during the 2017 Fall Session; and
Whereas it is anticipated that the new Police Services Act may recommend the establishment of one (1) Police Services Board per OPP Detachment; and
Whereas the Temiskaming Detachment of the Ontario Provincial Police services 17 municipalities, each with its own unique policing issues and circumstances; and
Whereas the Municipality of Temagami has a major component of seasonal residents, along with a large inland waterway for policing as well as the Trans-Canada Highway (Hwy 11) runs from one end to the other end of the entire municipality and a vast forested area serviced by the Temiskaming Ontario Provincial Police Detachment; and
Whereas the Temagami Police Services Board believes it is extremely important to maintain its own Police Services Board in order to maintain the excellent Specialized Policing currently being administered to its very unique community policing issues.
Now therefore be it resolved that the Council of the Municipality of Temagami hereby petitions the Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services to ensure that the Municipality of Temagami will be able to maintain its own Police Services Board under the provisions of the new/revised Police Services Act and not be required to participate in an amalgamated Board.
AMENDED

Amendment:
17-706
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: D. O'Mara
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the motion be amended to remove the words "and not be required to participate in an amalgamated Board".
CARRIED

17-705 (As Amended)
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: C. Lowery
Whereas the continuance of a Section 10 Police Services Board is a priority to the Municipality of Temagami; and
Whereas the Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services has advised the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards that it will be introducing a new/revised Police Services Act in the Provincial Legislature during the 2017 Fall Session; and
Whereas it is anticipated that the new Police Services Act may recommend the establishment of one (1) Police Services Board per OPP Detachment; and
Whereas the Temiskaming Detachment of the Ontario Provincial Police services 17 municipalities, each with its own unique policing issues and circumstances; and
Whereas the Municipality of Temagami has a major component of seasonal residents, along with a large inland waterway for policing as well as the Trans-Canada Highway (Hwy 11) runs from one end to the other end of the entire municipality and a vast forested area serviced by the Temiskaming Ontario Provincial Police Detachment; and
Whereas the Temagami Police Services Board believes it is extremely important to maintain its own Police Services Board in order to maintain the excellent Specialized Policing currently being administered to its very unique community policing issues.
Now therefore be it resolved that the Council of the Municipality of Temagami hereby petitions the Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services to ensure that the Municipality of Temagami will be able to maintain its own Police Services Board under the provisions of the new/revised Police Services Act.

Councillor D. Burrows requested a recorded vote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recorded</th>
<th>YEAS</th>
<th>NAYS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D. Burrows</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Harding</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Koski</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Lowery</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. O'Mara</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Prefasi</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results</strong></td>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

**Tillsonburg and East Zorra-Tavistock in Support of Oxford People Against Landfill.**
17-707
MOVED BY: D. Burrows
SECONDED BY: R. Prefasi
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive the correspondence from Tillsonburg and East Zorra-Tavistock in Support of Oxford People Against Landfill; AND FURTHER THAT the Municipality of Temagami send a letter of support.
CARRIED

**BY-LAWS**
None.
APPROVED MINUTES OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS
17-708
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the minutes of committees and local boards that have been submitted for Council's consideration be received and listed in the minutes of this meeting.
CARRIED
The minutes received were:
• DRAFT Minutes of the General Government and Finance Advisory Committee Meeting held on November 13, 2017
• Minutes of the Public Works and Water Advisory Committee meeting held October 3, and Draft Minutes of November 14, 2017
• Temagami Police Services Board Minutes September 13, 2017

Council Members to Receive $500 toward Computers for New Meeting Software
17-709
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
WHEREAS the Municipality has adopted electronic meeting agenda software; AND WHEREAS the escribe software requires that council members have a personal computer; THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT each member of council, once during each term of council, receive the sum of $500 toward the purchase or upgrade of computers.
CARRIED

UNFINISHED BUSINESS
Memo 2017 M 098 - Lake Temagami Access Point Upgrades
Re: Motions 17-651 and 17-652 deferred from the October 26 regular meeting:
17-710
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
BE IT RESOLVED that Council receive memo 2017-M-098; AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation of the Public Works & Water Advisory Committee that Council direct the CAO to designate a staff member to lead the Lake Temagami Access Point upgrades.
AMENDED

Amendment:
17-711
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the motion be amended to add: "AND FURTHER THAT Council direct that Barry Turcotte be the designated staff member.
CARRIED

17-710 (As Amended)
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
BE IT RESOLVED that Council receive memo 2017-M-098; AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation of the Public Works & Water Advisory Committee that Council direct the CAO to designate a staff member to lead the Lake Temagami Access Point upgrades; AND FURTHER THAT Council direct that Barry Turcotte be the designated staff member. CARRIED

NEW BUSINESS
None.

NOTICES OF MOTION

Motion by Councillor Burrows regarding Education for Council re the TFN Land Code 17-712
MOVED BY: D. Burrows
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
Be it resolved that the Council of the Municipality of Temagami send a letter to the Temagami First Nation to request that David Laronde attend a special council meeting in Temagami to educate Council regarding the Land Code; and further that the Council of the Municipality of Temagami be kept informed of any changes concerning the Land Code and the effects it may have on our citizens. CARRIED
Council gave direction to staff to arrange the meeting.

Motion by Councillor O'Mara regarding Old Docks at Breakwall 17-713
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: R. Prefasi
Be it resolved that Council approve the recommendation from the LTAPP Committee to install 4 of the older docks on the new Contractor Break-wall to be used as a loading /drop off area and to provide residents living on the Lake an area to get off the ice during break up. CARRIED

Motion by Councillor Prefasi regarding Recreation Staff 17-714
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
WHEREAS the arena attendant/public works position which was vacant has now been filled and consists of a part time position; AND WHEREAS the vacant position of full time Recreation Coordinator/Facility Operator has not yet been filled; BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council revise the direction previously given regarding these positions and direct that the part time Public Works Equipment Operator/Arena Attendant position be designated as full time; AND THAT the position of Facility Operator/Recreation Coordinator not be filled at this time; AND THAT the Facility Operator/Recreation Coordinator position be reviewed by Parks and Recreation and General Government and Finance during the early part of 2018.
AMENDED
Amendment:
17-715
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: C. Lowery
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the motion be amended to add that the full time status be temporary to be reviewed in September.
CARRIED

17-714 (As Amended)
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
WHEREAS the arena attendant/public works position which was vacant has now been filled and consists of a part time position; AND WHEREAS the vacant position of full time Recreation Coordinator/Facility Operator has not yet been filled; BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council revise the direction previously given regarding these positions and direct that the part time Public Works Equipment Operator/Arena Attendant position be designated as full time; AND THAT the position of Facility Operator/Recreation Coordinator not be filled at this time; AND THAT the Facility Operator/Recreation Coordinator position be reviewed by Parks and Recreation and General Government and Finance during the early part of 2018; and further that the full time status be temporary to be reviewed in September.
CARRIED

CONFIRMATION BY-LAW
17-716
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: D. O'Mara
BE IT RESOLVED THAT By-law 17-1378, being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of The Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami, be taken as read a first, second and third time and finally passed this 23rd day of November 2017; AND FURTHER THAT the said by-law be signed by the Mayor and Clerk and recorded in the by-law book.
CARRIED

ADJOURNMENT
17-717
MOVED BY: J. Harding
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting adjourn at 9:15 p.m.
CARRIED
THE CORPORATION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF TEMAGAMI

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING

DRAFT MINUTES

Thursday, November 23, 2017, 3:00 P.M.
Friday, November 24, 2017, 9:00 A.M.
Welcome Center

ABSENT: L. Hunter (with notice)
STAFF: P. Cormier, E. Gunnell

The Purpose of this Special Meeting is for Council to discuss the senior office management positions and to possibly give direction as to these roles.

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Deputy Mayor Koski called the meeting to order at 3:05 p.m. There were no people in the audience.

The external Clerk who was expected to take minutes for this closed session was unable to be present. The following motion was passed:
17-691
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: D. O’Mara
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting recess for 30 minutes.
CARRIED

The meeting was reconvened at 3:37 p.m. As an alternate external clerk had not been arranged, the Chair announced that the meeting was going to be recessed until 9:00 a.m. on the following day, Friday, November 24, 2017. The following motion was passed:
17-692
MOVED BY: D. Burrows
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE meeting be recessed until 9:00 a.m. November 24th.
CARRIED

The meeting was adjourned at 3:40 until the following day.

CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL - SECOND SESSION - November 24 at 9:00

Deputy Mayor Koski called the meeting to order at 9:12 a.m. and called the roll. There were no people in the audience.
ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

17-718
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Special Council Meeting Agenda dated November 23, 2017 at 3:00 p.m. be adopted as presented.
CARRIED

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OR PECUNIARY INTEREST AND GENERAL NATURE THEREOF

None.

CLOSED SESSION

17-719
MOVED BY: J. Harding
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this Special Council meeting proceed in camera at 9:17 p.m., under section 236 of the Municipal Act. 2001 as amended, in order to address matters pertaining to subsections: (2)(b) Personal matters about an identifiable individuals, including municipal or local board employees and (2)(d) Labour relations or employee negotiations regarding the senior management positions in the Municipality.
CARRIED

17-720
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting return to regular session at 10:22 a.m.
CARRIED

UNFINISHED BUSINESS (Arising from Closed Session if required)

Upon return to Open Session, Deputy Mayor Koski reported that Council had gone into closed session and would be making motions regarding giving direction to the Deputy Mayor regarding obtaining legal advice, regarding changing the dates and times of the December council meetings, and giving direction regarding hiring for the Accounting Clerk Position. The following motions were passed:

To Retain Legal Counsel

17-721
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: D. O'Mara
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council direct the Deputy Mayor to retain legal counsel for advice regarding management contracts.
CARRIED
To Reschedule Meetings

17-722
MOVED BY: C. Lowery
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Regular Council Meeting be rescheduled from December 14, 2017 to December 15, 2017 at 1:00 p.m.
CARRIED

17-723
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: D. Burrows
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Capital Budget Meeting be rescheduled from December 14, 2017 to a date as yet to be determined in January 2018.
CARRIED

To Advertise the Accounting Clerk Vacancy

17-724
MOVED BY: R. Prefasi
SECONDED BY: C. Lowery
BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council direct staff to advertise a position for Accounts Payable/Accounts Receivable/Payroll Clerk immediately.
CARRIED

ADJOURNMENT

17-725
MOVED BY: D. O'Mara
SECONDED BY: J. Harding
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting adjourn at 10:40 a.m.
CARRIED

_________________________
Mayor

_________________________
Clerk
Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami

Memorandum to Council

Subject: Surplus Equipment - 2006 International Plow and Sander Truck

Agenda Date: December 15, 2017

Attachments: N/A

RECOMMENDATION

This memorandum is to recommend that Council consider the following motion:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Memo 2017-M-111 regarding Surplus Equipment - 2006 International Plow and Sander Truck; AND FURTHER THAT Council direct staff to proceed with Option #______.

INFORMATION

Public works would like to inform Council that in 2016 our 2006 International Plow and Sander unit was taken out of service due to mechanical issues. Since that time we have purchased a New Plow and Sander unit and would like to surplus the old unit.

Recently our Fire Chief has surplused the old fire truck through Government Deals and was successful at getting a buyer.

Public Works understands that the policy says that we must surplus this piece of equipment and our thoughts are to put it on Government. Deals at a price of $10,000 dollars (reserve bid) as is where is and see what responses we receive (if any). WE will be selling this unit with Plow and wing complete. If for some reason we do not get a good bid then we could lower the price and separate the package. (Keep the plow and wing for spares for our other trucks).

Public Works has been approached by Temagami First Nation if we would consider selling them this Unit complete with the plow and wing without going through our process. Public Works replied back that this type of procedure has to go to Council for direction.

We received an email from Temagami First Nation to consider selling the unit to them offering above the asking price, pending an inspection.

There are a couple of ways that Council can look at this:

Option 1: That Council follow the policy and puts it on Government Deals, at starting bid of $10,000 dollars (if we get a bid at that price, or higher). Extra fees also apply when placing items on Government Deals.

Option 2: Sell to Temagami First Nations with them knowing the mechanical issues with the Plow Truck. As is, where is, at their offering price?

Prepared by: Barry Turcotte  Public Works Superintendent

Reviewed by:
RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive Memo 2017-M-113 regarding Employee Recognition for information.

INFORMATION

Council budgets each year for “Staff Recognition” for things such as the student’s barbecue at the end of the summer, Christmas bonuses and the annual Christmas Party. Generally, each year a memo has been sent to Council in October or early November to get authorization for the Christmas Party in early December. Due to unusual circumstances this year, there was no request submitted to Council and consequently no Christmas Party was authorized or planned.

Additionally, in recent years, Christmas bonuses have been given to full-time staff in lieu of a turkey and boxes of chocolates to part-time staff. Whenever a grocery store was open in town at this time of year, the bonuses were given in the form of a $35.00 gift voucher for the grocery store, but when there has not been, it has been given in the form of a cheque.

Furthermore, it has been recently brought to my attention by several staff that our Employment Policy Manual has a section on Length of Service Awards (see attached), but this has not been done on a regular basis. A few years ago, small pins were given to employees who had reached 10 or subsequent 5 year intervals, but apparently nothing else has been done in this regard. Currently the following have ten or more years of continuous service with the Municipality: three Public Works employees (one of whom is going to reach 30 years in January 2018); two office employees; and six ambulance employees (two full-time and 4 part-time or casual). Two more Public Works employees will be reaching 10 years in 2018.

At the present time, $113.40 of the $3,300 budgeted for staff recognition in 2017 has been spent. The traditional Christmas bonuses usually amount to between $600 and $700. Council may wish to give direction regarding the balance.

Prepared by:

Elaine Gunnell, Municipal Clerk
Temagami Fire Department
Monthly Report of Activities
for the month of: November 2017

4 Activations
- 4:40 PM, November 14th – fire associated with natural gas, extinguished by resident, Fire department requested to attend – attended scene, confirmed gas meter turned off, checked for fire extension, released by Union gas on arrival - 3 firefighters responded
- 12:07PM, November 15th, - Duel dispatch for MVC at 352 Marten Lake Rd, 4 firefighters responded – cancelled enroute
- 11:36AM, November 16th, - arcing/fire at base of hydro pole, wires down - Lake Temagami access Rd – OPP controlling traffic, FD verified live wires, advised OPP to keep traffic closed/wait for Hydro, FD not required – 3 firefighters responded
- 7:56 AM, November 28th – tire fire in dump truck box – parked at Train station; assistance of Public Works back-hoe required – moved truck to parking lot on O’Connor, emptied dump box, extinguished burning tires – 9 firefighters responded

Training:
- 4 regular meetings,
- Driver training / safety performance evaluation sessions being conducted with firefighters (ongoing)

Fire Prevention:
- Several Fire Safety Plans in various stages of review
- Respond to 2 inquiries regarding Fire code compliance (commercial occupancies)

Fire Education:
- Regular radio “fire safety” announcements on CJTT
- Monthly community newsletter - Fire Safety messages

Other:
- Capital & Operating Budget proposals for 2018 submitted
- Roof repairs completed – leaks appear to be addressed; contractor indicated roofing screws in very poor shape, recommends repairs needed next year.
- 2017 Pumper – working with company on some issues with the new truck; cab heat issue discovered during country Christmas
- Chief attended Emergency Management Program Committee meeting

Jim Sanderson
Fire Chief, Temagami Fire Department

Date: December 6, 2017
RECOMMENDATION
This memorandum is to recommend that Council consider the following motion:

WHEREAS the Committee of Adjustment (COA) received a letter of resignation from a member;
AND WHEREAS the Committee recommends that Council consider applicants from the recent Ad to fill the current vacancy;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Memo No. 2017-M-106 regarding the recommendation from COA;
AND FURTHER THAT Council acknowledge and adopt the recommendations made by the COA;
AND FURTHER THAT Council hereby appoints Gary Cline to the Committee of Adjustment.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION
The COA received a letter of resignation from one of its members. The COA can have a minimum of 3 or up to a maximum of 9 members as per By-Law 11-1020. At the November 30, 207 meeting the COA discussed that from the previous advertisement they still had two remaining expressions of interest. The consensus of the Committee members was to recommend to Council to appoint one of the previous applicants, rather than re-advertise. The Committee recommended that Council appoint Mr. Gary Cline, and passed the following motion:

17-52
MOVED BY: B. Leudke
SECONDED BY: C. Dwyer
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Committee of Adjustment has received the resignation of John Kenrick effective September 19, 2017;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Committee recommends that Council consider applicants from the recent Ad to fill the current vacancy;
AND FURTHER THAT the Committee recommends Gary Cline to be appointed to the Committee.
CARRIED

If Council deems it desirable to maintain the maximum of 9 members as per By-law 11-1020, this would bring it back to 9 members.

Prepared by: Tammy Lepage, Planning Assistant
Reviewed and approved for Council consideration by: Elaine Gunnell, Municipal Clerk
Name, Position Name, Position

On behalf of the Committee of Adjustment
Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami

Memorandum to Council

Subject: 2017 Pac Recommendation External Relations Committee during the Official Plan Review.

Agenda Date: December 15, 2017

Attachments:
- Statement Regarding Aboriginal Consultation

RECOMMENDATION

This memorandum is to recommend that Council consider the following motion:
WHEREAS in 2003 the TFN and TAA established an External Relations Committee, as specified in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the Municipality of Temagami, for the purpose of consultation during the Official Plan (OP) process; AND WHEREAS after the Official Plan was adopted in 2004 the External Relations Committee was dissolved; AND WHEREAS at the PAC meeting held on November 22, 2017 at 1:00 pm, the committee recommended that an External Relations Committee be established for the 2017-2018 OP Review; NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT that Council receive Memo 2017-M-108; AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation from PAC and direct the MOU Committee to request that the TFN and TAA establish an External Relations Committee.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In January 2003, the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was agreed upon and executed between the Municipality of Temagami, Temagami First Nations (TFN) and the Teme-Augama Anishnabai (TAA). In 2003 the Municipality was in the process of creating its first Official Plan (OP) for the newly amalgamated Municipality. In the MOU, Sections 11 through 13, address consultation on the DRAFT OP during different stages of the review, so the External Relations Committee was formed.

An External Relations Committee was not formed for the 2009 OP review. The attached statement was submitted to the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and was considered by the Ministry to be an insufficient amount of consultation with the First Nations community. This caused the Province to delay their approval of the OP amendment until additional consultation had taken place and the concerns addressed.

At the PAC meeting held on November 22, 2017 at 1:00 the Committee discussed that re-establishing an External Relations Committee would help to avoid delays and follow the proper protocols set by the Province. As the Municipality of Temagami will be commencing an Official Plan review, due diligence on behalf of the Municipality would be to ensure suitable and effective consultation to address the concerns of the First Nations Community. The lands on the first nations reserve do not fall within the OP; however, we have a duty to consult under provincial law.

The consensus of the Committee is to recommend to Council, if Council deems it desirable, to adopt this consultation process for the 2017-2018 OP review and to request through the MOU Committee that the TFN and TAA establish an External Relations Committee to review the Draft OP at agreed upon stages in the process and provide comments and concerns to PAC.

Prepared by: Tammy Lepage, Planning Assistant

Reviewed and approved for Council consideration by: Elaine Gunnell, Municipal Clerk

On behalf of the Planning Advisory Committee
Municipality of Temagami Official Plan Review

Statement Regarding Aboriginal Consultation

Temagami First Nation and Tema-Augama Anishnabai Consultation
Throughout the Official Plan Review process, which commenced in January 2009, the Municipality was conscious of the need to consult with our aboriginal population, the Temagami First Nation (TFN) and the Teme-Augama Anishnabai (TAA). Bear Island, a first nations’ reserve, is located within our municipal boundaries and the Municipality has long had a good working relationship with the community of Bear Island. The plan itself does state that the Municipality’s Official plan does not apply to land which is part of a first nations reserve, however, lands that are currently covered by the Official Plan do include lands that are part of an aboriginal land claim.

The TFN and the TAA were given notice of the commencement of the process in December 2008 and on December 29 we contacted the Band Manager directly by email in order to set up a meeting with the Band Councils in January 2009. A meeting date was finally set for April 8, 2009, but was subsequently cancelled due to political issues on Bear Island. The political climate was unsettled for over a year and during that time the Municipality continued to circulate information regarding the draft Official Plan but was unable to schedule a meeting.

A meeting between the Municipality of Temagami and the TFN and TAA to discuss the Municipality’s draft Official Plan was finally held on Bear Island on January 13, 2011. Additionally a Tri-Council meeting was held on Bear Island on June 28, 2011 and the Municipality’s Official Plan review was one of the items on the agenda. During that meeting the TFN and TAA Chiefs were presented with bound copies of the “final” draft of the Official Plan prepared in anticipation of the final statutory public meeting scheduled for July 14, 2011. Both aboriginal councils were encouraged to review and comment on the draft. No written comments have been received to-date.

Metis Community Consultation
At the commencement of the Official Plan Review Process in 2009, the Municipality was not aware of any Métis in the area, with whom we should consult regarding the plan. On April 11, 2011, correspondence was received from the Métis Nation of Ontario in Ottawa, regarding duty to consult with them. Since that time, we have included the Métis Nation in all circulations of information regarding the Official Plan, but the Municipality has not received any comments from them.

Elaine Gunnell
Municipal Clerk
RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive Memo 2017-M-112 regarding the General Government and Finance Advisory Committee’s Recommendation regarding Website and Plowtruck; AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the Committee’s recommendation to appoint an ad hoc committee to work with staff on the website project; AND FURTHER THAT ____ be appointed to the committee.

INFORMATION

An RFP was issued earlier this year for a new Website Design & Implementation. A total of 17 proposals were received. Staff analyzed the proposals according to the rating criteria and brought the top 5 to the General Government and Finance Advisory Committee for review and comment. Staff recommended that West (Civic Live) be chosen as the successful proposal, as it was well within the budgeted amount and met all of the desired criteria. The General Government and Finance Advisory Committee concurred with this recommendation. The committee recommended that an Ad Hoc Committee be set up to work with staff on the website project, and in particular to give feedback from a website user’s point of view at the design stage.

The General Government and Finance Advisory Committee also discussed the memo from Public Works regarding the disposal of the old plow truck – also on this agenda. The GGF Committee recommends that Council consider making an exception to the policy and selling this truck to the Temagami First Nation for the price they are offering. Their offer is above the reserved price that would be put on the govdeals website and there is no assurance that posting it on that site would bring more than the reserved bid; it would not incur the govdeals commission; and because of our good relationship with our First Nation neighbours. The motion regarding this decision is associated with the public works memo.

Prepared by:        Reviewed by:

Elaine Gunnell, Municipal Clerk    R. Prefasi, GGF Chair
On behalf of the General Government and Finance Advisory Committee
This memorandum is to recommend that Council consider the following motions:
WHEREAS the 1997 Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) Temagami Land Use Plan zoned Mining Location B as Special Management Area (SMA);
AND WHEREAS the current Official Plan mirrors the MNR Land Use Plan and the designation for the properties known as Ferguson Point is SMA;
AND WHEREAS written submissions were received regarding Mining Location A, B & C in 2009 during the Official Plan Review;
AND WHEREAS at the PAC meeting held on November 22, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. the committee recommended to Council to seek a legal opinion and a second opinion on the Planning Consultant’s recommendation;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT that Council receive Memo 2017-M-109;
AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation from PAC and direct staff to seek a legal opinion and a second opinion on the Planning Consultant’s recommendation.

INFORMATION
At the Planning Advisory Meeting held on November 22, 2017 at 1:00 p.m., discussion took place regarding Mining Location B (also known as Ferguson Point), if the duty to consult was complied with during the time of the Official Plan review. M. Cummings, Chief Building Official gave a bit of history as to the consultation process during the Zoning By-law implementation and informed the Committee that according to the mailing list, the property owners were notified of the zoning of the property as being zoned Special Management Area. The publication of the draft Zoning By-law resembled a newspaper similar to the Temagami Times and at that time the mailing list was from the Tax roll mailing listing. He further informed the Committee that he was certain that the mainland development originated from the Ministry of Natural Resources Land Use Plan.

During the Official Plan Review in 2009 written submissions were received by Barbara Boyssen Bruce, and Mr. O’Shea noting that along with Ferguson Point, Ferguson Island and Ferguson Mountain these parcels are the oldest privately owned patented parcels of land on Lake Temagami. Mr. O’Shea notes these parcels of land had been zoned incorrectly, and should have been zoned R1 (Lake Temagami).

In following the MNR (now known as MNRF) Land Use Comprehensive Planning Area, the specific area in question was zoned as a Special Management Area and so the Official Plan mirrored this designation.

The current Official Plan has policies in place for no mainland development on Lake Temagami, with the exception of lots that are located by the Town of Temagami and are able to be serviced by the Town’s sewage system.
The Committee discussed the possibility of doing an OP amendment for Mining Location B, or address the matter during the OP review. Further discussion took place whether or not buildings that were located on the property, which have only remanence now, have any relevance to the zoning. Further discussion took place regarding the correspondence received from Planning Consultant Jamie Robinson. Jamie’s recommendation was that the owners would need to apply for an OP Amendment and ZBL Amendment. The consensus of the Committee was to obtain a second opinion, and to recommend to Council the following items:

1. To seek a legal opinion on whether the status of the buildings has any bearing on the decision.
2. To seek another opinion from a professional Planner regarding the above property.

Prepared by: Tammy Lepage, Planning Assistant
Reviewed and approved for Council consideration by: Elaine Gunnell, Municipal Clerk

Name, Position
On behalf of the Planning Advisory Committee
appropriate signage for pedestrian and vehicular movement;
- promote pedestrian friendly designs;
- provide parking in rear of buildings where possible;
- uniformity in architectural style;
- barrier-free design standards;
- the use of native trees for shade / landscaping purposes;
- the use of local building materials such as rock and wood; and
- uniformity of landscape architecture elements and site furnishings (i.e., trash receptacles, benches, lighting, signage).

The Municipality may further study landscaping and beautification options desired to provide a plan to establish an urban setting which reflects pride, vitality, sensitivity, attention to heritage, and natural endowments which are attractive to visitors to the area.

### 4.3.13 Bed and Breakfast Establishments

A bed and breakfast establishment, licensed by the Municipality, is permitted within a single detached dwelling on a lot serviced by a maintained municipal road provided the physical character of the dwelling is not substantially altered and the dwelling can be accessed from a publicly maintained road. The single detached dwelling must clearly be the principal use of the land, the owner must live in the dwelling and the bed and breakfast must clearly be an accessory use to the dwelling. Bed and Breakfast accommodation shall not be provided in a sleep cabin or boathouse. The local health unit shall be consulted when a new bed and breakfast establishment is proposed and, if required, approval of this agency shall be first obtained before a bed and breakfast establishment begins operating. The implementing Zoning By-law shall define a bed and breakfast use and the appropriate zone provisions.

### 4.3.14 Mainland Development

Development on the mainland, adjacent to Lake Temagami, is permitted within the Schedule A-3 portion of the Urban Neighbourhood, provided that such development is serviced by the Municipal sewage treatment facility and water system, in accordance with Section 4.3.10. Partial or communal services may be considered in accordance with Section 2.9 and Section 4.3.10 of this Plan. Development will take place in accordance with the appropriate land use designations, as shown on Schedules A-1, and A-3.

### 4.3.15 Aesthetic Viewscape Management Area

The Municipality recognises that the Urban Neighbourhood has high recreational values. It is the policy of the Municipality to be aware of the Ministry of Natural Resources prescriptions to establish and protect Aesthetic Viewscape Management Areas around the
The contractors’ yard shall be used for the outside storage of tools, equipment and in-transit building materials, except noxious or hazardous goods or materials and shall be screened by a fence made of natural materials or a solid vegetative buffer from the viewing public.

The contractors’ yard shall:

• In the case of a lot fronting on a public road, be located in the rear yard;
• In the case of a lot fronting on both a public road and Lake Temagami, be located in the yard abutting the road;
• In the case of a water access lot, the lot shall be located no closer than 10m from the normal shoreline; and
• In the case of a water access lot, buffering shall be provided in the form of a vegetative buffer of no less than 10 metres in width from the normal shoreline.

5.3.19 Transit of Industrial/Building Supplies at Lake Access Points

Limited industrial activities may be permitted at approved public lake access points only to facilitate the transit of industrial goods, building supplies and/or equipment between the mainland and lots or sites only accessible by water.

The limited industrial activities are not intended to be a permanent use at any access points. Rather these activities are only for the purpose of a staging or transfer area where goods, supplies or equipment are unloaded and temporarily stored for short periods of time, pending transit by watercraft to the intended final delivery destination. Construction, assembly, manufacturing, fabricating, processing or other similar industrial production activities generally associated with industrial land uses shall not be allowed at any access point. A contractor’s yard is not permitted at any access point.

Where lots or sites are accessible by an existing road, industrial goods and/or equipment shall be transported to those lots or sites by road. Goods, supplies or equipment that can be transported by an existing road access to their delivery destination will not be allowed as limited industrial activities at access points.

5.4 LAND USE DESIGNATIONS

5.4.1 Integrated Management Area

There is no land designated Integrated Management Area within the Lake Temagami Neighbourhood.

5.4.2 Special Management Area

The Special Management Area land use designation applies to most of the Crown land areas and patent lands within the Lake Temagami Neighbourhood.
Management Area land use designation recognises Crown land with significant resource values and features and/or the need to control access or to manage resources according to a special land use prescription set out in the Ministry of Natural Resources Temagami Land Use Plan. The Special Management Area land use designation applies to all islands or parts of islands that are Crown land and are not designated Protected Area or Tourist Commercial.

Uses permitted within the Special Management Area land use designation in the Lake Temagami Neighbourhood are limited to existing and new private residential development on islands, in accordance with the policies of Section 5.3.3 Rural and Remote Residential and Section 9.7 Development Applications, and other relevant policies of this Plan. Home occupations and home industries and low intensity recreational uses such as campsites are also permitted.

New tourist commercial facilities such as lodges shall develop in accordance with the policies of Section 5.4.6.2 shall require an amendment to this Plan, an amendment to the Zoning By-law and be subject to site plan approval.

It is the policy of the Ministry of Natural Resources to consider granting land use permits and patents in the Special Management Area for the uses permitted by this Plan. The Municipality of Temagami supports this approach provided that the long-term goals and objectives of the Municipality and the policies of this Plan are maintained.

New private residential development shall be subject to a rezoning and site plan approval.

The islands or portions of islands within Lake Temagami that are Crown land are also subject to the Skyline Reserve policies set out in Section 5.3.17 of this Plan.

In order to guide the Municipality’s discussions with the Ministry of Natural Resources when considering applications for land use permits and patents, and to guide the provisions of the Zoning By-law, consideration should be given to the policies of Sections 3.0 and 9.7 of the Plan.

### 5.4.3 Protected Area

The Protected Area land use designation covers the Crown land within the Lake Temagami Neighbourhood, not covered by the Special Management Area land use designation. The Protected Area land use designation includes Crown land with representative ‘old growth’ red and white pine sites, some watersheds containing the headwaters of rivers flowing through the wilderness park, significant wetlands, provincially significant ecological and geological features and significant recreation areas and applies to a portion of Temagami Island.
RECOMMENDATION

This memorandum is to recommend that Council consider the following motion:
WHEREAS the Municipality would be hiring a consultant for the Official Plan Review and a consultant for the Community Improvement Plan and uses a consulting firm for other planning related matters;
AND WHEREAS it may be beneficial to hire a Full-time Planner on contract to accomplish these projects and mentor the Planning Assistant;
AND WHEREAS the contract would be for an 18 month to 2 year position meeting the specified qualification and/or experience to facilitate an Official Plan and Zoning By-Law review, meeting the legislative requirements.
AND WHEREAS at the Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting held on November 22, 2017 at 1:00 the Committee recommended to consider hiring a Planner on contract;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive Memo No. 2017-M-110 regarding the proposed Planner Request for Proposal;
AND FURTHER THAT Council adopt the recommendation from PAC to direct and authorize staff to prepare the Request for Proposal.

INFORMATION

At the PAC meeting held on November 22, 2017 the Committee deliberated on what the cost comparison would be for a Consultant vs. Planner and the consensus of the Committee was to have Councillor Prefasi address the Committee. Councillor Prefasi informed the Committee that at the General Government and Finance meeting, a discussion took place on the Planner vs. Consultant and that for the price of using a consultant for the OP Review, the Community Improvement Plan, and for daily planning matters, we could potentially bring in a Planner on contract. In addition such an arrangement would be able to provide mentorship for the Planning Assistant and more importantly catch up on policies and plans that could be implemented.

Further discussion took place as to the challenges the Municipality faced when looking at hiring a Planner vs. a Planning Assistant. At the April 7, 2016 Committee of the Whole meeting the Committee of the Whole recommended to Council that Council adopt Mr. Bellchamber’s recommendation to fill the position with an administrative position rather than with a Planner. The Municipality hired me in July 2016 as a Planning Assistant, with the understanding that with additional training I would eventually take on most of the duties of a Planning Technician. I’ve since received my diploma for the OACA Primer on Planning Course and AMTCO certificate in Municipal Land Related Law.

The Committee agreed that having a Planner on a short term contract would be an excellent mentorship opportunity, for the Planning Assistant to learn and gain experience. This opportunity would provide the Planning Assistant experience in going through an official plan review and a concurrent zoning-by-law, to be able to draft plans and policies while meeting legislative requirements such as: Community Improvement Plans and Archaeological Policies.
The consensus of the Committee was to recommend to Council consider hiring a planner on contract and passed the following motion:
17–35
MOVED BY: J. Hasler
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
WHEREAS the Municipality would be hiring a consultant for the Official Plan review and a consultant for the Community Improvement Plan and uses a consulting firm for other planning related matters;
AND WHEREAS it may be beneficial to hire a Full-Time Planner on contract for 18months to 2 years to accomplish these projects and mentor the Planning Assistant on regular planning matters;
AND WHEREAS the qualification should emphasise their past experience regarding official plan development/review and zoning by-law development/review;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Planning Advisory Committee recommends to Council to consider hiring a planner on contract.

CARRIED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prepared by:</th>
<th>Reviewed and approved for Council consideration by:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Lepage, Planning Assistant</td>
<td>Elaine Gunnell, Municipal Clerk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name, Position</td>
<td>Name, Position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>On behalf of the Planning Advisory Committee</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
November 21, 2017

Municipality of Temagami
Box 220
Temagami, Ontario
P0H 2H0

Attention: Mayor & Council

Dear Mayor & Council:

I am writing today to follow up on my previous correspondence regarding the possibility of having Union Gas service the Cassels Lake subdivision with natural gas.

I am asking that the Municipality of Temagami contact Union Gas to follow up on the progress of the application that was made earlier this past summer.

I appreciate your time and efforts in this effort.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy Salmond
Dear Mayor and Council,

As most of you know, we have been living as full time residents on Campfire Island, number 39 in Temagami for the last ten years and previous to that spent another approximately ten years as cottagers in the same location. Since moving here we have parked our vehicles in the parking lot behind the fish hatchery, transferring them to the Church parking lot for long weekends in the summer, so that extra spaces are available for tourists. Other than holiday weekends, we have not noticed that there is a problem with parking availability in this location. When Doug was talking to Monte about the parking last summer, Monte mentioned that the need to move the vehicles was only for the four tourist season months.

During the winter, the previous plow operator was kind enough to plow across in front of the parked vehicles so we didn’t have too much snow to shovel when we needed to use our vehicles. If there was a big storm, we would move the vehicles to a different location in the lot, often after discussing the best location with the plow operator so that our usual parking area would be cleaned the next time the lot was plowed.

This system has seemed to work well up until this winter. After the first snowfall this year, Doug came back from town and said that he had a really difficult time getting the vehicles out, almost like the plow purposely piled snow behind and in front of the vehicles. The next time there was snow, Doug went to town and Monty Cummings told him we are no longer allowed to park in this lot and if we do, our vehicles will be towed to Cobalt. He was advised that we could park in the Church parking lot, which also has a “No Overnight Parking” sign.

That lot is a significant walk in the winter when it is dark so early and it is also quite slippery. It is also isolated causing security concerns and I do not feel it is a safe place to park. In addition, in an emergency, of which we have had a few, the extra walk to get a vehicle could make a significant difference to reaching help in a timely fashion. We pay a significant amount of taxes and use very few town services, but parking is a necessity. The parking area where we have parked during the past ten years, is not being used for any other purpose and it seems illogical that tax paying citizens are prevented from using the space. We are asking council to consider our request to continue to park in the parking lot behind the fish hatchery. Thank you.
Anita Hanke and Doug Gear

Sent from my iPad
Good morning,

It is a proud day for Ontario Northland and I wanted to share this great news with you. The province announced today that we will be partnering with Kasper Transportation to expand intercommunity bus in Northern Ontario. I have sent the below internal email to all employees and wanted to share it with all of you as well.

Over the next few weeks we will be firming up the details. Our goal is to create a system that meets the needs of passengers in Northern Ontario. At this time we are looking to increase service to Hearst and expand our Ottawa route to reach beyond Sudbury.

Please don’t hesitate to reach out if you would like to discuss.

Thank you for your continued support. Over the past few years you have been strong advocates for Ontario Northland, and I am very proud to be increasing service in the north.

Sincerely,
Corina

Corina Moore
President and CEO
Ontario Northland
T: (705) 472-4500 ext 201
C: (705) 845-1855
corina.moore@ontarionorthland.ca
www.ontarionorthland.ca

From: Internal News
Sent: December-01-17 11:14 AM
To: All - ONTC Only <All-ONTOnly@ontarionorthland.ca>
Subject: INTERNAL: Expanding Bus Service for the North

* For internal only.
* Please post for those without access to email.

Today is an especially proud moment for Ontario Northland.

The Province of Ontario has announced that Ontario Northland will be increasing service as part of a focus to improve intercity transportation. We will be partnering with Kasper Transportation to integrate service to connect communities in northeastern and northwestern Ontario. For Ontario Northland this means increased service for some of the communities we already serve like Hearst, and expanding our route from Ottawa to Sudbury, to go further west.

Our priority over the next few weeks is to confirm the service schedule, stop locations, ticketing partners, and operational requirements. We expect to introduce the first phase of this new service in three to six weeks.

We will be sharing more information next week, but I wanted to provide you with this good news before the weekend.

Corina
Ontario Government Expanding Bus Service Across the North

Province Increasing Travel Options in Underserved Areas

December 1, 2017 11:00 A.M.

Ontario is making it easier and more convenient for people to get where they need to go by improving transportation services within and between communities across Northern Ontario.

Michael Gravelle, Minister of Northern Development and Mines, and Steven Del Duca, Minister of Transportation, made the announcement in Thunder Bay today alongside MPP Bill Mauro.

The Ontario Northland Transportation Commission (ONTC) will increase bus service in the Northeast and will work in collaboration with existing private carriers to introduce service to communities in the Northwest. The communities that will benefit from this collaboration include Hearst, Hornepayne, White River, Red Lake, Emo, Rainy River, Fort Frances, Atikokan, Red Rock and others. Improved services will provide vital links between communities that will help people living in Northern Ontario travel to medical and personal appointments, attend school, visit with friends and family, and access other essential services.

Return service will be offered five days a week between all communities serviced by the ONTC or private carriers. Ridership will be monitored regularly to ensure customer demand is met and service is expanded as needed.

Ontario is also launching a new Community Transportation Grant Program to help municipalities, Indigenous communities and other organizations throughout the province provide more travel options in areas that are not served, or underserved by public transit and intercommunity bus. The program will make it more convenient for seniors, students, persons living with disabilities and others to access essential services in their communities, connect with other transportation services, and travel between cities and towns.

Improving bus service in the North is part of Ontario's plan to create fairness and opportunity during this period of rapid economic change. The plan includes a higher minimum wage and better working conditions, free tuition for hundreds of thousands of students, easier access to affordable child care, and free prescription drugs for everyone under 25 through the biggest expansion of medicare in a generation.
QUOTES

"The Ontario Northland Transportation Commission (ONTC) connects communities and residents to essential services in Northern Ontario. Investing in service options through the ONTC and in collaboration with private carriers demonstrates the province’s commitment to increasing travel options in underserved areas."
- Michael Gravelle
Minister of Northern Development and Mines

"Our government is committed to building stronger communities by making transportation options more convenient and better connected. This funding will help more people in rural and remote areas get to and from their appointments, access important services and maintain an active and independent lifestyle."
- Steven Del Duca
Minister of Transportation

"I am pleased that our government is improving intercommunity bus services for Northern municipalities. Having a reliable transportation system in smaller remote communities will enhance the quality of life for Northern residents who will now have access to services, medical facilities and family in other communities."
- Bill Mauro
Minister of Municipal Affairs

"Ontario Northland has a long history of connecting communities and providing vital transportation. We are proud to expand our motor coach services as part of the Province’s integrated transportation system."
- Corina Moore
President and CEO, Ontario Northland Transportation Commission

"Through collaboration with the ONTC, a reliable and effective transportation service will be made available to many Northern residents."
- Kasper Wabinski
President and CEO, Kasper Transportation

QUICK FACTS

- Ontario is investing $5.2 million in new buses and technology enhancements to improve intercommunity bus services delivered through the Ontario Northland Transportation Commission (ONTC).
• The Ontario Northland Transportation Commission is an operational enterprise agency of the province providing intercommunity bus, Polar Bear Express passenger rail, rail freight, and remanufacturing and repair services in Northern Ontario.
• In 2016-17, 243,482 passengers used ONTC bus services.
• With the Community Transportation Grant Program, up to $30 million over five years is available to municipalities, who can apply for grants starting today. An additional $10 million will be available to Indigenous communities, Indigenous-led organizations and not-for-profit organizations starting in summer 2018.
• Improving intercommunity bus service in Northern Ontario is a key part of the province’s Northern Ontario Multimodal Transportation Strategy.
• Improving intercommunity bus service is part of Ontario's Aging with Confidence plan to support seniors by helping them remain independent, healthy and active, safe and socially-connected.

LEARN MORE

• Ontario Northland Transportation Commission
• Community Transportation Grant Program

Jeff Dean Minister’s Office
jeff.p.dean@ontario.ca
416-327-1421

Cameron Ferguson Communications Branch
cameron.ferguson@ontario.ca
416-314-6275
Good morning Roxanne, I apologies for not including a covering letter with the package you received regarding the review of the Long-Term Management Direction (LTMD) for the Temagami Management Unit 2019-2029 Forest Management Plan currently under development.

The 2019 planning team requested that the LTMD summary and accompanying map be sent to all the municipalities in our Temagami mailing list. The newspaper ad provides the public the explanation and contact information for this stage of public consultation. Please share with council and use as a resource for any inquiries you may receive from your local constituents.

Please feel free to give me a call if you have any questions regarding the information provided. I will be in touch in 2018, February to book the arena for our information centres tentatively scheduled for March.

Rob

Robert Baker | Management Forester | Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry | North Bay District | 705-475-5521

In order for us to serve you better, please call ahead to make an appointment with our staff, and please remember this is a fragrance-free workplace.

Hi Rob,

The Municipality of Temagami received documents in the mail on November 9, 2017 titled Summary of the Long-term Management Direction 2019-2029 Temagami Management Unit Forest Management Plan. We also received 1 page Review document in conjunction with the main document. Our questions is – was there a cover letter or anything else that was supposed to accompany these documents. We usually receive a cover letter explaining the package, can you let us know if we are missing anything. Thank you.

Regards,

Roxanne St. Germain

Roxanne St. Germain, Dipl, BA Hon.
Administrative Assistant
Municipality of Temagami
7 Lakeshore Drive, P.O. Box 220
Temagami, Ontario, P0H 2H0

T: 705-569-3421  x  200
F: 705-569-2834
frontdesk@temagami.ca
www.temagami.ca
REVIEW

Review of Long-Term Management Direction
Temagami Management Unit 2019 - 2029 Forest Management Plan

The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF), First Resource Management Group and the Temagami Local Citizens' Committee (LCC) invite you to review and comment on the proposed long-term management direction for the 2019 - 2029 Forest Management Plan (FMP) for the Temagami Management Unit.

The Planning Process

The FMP takes approximately three years to complete. During this time, five formal opportunities for public consultation and First Nation and Métis community involvement and consultation are provided. The first opportunity (Stage One) for this FMP occurred on February 8, 2017 when the public was invited to "Participate" in the development of the plan. This 'Stage Two' notice is:

- To invite you to review and comment on:
  - the proposed long-term management direction for the forest;
  - the areas which could reasonably be harvested, and the preferred areas for harvest operations, during the 10-year period of the plan;
  - the analysis of alternative one-kilometer wide corridors for each new primary road which is required for the next 20 years;
- To request your contribution to background information to be used in planning.

How to Get Involved

To facilitate your review, a summary of the proposed long-term management direction for the forest can be obtained on the Ontario government website (www.ontario.ca/forestplans). A summary map(s) of the preferred and optional harvest areas for the 10-year period of the plan and alternative corridors for each new primary road which is required for the next 20 years, will also be available.

In addition to the most current versions of the information and maps which were available at Stage One of public consultation, the following information and maps will be available:

- Draft First Nation and Métis Background Information Report (only if the First Nation and Métis community(s) agree);
- Summary of public comments and submissions received to date and any responses to those comments and submissions;
- A summary report of the results of the desired forest and benefits meeting;
- Environmental analysis, including use management strategies of the alternative corridors for each new primary road;
- Maps that portray past and approved areas of harvest operations for the current forest management plan and the previous 10 years;
- Criteria used for the identification of areas that could reasonably be harvested during the 10-year period of the plan.
EXAMEN

Examen de l'orientation de la gestion à long terme
Plan de gestion forestière 2019-2029 Unité de gestion de Temagami


Le processus de planification
Environ trois ans seront nécessaires pour mener à bien le PGF. Durant cette période, il y aura cinq occasions officielles de consultation publique, et les communautés des Premières Nations et des Métis seront consultées et invitées à participer. La première occasion (étape 1) pour ce PGF a été offerte le 8 février 2017. On l’a invitée le public à « participer » à l’élaboration du plan. Cet avis de « l’étape 2 » a pour but :

- De vous inviter à examiner et à commenter les points suivants :
  - l’orientation de la gestion à long terme proposée pour la forêt,
  - les zones qui pourraient faire l’objet d’une récolte raisonnable, et les zones préférées pour les activités de récolte, durant la période de dix ans du plan, et l’analyse de corridors de remplacement d’une largeur d’un kilomètre pour chaque nouvelle route principale qui est nécessaire pour les 20 prochaines années.
- De vous inviter à contribuer à l’information générale qui doit être utilisée pour la planification.

Comment participer
Pour faciliter votre examen, un résumé de l’orientation de la gestion à long terme proposée pour la forêt peut être obtenu sur le site Web du gouvernement de l’Ontario (ontario.ca/forests). Une ou des cartes sommaires des zones de récolte préférées et facultatives pour la période de dix ans du plan, et les corridors de remplacement pour chaque nouvelle route principale qui est nécessaire pour les 20 prochaines années, seront aussi disponibles.

En plus des versions les plus récentes de l’information et des cartes qui étaient disponibles à l’étape 1 de la consultation publique, l’information et les cartes suivantes seront offertes :

- Ébauche d’un rapport de renseignements généraux sur les Premières Nations et les Métis (Seulement si la ou les communautés des Premières Nations et des Métis acceptent);
- Résumé des soumissions et des commentaires publics reçus jusqu’à présent, et toutes les réponses à ces soumissions et commentaires;
- Rapport sommaire des résultats de la réunion souhaitée sur la forêt et ses avantages;
- Analyse environnementale, y compris les stratégies de gestion de l’utilisation des corridors de remplacement pour chaque nouvelle route principale;
- Cartes qui illustrent les anciennes zones et les zones approvisionnées d’activités de récolte pour le plan de gestion forestière actuel et les dix années antérieures;
- Créines utilisées pour la détermination de zones qui pourraient faire l’objet d’une récolte raisonnable durant la période de dix ans du plan;
- Justification de la préférence de certaines zones pour la récolte; et
- Rapport sommaire des activités du comité local de citoyens jusqu’à présent.


On peut demander en tout temps durant le processus de planification une réunion avec les représentants de l’équipe de planification. Des possibilités raisonnables de rencontrer les membres de l’équipe de planification en dehors des heures de bureau habituelles seront offertes sur demande. Si vous avez besoin de plus d’information ou si vous souhaitez discuter de vos intérêts avec un membre de l’équipe de planification, veuillez communiquer avec l’une des personnes indiquées ci-dessous :

Robert Baker, F.P.L.
Bureau de district de North Bay du MRNF
3301, chemin Trout Lake
North Bay (Ontario) P1A 4L7
tél. : 705 475-5521
courriel: robert.baker@ontario.ca

Etienne Green, F.P.L.
First Resource Management Group
C.P. 650
22, rue Paget
New Liskeard (Ontario) P0J 1P0
tél. : 705 650-3360
courriel: Etienne.green@frmg.ca

Lorne Hilcoat
Représentant du comité local de citoyens
Site C – 74, rue Scott
C.P. 1810
New Liskeard (Ontario) P0J 1P0
tél. : 705 628-2444
courriel: lhilcoat@temfund.ca

Pendant le processus de planification, vous avez la possibilité de présenter par écrit une demande de résolution de problème en communiquant avec le chef de district ou le directeur régional du MRNF. L’édition 2017 du Forest Management Planning Manual (partie A, section 2.4.1) décrit le processus en question.

Continuez à participer
Vous avez trois autres occasions formelles de participer. Ces étapes sont indiquées ci-dessous et prévues provisoirement aux dates suivantes :
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INTRODUCTION

The Temagami Management Unit (TMU) is located within the administrative boundaries of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF) North Bay District in the Northeast Region (refer to Figure 1). A Sustainable Forest Licence (SFL) has never been issued on the TMU and continues to be managed by the Crown. The 2019-2029 Forest Management Plan (FMP) for Temagami is being prepared by First Resource Management Group (FRMG) under a service level agreement with MNRF.

The Temagami MU is centred on the Village of Temagami, which is approximately 100 kilometres north of the City of North Bay. To the north lies the Timiskaming Forest, while the Sudbury and Nipissing Forests are located to the west and south respectively. Lake Temiskaming and the Province of Quebec are located to the east. Municipalities located within the management unit include Temagami, Temiskaming Shores, Latchford, Cobalt, Harris, Hudson and Coleman Townships.

The Temagami MU lies at the boundary between the Boreal Forest and the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence (GLSL) Forest Regions, in climatic and vegetation zone known as the Boreal/Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Transition Forest. The northeastern most portion of the forest lies in the Little Clay Belt. As a transition forest, the MU supports a wide variety of vegetation. The TMU lies primarily within Site Region 4E and its forest is characterized by the effects of climate on soils and vegetation of this Site Region.

The 2019-2029 FMP for the TMU includes the lands set aside (LSA) for the Temagami First Nation/Teme-Augama Anishnabai (TFN/TA) Land Claim. TFN and TAA posted a band council resolution allowing the Ministry to include the LSA area in the 2019-2029 FMP and identify these lands available for economic benefits. The LSA represents approximately 30,000 hectares of forest land located around Lake Temagami and Cross Lake.

The Lands Set Aside (LSA) has been included in the proposed Long-Term Management Direction (LTMD) for the 2019-2029 Forest Management Plan (FMP). The LSA is identified as a Strategic Management Zone that is distinct from the rest of the Crown managed forest. The community identified their desired forest and benefits, from the LSA. Management objectives for the LSA were developed and contribute to the economic benefits of Temagami First Nation/Teme-Augama Anishnabai (TFN/TA).

The following document summarizes the proposed LTMD for the 2019-2029 FMP for the TMU. This summary is intended to facilitate the 30-day public review of the proposed Long-term Management Direction. It outlines:

a) the desired forest and benefits for the TMU and LSA
b) objectives;
c) indicators of sustainability;
d) associated targets; and,
e) the process used to assemble and evaluate the information.
This document also includes a summary of achieved management objectives, and a preliminary determination of sustainability. Finally, a spatial and socio-economic assessment associated with the proposed management strategy, using the preferred harvest areas, was completed and is summarized.

There are six new 20-year primary road corridors being proposed for the 2019-2029 Temagami Management Unit planning period. These corridors can be viewed on the LTMD Summary Map in Appendix I.

Figure 1. Location of the Temagami Management Unit and the Land Set Aside within the North Bay Administrative District
1. DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEMS

The Strategic Forest Management Model (SFMM) was used as the primary analysis tool for the strategic planning of this FMP. This tool simulates the TMU’s forest condition by Strategic Management Zones (SMZ) through time by projecting changes to the forest structure, composition, and age for 200 years into the future. SFMM also evaluates forested areas for their contribution to forest diversity, timber production, and wildlife habitat. Detailed information on the development of SFMM inputs and the use of the SFMM for the preparation of the FMP can be found in the analysis package, which is available for review at each stage of consultation. The Ontario Landscape Tool (OLT), which is a GIS-based Landscape Structured Language (LSL) model, was used to evaluate the TMU against the Simulated Ranges of Natural Variation (SRNV) for structure and composition, and texture indicators. The SRNV represents “natural” ranges of forest conditions in a landscape without anthropogenic influences and were established for each forest management unit by the province of Ontario.

2. LOCAL CITIZEN COMMITTEE AND ABORIGINAL PARTICIPATION

Four Aboriginal communities are participating in the development of the FMP through active participation at planning team meetings. They include the Temagami First Nation, the Teme-Augama Anishnabai, the Matachewan First Nation, and the Timiskaming First Nation. There is an open seat available to the Métis Nation of Ontario. Aboriginal background information reports for each aboriginal community are still in development or being updated.

The Temagami Local Citizens Committee (LCC) has prepared a report outlining the committee’s involvement in the development of the FMP to date. The report outlines the activities of the LCC and is available for review on request. The MNRF continues to support the LCC.

Land Set Aside

As noted in the introduction, a portion of the TMU, referred to as the Lands Set Aside is identified as a Strategic Management Zone that is distinct from the rest of the Crown managed forest. In a separate, but parallel process to the development of the FMP, the plan author worked with the community using a customized approach to develop desired forest and benefits, and management objectives for the LSA. The management objectives specific to the LSA are highlighted in section 7 below.
3. KEY PLAN DELIVERABLES AND ASSOCIATED CHALLENGES

The following key plan deliverables and challenges and were identified by the planning as relevant the development of the FMP. These challenges are/continue to be addressed throughout the process by the planning team, the appropriate task teams, and advisors. They include eFRI and Digital Layers, Wood utilization, Phase-in provisions of the new Forest Management Planning Manual, Old growth, Forest health, and Landscape Guide.

**eFRI and Digital Layers**

The TMU's new eFRI imagery was acquired in 2008 and 2009, and photo interpretation was started in 2011. Delivery of the new eFRI was expected prior to July 2016, but was received in October 2017. The unexpected delays experienced with the eFRI delivery created challenges in the planning team's plan production. Once the eFRI was received, a lot of time was required to verify and correct the data prior to use. The delays incurred from the eFRI resulted in postponing Stage 2 – Public Review of the LTMD from August 2017 to November 2017.

**Wood Utilization**

Historically, the average level of harvest in the TMU has consistently been less than half of the planned sustainable harvest area. The continued under-utilization has direct consequences to meeting management objectives related to forest health, forest structure and composition, and local social-economic benefits. The systematic under-utilization has been experienced for decades. The planning team considered management objectives and strategies specially to improve utilization.

**Phase-in Provisions of the new Forest Management Planning Manual**

The 2009 Forest Management Planning Manual (FMPM) was used to initiate the planning process. The 2017 FMPM was released in July 2017 and the phase-in provisions of the 2017 FMPM were applied. This included the use of the 2009 manual for planning requirements up to Stage 3 – Operational Planning. However, components of the 2017 FMPM were considered by the planning team during the production of the LTMD. This included the completion of FMP tables for post-renewal transitions, silviculture ground rules, and the use of Strategic Management Zones during strategic modeling.

**Old Growth**

One of the features of the Temagami area is the presence of old-growth forest, specifically red and white pine forest ecosystems. The management of old growth forest condition continues to attract attention from members of the public and interest groups who desire increased protection. The planning team considered levels of old growth present on the TMU consistent with the direction of the Old Growth Policy and the Landscape Guide. The Landscape Guide provides directional milestones for the movement of the existing forest condition towards the Simulated Range of Natural Variation.

**Forest Health**
Current forest condition resulting from previous insect and pest outbreaks may impact operations. The known occurrence of spruce budworm and forest tent caterpillar outbreaks were considered by the planning team and were incorporated into the strategic model.

Landscape Guide

The Landscape guide was released in 2010 with the objective of directing forest management activities to maintain or enhance natural landscape structure, composition, and patterns. The Landscape Guide has been applied across Ontario for several years; however, it will be “new” to the TMU in this FMP. This will be the first time that the Landscape Guide indicators being measured and assessed using the OLT will be communicated to the general public. The planning team understands that the level of expertise required to assess the sustainability of an FMP requires considerable understanding of the Landscape Guide, desired levels, and targets for each indicator.

4. DEVELOPMENT OF A PLANNING INVENTORY

The planning inventory for the management unit provides information required for forest management planning, including forest estate modeling, habitat modeling, and forest diversity analyses. Only Crown land forms the basis for the development of the FMP. For forest management planning purposes, the Crown forest is categorized in available or unavailable areas of management. The available area is used for timber production. Other areas such as parks, conservation reserves, and areas that have been designated through legal or policy means, or a land use decision, are categorized as unavailable for timber production.

The requirements of the planning inventory were met with the endorsement of Checkpoint 1 - Planning Inventory received April 17th, 2017.

5. CLASSIFICATION OF THE CURRENT FOREST CONDITION

The planning inventory products are combined and updated with forest classification information to produce the base model inventory. The base model inventory and landscape level information are used to describe the current forest condition. It is also used to complete the forest estate modeling and analysis to support planning team decisions. The Landscape Guide provides the main source of guidance for the classification of the forest. The use of landscape classes, supported by the guide’s science and information package provides all the necessary rationale and inputs to be used during the development of the base model.

The requirements of the current forest condition were met with the endorsement of Checkpoint 2 - Forest Units & Habitat Classification received September 22nd, 2017.
6. DESIRED FOREST AND BENEFITS MEETING

The Planning Team, the LCC, and various communities were involved in the development of the desired forest and benefits for the 2019-2029 Temagami FMP. The desired forest and benefits are the forest structure, composition, and goods and services, which are desired from the forest to achieve a balance of social, economic, and environmental needs over time.

A meeting was held on May 3rd, 2017 in the community of Temagami North, supported by representatives from the LCC, the planning team, and plan advisors. This meeting was held to provide participants with background information on the forest, including classification information. During the meeting, a list of desired forest and benefits was creating and was eventually develop into of management objectives for the FMP. Following the meeting, the summary of identified desired forest and benefits was reviewed and refined in follow-up meetings and endorsed by the planning team.

In addition, a series of meetings were held on Bear Island with Temagami First Nation and Teme-Augama Anishnabai to identify desired forest and benefits specific to the forest management of the LSA. The first meeting occurred on February 28th, 2017 and community leaders provided information on forestry. This included background information on the existing TFN Forestry Plan, LSA settlement progress, and the forest management planning process in Ontario. The plan author presented information on the forest structure and composition of the LSA, which was portrayed on maps. An objective gathering session was held on March 21st, 2017 and consisted of a facilitated session with community members, which touched on topics such as accessibility, road access, silviculture, forest diversity, and socio-economics.

Highlights from the forest management objective gathering session were published in the April 2017 community blast newsletter and available upon request.

7. DEVELOPMENT OF A BASE MODEL

In order to evaluate the ability to supply the desired forest and benefits and to complete strategic analysis for the management plan, a base model was developed using the SFMM. It was based on an updated planning inventory for the forest projected to March 31st, 2019.

The base model included a number of inputs and assumptions related to the landbase, forest dynamics (natural forest succession, growth, and yield, post renewal transition), and available silviculture options developed by the planning team. Strategic silviculture options represent the broad treatments available for the forest and included assumptions such as the expected forest condition following the application of known treatments (e.g. tree planting), their associated costs, and success rates. The performance of strategic silviculture options was based on silvicultural effectiveness monitoring data, and technical reports.
The base model includes the LSA and the TMU as separate subunits allowing for separate investigations as it related to strategic silviculture options, management objectives and wood flow.

Sensitivity analysis was conducted during the development of the base model, to ensure that the model portrayed an accurate representation of how the forest will change over time. Assumptions pertaining to the development of the base model inputs are included in the analysis package.

The planning team also relied on the science and information packages for the 4E Region. Refer to the analysis package for complete details on the development of the base model.

The requirements of the base model were met with the endorsement of Checkpoint 3 – Base Model, which was received October 4th, 2017.

8. PLAN OBJECTIVES, INDICATORS, DESIRED LEVELS, AND TARGETS

The summary of desired forest and benefits, past and current management plans, MNRF sources of direction and associated guides, and existing background information were used to develop plan objectives, indicators of sustainability, desired levels and targets for the 2019 Temagami MU FMP.

A management objective was developed for each desired forest and benefit identified for the FMP and each of these objectives is related to one of the Crown Forest Sustainability Act (CFSA) objective categories. For each management objective, at least one indicator of sustainability was developed, along with an associated desired level. A target and a timeframe for achievement was also developed for each indicator of sustainability. An indicator of objective achievement is established for each objective and used to assess and determine plan sustainability. Only indicators that could be quantified were selected for the management plan. The establishment of desired levels and targets for each management objective is required to assess the management objectives. In some cases, desired levels may be the same, or differ from target (see section 7.0). Rationales for all desired levels and targets have been documented and are available for review upon request. The SFMM was used to develop a management strategy that balances the achievement of all management objectives over time. Management objectives, indicators, the associated desired levels and targets, and the timing of assessment for each indicator for the TMU and LSA are documented in table FMP-10.

A number of objectives and indicators requiring measurement through time were assessed using the SFMM. These objectives and indicators were balanced as part of the development of the proposed management strategy. In addition, two indicators were assessed to evaluate their spatial arrangement as a result of selecting the preferred harvest area. The assessment of these objectives will continue until operational planning is completed and the selected areas of operations are finalized.
The remaining objectives will be assessed through the development of the FMP during stage 3 and stage 4 or during implementation of the FMP, in the Year 5 and 10 Annual Reports.

The requirements of the Management objectives were met with the endorsement of Checkpoint 4 – Management Objectives received November 3rd 2017.

9. BALANCING THE ACHIEVEMENT OF MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

The development of a management strategy is an iterative process whereby the planning team builds on the lessons learned from the scoping analysis and explores a range of possibilities for a management strategy. The scoping analysis involves the examination of the range of possibilities for management. The exercise provides the planning team with insight into the forest's capability of producing a defined benefit and includes the investigation of potential management considerations when attempting to balance the achievement of all management objectives. This analysis was conducted to assess the short term (next 10 years), medium-term (20 years), long-term (100) and beyond (150, and 200 years) impacts of potential management decisions made in this management plan. The scoping analysis involved a series of model runs with different constraints and targets applied to the base SFMM model. In addition, a number of specific investigations are required by MNRF. These investigations include:

a) Meet the 2009 FMP modeled objectives
b) Meet the current industrial demand
c) Maximize the achievement of each ecological targets
d) Each SFMM execution options

The base model is used as a starting point in the scoping analysis. Through the investigative process (scoping analysis) and the examination of tradeoffs, the planning team adjusted inputs as required in an attempt to balance the achievement of the range of management objectives. The analysis package details the purpose, results, and conclusions of 44 investigations carried out and documented by the planning team. In excess of 1,000 investigations were carried out throughout the scoping and development process of the proposed management strategy. The analysis package document also includes a description of how the achievement of objectives was interpreted from the model results. The modeling results, conclusions of the analysis, and a digital copy of the key model scenario used in the final tradeoffs evaluated in the scoping analysis (including the proposed management strategy) are also included in the analysis package.

The following describes the lessons the planning team learned during the course of the development of the proposed management strategy and some of the key tradeoffs used to balance the achievement of objectives (see analysis package for a complete review).
2009 FMP

The 2009 Temagami FMP objectives could not be achieved using the 2019 Temagami Management Unit base model. All economic, ecological, and social management objectives were entered into the 2019 SFMM base model and a feasible solution could not be achieved. This was caused by updates to several inputs of the Base Model including the initial area of available and reserve forest, Forest Unit definitions, and the natural forest succession rule-set.

Supply the Current Industrial Demand

The forest can supply the current industrial demand throughout the entire planning horizon for all species group. In the case of white and red pine, this scoping investigation concluded that a considerable amount of white and red pine is available to be harvested and far exceeds the current industrial demand. This is caused by the large area of red and white pine forest and strategic silviculture options that promote red and white pine forest throughout the entire planning horizon. The planning team confirmed that the current area of red and white pine dominated forest is approaching the upper range and that the area of future red and white pine forest on the management unit will move above the natural range of variation.

Landscape Classes Dynamic

A total of six landscape classes were used to investigate the landscape class indicators. The Landscape Guide recommends that the indicator consisting of groupings of Landscape Guide Forest Units (LGFU), mature and old seral stages be applied as the first order in its consideration of analyzing forest cover (composition and structure).

i. The Spruce-Fir-Cedar and Mixedwood Landscape Class indicators are considerably above the desired level at the plan start. This is caused by minimal disturbances throughout the management unit over a period of several years leading to the natural succession to mixedwood and shade tolerant forest species. Movement to within the desired level will require the harvest and renewal to other landscape classes.

ii. The Mixed Pines Landscape Class indicator is within the desired level at plan start, however there is an imbalance in the contribution by landscape guide forest unit/seral stages for this landscape class. The PWUSC (White Pine Uniform Shelterwood-Conifer) is considerably above the SRNV in the mature and old seral stages (also true for the Immature, Sapling and Pre-sapling seral stages of PWUSC). This is not true for the PJ1, PJ2 and PR1 LGFU which are either mostly below the median or outside the lower range SRNV for all seral stages. This indicates that achievement of the mixed pines landscape class at plan start is due in-part to the abnormal and unnatural amount of PWUSC currently on the management unit. Maintaining the mixed pines landscape class within the desired level throughout the planning horizon will require an
increase in the establishment of conifer dominated stands such as PJ1 and PJ2 and allow that area to reach the mature and old seral stages to contribute to the Mixed Pines Landscape Class. In the case of PWUSC, the total area at plan start is far above the desired levels. This is caused by succession from other white pine dominated LGFU with higher white pine components succeeding out of the White Pine Mixedwood and into the Mixed Pines. The other factor influencing this landscape guide forest unit is the minimal disturbances (either surface or stand replacing) experienced within the PWUSC over time. Maintaining the PWUSC within the desired level will require the creation of conifer dominated stands.

iii. The Intolerant Hardwood Landscape Class indicator is within the desired level at plan start; however, there is an imbalance in the contribution by landscape guide forest unit/seral stages for this landscape class. The BW1 and PO1 LGFU are both above the SRNV for the mature seral stage but below for the old seral stages. This indicates that in the earlier periods of the planning horizon, the intolerant hardwoods landscape class will fluctuate based on the starting condition of the forest age class structure until management activities can catch up and maintain levels within the planning horizon. Maintaining the desired level will be constrained by the age class structure and require the natural regeneration of forest stands to poplar and birch dominated stands.

iv. The White Pine Mixedwood Landscape Class indicator is below the desired level at plan start. This indicates that disturbances (both surface and stand replacing, which will allow for white pine natural regeneration) have not occurred in balance with the natural succession. The natural forest succession of white pine forest is creating an excess of the PWUSC LGFU old growth condition which is not part of the White Pine Mixedwood Landscape Class and is above the desired level. Movement to the desired level will require that management activities promote the regeneration of white pine dominated forest throughout harvest and renewal to account for lack of disturbance in the white pine dominated forest units.

v. Tolerant Hardwood Landscape Class indicator is below the desired level at plan start. This is understood to be as a result of past poor harvesting practices, leaving behind low quality tolerant hardwoods stands. Forest management activities will support the movement towards the SRNV and improve the quality of the growing stock. The forest management activities will convert lower quality tolerant hardwoods in the mature and old seral stages to higher quality. However, the desired levels will be reached at a slower pace.

Old Growth

The 2nd order of application as recommended by the Landscape Guide is the amount of old growth indicator by plan forest unit. Due to the considerable difference from the landscape classes plan start and the SRNV, many old growth desired levels fluctuate above and below the SRNV until the associated Landscape Class target is achieved.
i. The SF1, SP1, PWUSC, MWCC are considerably above the desired levels at plan start. This is indicative of the same dynamics discussed for the Spruce-Fir-Cedar, Mixedwood and Mixed Pine landscape guide indicators. Over the planning horizon the SF1, MWUS, and PWST old growth areas surpass the desired levels and management activities cannot cause movement towards the desired level. This outcome is directly influenced by the area of forest structure and composition in parks and conservation reserves located within the management unit. The planning team does not have the ability to cause movement for these areas, as forest management activities are not permitted within these areas. Forest dynamics that occur outside of the managed available landbase (such as parks and conservation reserves), but still within the management unit, are not positively influenced by forest management activities. In the absence of natural disturbances within the unavailable areas of the management unit, the forest condition (structure and composition) continues to grow old and consequently, young forest cannot be achieved. As for the SP1, PWUSC and MWCC forest units, over the long term the area in these forest units will decrease and eventually reach the desired levels.

ii. The HDUS1 forest unit is below desired level at plan start. This is consistent with the tolerant hardwood Landscape Class indicator. Movement towards the desired levels trend with the same pace as the tolerant hardwood landscape class.

iii. The PWUS and BW1 forest units are slightly below the desired level at plan start. This is consistent with the white pine mixedwood and intolerant hardwood Landscape Class indicators discussed above. Scoping investigations demonstrated that the PWUS and BW1 old growth desired levels can be achieved in the short term and maintained in the long term.

iv. The MCL, MWUS, PR1, PO1, PWST, PJ1, PJ2, and SB1 planning forest units are all within the desired levels at plan start however scoping investigations demonstrated that maintaining the desired level throughout the planning horizon is affected by the achievement of landscape class indicators. For instance, the PWST old growth area is allowed to exceed desired level because it contributes to meeting the white pine mixedwood Landscape Class (which is below the desired level at plan start). Once the landscape class desired level is achieved the old growth area will then adjust towards the target.

Texture of Mature and Old Forest

The texture of mature and old forest is third in the order of application as recommended by the Landscape Guide. The Ontario Landscape Tool is used to build and overlay hexagons at the 500 and 5000 hectare scale of measure. The tool reviews each hexagon and determines i) if it is forested, and ii) that the mature and older forest texture is a structure-based indicator used to characterize landscape pattern. This is a five-class frequency histogram of the landscape that shows how much of the landscape contains
areas in which the mature and older forest is a component. A histogram is generated to
represent the relative amount of mature and old forest in each hexagon. The texture of
mature and old forest is measured at plan start and plan end (year 2029) and is assessed
during Stage 3 – Operational Planning.

For both the 500 and 5,000 hectare hexagon scale, the plan start conclusions are similar.
The median level of the SRNV is exceeded for hexagon values of higher mature and old
proportions, and below the mean for hexagon values of lower mature and old proportions.
This indicates what was previously demonstrated in the area based indicators (landscape
class and old growth). There is an unnatural amount of area and texture of mature and
old forest within the TMU.

Young Forest Area

Young Forest area is fourth in the order of application as recommended by the Landscape
Guide. The young forest indicator is not a required indicator for the TMU. However, the
planning team conducted an analysis of moose carrying capacity, which includes the
amount of browse-producing habitat (i.e. young forest) and determined that it was low.

Young forest is well below the SRNV at plan start. This is caused by minimal disturbances
throughout the management unit over a period of several years. Young forest plays an
important role in future forest structure and composition, and is a vital component to the
habitat of many wildlife species such as moose. The process of screening for moose
carrying capacity revealed a deficiency in browse and forage habitat. This is indicative of
the low amount of young forest, which reduces the total moose habitat carrying capacity
below the SRNV.

Red and White Pine Forest Area

Red and white pine forest is the fifth order of application as recommended by the
Landscape Guide.

The area of red and white pine forest is within the desired level at plan start and is
comprised of the combined area of PWST, PWOR PWUSC, PWUS4, PWUSH, and PR1.
Throughout the planning horizon, the combined area of white and red pine will continue
to increase beyond the desired level as natural forest succession continues to cycle from
white pine dominated forest back to white pine dominated forest. Strategic silviculture
options continue to promote the regeneration of red and white pine forest stands as it
increases beyond the desired level. Limiting the area of red and white pine forest to within
the desired level is constrained by the achievement of landscape class and old growth
indicators, which are higher in the recommended order of application.
Young Forest Patch Size

Young Forest Patch Size is sixth in the order of application as recommended by the Landscape Guide. Young forest is defined as all forest that is less than 36 years old. It is important to distinguish between the young forest area (which is far below the SRNV), and the distribution of the existing young forest. The size distribution of young forest patches at plan start is consistent with the mean. Distribution of young forest patch size is measured at the plan start (2019) and plan end (2029) and is assessed during Stage 3 – Operational Planning.

Land Set Aside

The LSA will contribute to the overall achievement of forest structure and composition targets on the TMU while supplying the desired forest and benefits associated with the LSA. However, forest management activities for achieving various forest cover and composition levels are modified based on TFN’s objectives. These objectives included the removal of the INTN1 silviculture treatments (which includes vegetation control using herbicides). Under this constraint a higher reliance on natural regeneration methods is required which results in lower harvest volumes over time and more costly regeneration of plantations. In addition, the LSA scoping investigations demonstrated that applying upper and lower harvest flow constraints within the LSA will reduce the fluctuations of harvest volumes between terms.

10. PROPOSED MANAGEMENT STRATEGY (PMS)

The PMS is a balance in the achievement of management objectives. This process involves using the information learned from investigations to adjust target achievement levels to all management indicators (such as overmature forest, preferred wildlife habitat, and wood supply), to balance the achievement of management objectives. The scoping investigations were referenced during the examination of the proposed management strategy to indicate where no further significant improvements could be made towards a desired level. As inputs and levels of target achievement are adjusted, modeling results are examined. As each scenario was executed, the resulting harvest volume and associated area, forest diversity indicators, silvicultural expenditures and the silvicultural treatment program were examined. This iterative process continues until the planning team is satisfied that no further significant improvements can be made and that, on balance, the objectives have been achieved, and the solution is practical and can be implemented. The PMS projects the development of the forest through time, in terms of its structure and composition, and the projected types and levels of harvest and renewal activities required to achieve the management objectives. These outputs are summarized for the LSA and the rest of the TMU in the following tables available in Appendix II:

- FMP-8: Summarizes the available harvest area by 20-year projections for the LTMD.
- FMP-9: Summarizes the estimated available harvest volume (i.e., for a 10-year period) by 20-year projections for the LTMD.
- FMP-10: Summarizes management objectives, indicators and target information and includes an assessment of achievement for each objective

These and other forest management planning tables can be found in Appendix II. Details of the development of the proposed management strategy, including a digital format of the scenario, are contained within the analysis package and are available for review.

11. PREFERRED AND OPTIONAL HARVEST AREA

The PMS provides the Annual Harvest Area (AHA) by forest unit for the 10-year period of the forest management plan. Preferred areas for harvest were selected and identified by a ranking of either 1 or 2. The following criteria were used for the identification of preferred and optional harvest areas.

- eligibility of forest stands; (forest unit and age of the AHA)
- spatial arrangement and distribution of the stands across the management unit (i.e.: proximity to existing or proposed road infrastructure); and,
- management considerations, such as steep terrain, or rock.

The preferred harvest areas identified as preferred “ranked 1” will be used for the purpose of a preliminary spatial assessment of texture indicators for the ten-year period of the plan (2019-2029). Preferred harvest areas identified as “ranked 2” and optional areas have also been portrayed on the maps. The optional areas are those areas that are eligible for harvest for a particular forest unit. These optional areas may be deemed preferred during the proposed operations component of the forest management planning process.

Preferred and optional harvest areas are shown on the LTMD Summary map in Appendix I.
12. ASSESSMENT OF OBJECTIVE ACHIEVEMENT

The achievement of individual management objectives was assessed using results from the PMS, preliminary spatial assessments, and other plan components during the preparation of the forest management planning process. The assessment of objective achievement was based on the extent to which the established desired levels and targets for each indicator have been satisfied on the management unit. However, as discussed in section 10, the ability of the planning team to move towards the achievement levels is directly influenced by its ability to manipulate forest cover through forest management activities such as harvesting and renewal. The results described in this section are for the management unit (which includes unavailable landbase (i.e. parks and conservations reserves)), thus limiting the planning ability of meeting objectives at the MU level. However, for each indicator, the planning team evaluated if it did in fact cause movement towards the desired level for the available landbase (i.e. area of the MU where forest management is permitted). In most cases at the management unit level, the objectives are not met due to the influence of the unavailable landbase. The management objective information and an assessment of objective achievement are documented in table FMP-10 and available in Appendix II.

The objectives are divided into two categories: spatial and non-spatial objectives.

12.1 Structure and Composition - Landscape Classes

12.1.1 Tolerant Hardwood

The desired level is not achieved in the short or medium term but will be achieved in 150 years (Term 16). The target is achieved because management activities cause movement towards the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

12.1.2 Intolerant Hardwood

The desired level and target is achieved in the short and medium term. Forest dynamics will create a dip below the desired level in 100 years (Term 11) and 150 (Term16). The target is achieved because management activities do not contribute to movement away from the desired level throughout the planning horizon. The desired level is achieved again in 200 years (Term 21).

12.1.3 White Pine Mixedwood

The desired level is not achieved in the short, or medium term but will be achieved in Term 8 (70 years). The initial age class structure of the forest and natural forest succession transitions forest area out of the White Pine Mixedwood and into other landscape classes at Term 2. The target is achieved in the short, medium and long term.
because management activities cause movement towards the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

12.1.4 Mixedwood

The desired level is not achieved in the short, or medium term but will be achieved in Term 10 (90 years). The initial age class structure of the forest and natural forest succession causes a transition of forest area into the Mixedwood landscape class at Term 2. The target is achieved in the short, medium and long term because management activities cause movement towards the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

12.1.5 Mixed Pines

The desired level and target is achieved in the short and medium term. Forest dynamics will create a small and short dip below the desired level in 100 years (Term 11). The target is achieved because management activities do not contribute to movement away from the desired level throughout the planning horizon. The desired level is achieved again in 150 and 200 years.

12.1.6 Spruce-Fir-Cedar

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium, or long term. The target is not achieved in the short or medium term because the initial age class structure and natural forest succession are causing an increase for this indicator in the first 3 terms. The target is achieved in the long term (Term 4) as management activities cause movement towards the desired level and never contribute to the increase above the desired level.

12.2 Structure and Composition – Old Growth

For each indicator below, the proportion of the management unit available for forest management meets its objective achievement. However, in most cases, the entire management unit (available and unavailable area) exceeds the target over the planning horizon. Section 10 provides an explanation for this outcome.

12.2.1 MCL

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium, or long term. The target is not achieved in the short or medium term because the initial age class structure and natural forest succession are causing an increase for this indicator in the first 3 terms. The target is achieved in the long term (Term 4) as management activities cause movement towards the desired level and never contribute to the increase above the desired level.
12.2.2 MWCC

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium, or long term. The target is not achieved in the short or medium term because the initial age class structure and natural forest succession are causing an increase for this indicator in the first 4 terms. The target is achieved in the long term (Term 5) as management activities cause movement towards the desired level and never contribute to the increase above the desired level.

12.2.3 MWUS

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium or long term. The target is not achieved in the short or medium term because the initial age class structure and natural forest succession are causing an increase for this indicator in the first 8 terms. The target is achieved in the long term (Term 9) as management activities cause movement towards the desired level and never contribute to the increase above the desired level throughout the remainder of the planning horizon.

12.2.4 PO1

The desired level is not achieved in the short term. The initial age class structure, natural forest succession and order of application of landscape guide indicators cause this indicator to sometimes fluctuate above and below desired level by several hundred hectares. The target is achieved in the long term (Term 9) as management activities cause movement towards the desired level and never contribute to the increase above or below the desired level throughout the remainder of the planning horizon.

12.2.5 BW1

The desired level is achieved in the short and medium term, but is below for portions of the long term. Natural forest succession causes the drop below the desired level in the long term. The target is achieved because management activities minimize and the decline and cause movement to increase towards the desired level. The desired level is never reached again because all BW1 old growth in the reserve forest will decline through succession with no younger forest BW1 to replace it.

12.2.6 HDUS1

The desired level is not achieved in the short term and medium term but is achieved in the long term. The target is achieved throughout the planning horizon because management activities cause movement towards the desired level. Refer to the explanation for the tolerant hardwood landscape class in section 6.1.1.
12.2.7 PR1

The desired level and target is achieved in the short and medium term but is exceeded in the long term by several hundred hectares.

12.2.8 PWUS

The desired level is achieved in the short and medium term but is exceeded in the long term. The target is achieved because management activities cause movement to increase towards the desired level and do not cause movement contributing to exceeding the desired level in the long term.

12.2.9 PWUSC

The desired level is achieved in the short term but exceeded in the medium and long term. The order of application and the existing age class structure contributes to the fluctuations above or within the desired level. This occurs because landscape class indicators must be met first. The target is achieved because management activities never contribute to exceeding the desired level.

12.2.10 PWST

The desired level for the PWST can be achieved in the short and medium term. However, in the long-term there is movement away from the desired level due to influence from the unavailable area within the MU. Management activities on the available landbase limit the movement away from the desired level and contribute positively to moving towards the desired level.

12.2.11 PJ1

The desired level is achieved in the short, medium but is below in the long term. Natural forest succession causes the drop below the desired level in the long term. The target is achieved because management activities minimize the decline and cause movement to increase towards the desired level. The desired level is never reached again because all PJ1 old growth in the reserve forest will decline through succession with no younger forest PJ1 to replace it. In the long term, all the PJ1 old growth will come from the available forest and none will exist in the reserve forest.
12.2.12 PJ2

The desired level is achieved in the short and medium term but is below in the long term. Natural forest succession causes the drop below the desired level in the long term. The target is achieved because management activities minimize the decline and cause movement to the increase towards the desired level. The desired level is only reached again in 200 years because all PJ2 old growth in the reserve forest declines through succession with no younger forest PJ2 to replace it. In the long term, the majority of the PJ2 old growth will come from the available forest with almost none in the reserve forest.

12.2.13 SP1

The achievement levels for the SP1 old growth indicator were achieved on the MU by term 7. Forest management activities on the available area portion of the landbase contribute positively causing movement to within the desired levels at the management unit level.

12.2.14 SF1

The desired level is exceeded in the short and medium term but is achieved in the long term. Natural forest succession causes the decline towards the desired level in the long term. The target is achieved because management activities cause movement towards the desired level.

12.2.15 SB1

The desired level and target is achieved throughout the planning horizon.

12.3 Structure and Composition – Red and White Pine

The desired level of red and white pine is exceeded in term 3 at the management unit level and continues to move away from the desired level throughout the planning horizon. Red and white pine area increases gradually in each term at the management unit level. Management activities on the available area portion of the MU eventually stabilize around term 12 and positively contribute to the desired levels in the later periods of the planning horizon.

The 1995 minimum area levels of 64,774 ha of red and white pine forest is easily met throughout the planning horizon.
12.4 Structure and Composition – Young Forest

12.4.1 Pre-sapling

The forest management activities on the available area portion of the MU contribute to movement towards the desired level in the short term at the management unit level. However, the desired level cannot be maintained throughout the planning horizon at the management level because the creation of young forest is only permitted on the available landbase and not on forests that are reserved.

12.4.2 Pre-sapling Sapling T-Stage

Management activities on the available area portion of the MU actively contribute to movement towards the desired level in the short term for the overall management unit. Because of the forest management activities in the available forest, the desired level is achieved in term 2 and is maintained throughout the planning horizon.

12.5 Texture – Mature and Older Forest

The mature and older forest texture is a structure-based indicator used to characterize landscape pattern. The texture of the mature and older forest is measured using a landscape signature approach for each landscape class. This signature is a five-class frequency histogram of the landscape that shows how much of the landscape contains areas in which the mature and older forest is a minor, a medium, or a majority component.

This objective is satisfied by moving closer to the mature and older forest matrix as defined by the Landscape Guide for Ecoregion 4E science package. The texture of the mature and older forest is measured by representing the proportion of the landscape that contains areas in which the mature and older forest is a minor, a medium or a majority component proportion to the total area (mean proportion). This objective describes achievement at two scales (500 and 5,000 hectares).

A preliminary impression of the planned texture was completed to assess the movement created from the first term of planned harvest areas of the proposed management strategy. The preferred area of operation “ranked 1” were used for this assessment (see section 12). The first impressions for both the 500 and 5,000-hectare hexagon scale at the plan end are similar. Hexagons with high proportions of mature and old forest continue to increase above the SRNV mean. Movement towards the SRNV mean for hexagons with a medium and low amount of mature and older forest is caused by applying the proposed management strategy. This result is believed to be symptomatic of a contiguous patch of ageing forest within the reserve portion of the management unit, and of movement the SRNV mean on the available portion of the management unit caused by the proposed management strategy.
An overall achievement was realized by movement towards the mature and older forest at both scales.

These achievements are likely to change as a result of upcoming operational planning (i.e. residual and area of concern planning). The planning team will continue to improve on these achievement levels through to operational planning.

12.6 Texture - Young Forest Patch Size

The young forest patch size is a structure-based indicator used to characterize landscape pattern. Although young forest patch size is related to the texture of the mature and older forest in both structure (the amount and distribution of young forest patches can affect the texture of the forest matrix) and function (e.g. interior loving wildlife species vs. edge loving wildlife species), they are often the result of different scales of forest management planning (e.g. harvesting vs. maintaining). Managing pattern involves the distribution (concentration or dispersal) of young and mature forest across the landscape. Young forest patch sizes are measured using a size class distribution.

Much like the texture of mature and old forest, a preliminary impression of the planned young forest patch size was completed to assess the movement created from the first term of planned harvest areas of the proposed management strategy. The preferred areas of operation "ranked 1" were used for this assessment (See section 12).

The first impression of the young forest patch size indicates that the refinements to the preferred harvest areas are needed to create larger patch sizes and reduce smaller young forest patch sizes. A total of one of the nine size classes measure a positive movement towards the desired levels resulting from the preferred harvest areas "ranked 1". Overall this objective is not satisfied because desired level of distribution of patch sizes as defined by the Landscape Guide has moved away from the desired level. The Planning Team will refine the preferred harvest areas in the upcoming operational planning, to increase the amount of larger patch sizes and reduce the amount of smaller patch sizes of young forest.

12.7 Planned Harvest Volume by Species Group

This objective was achieved by providing a continuous even flow of wood throughout the planning horizon. A total of 362,132 m³ per year is planned for harvest throughout the 2019 to 2029 FMP. Total harvest volumes have increased by 72,621 m³ per year from the previous FMP. The inclusion of the LSA strategic management zone contributes to the increase in planned harvest volume. A total of 22,653 m³ per year is planned for harvest on the LSA and is only available to Temagami First Nation. A total of 339,478 m³ per year is planned for the Center, South, West A, West B, and North SMZs.
12.7.1 Planned 10-year Annual Harvest Volume on the LSA Strategic Management Zone

The objective indicator to provide long-term projected available harvest volume for LSA Strategic Management Zones is achieved by limiting the increase and decrease of harvest volume by species group for the LSA by (+/-) 10% over the planning horizon. There is no current industrial demand for the LSA. However, as strategies for capacity building are developed within the community, a consistent and predictable even flow of volumes harvested over the planning horizon to support community investments will be required. Table 1 provides the planned 10-year annual harvest volume on the LSA by term.

Table 1 - Planned 10-year annual harvest volume (m³) by species group on the LSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>SPF</th>
<th>Po</th>
<th>Bw</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>TolHwd</th>
<th>PwPr</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>9,676</td>
<td>2,199</td>
<td>3,454</td>
<td>1,543</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>22,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>8,849</td>
<td>2,162</td>
<td>3,109</td>
<td>1,389</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>21,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2119</td>
<td>6,258</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>1,551</td>
<td>861</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>15,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2159</td>
<td>6,701</td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>1,654</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>16,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2209</td>
<td>10,792</td>
<td>2,516</td>
<td>2,341</td>
<td>1,502</td>
<td>1,263</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>23,659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12.7.2 Planned 10-year Annual Harvest Volume on the Center, South, West A, West B, and North Strategic Management Zones.

The objective indicator to provide long-term projected available harvest volume on the Center, South, West A, West B, and North Strategic Management Zones is achieved by supplying an even flow of volume by species group throughout the planning horizon. An upper limit on the white pine and red pine volumes was used to limit the planned harvest volumes of red and white pine as the volume available to harvest exceeded the industrial demand and market needs. Table 2 provides the planned 10-year annual harvest volume on the Center, South, West A, West B, and North SMZ’s by term.

Table 2 - Planned 10-year annual harvest volume (m³) on the Center, South, West A, West B, and North Strategic Management Zones by year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>SPF</th>
<th>Po</th>
<th>Bw</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>TolHwd</th>
<th>PwPr</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>123,979</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>45,542</td>
<td>16,841</td>
<td>7,451</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>339,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>117,651</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>45,378</td>
<td>15,157</td>
<td>7,593</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>331,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2119</td>
<td>123,759</td>
<td>78,253</td>
<td>30,317</td>
<td>12,621</td>
<td>5,015</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>330,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2159</td>
<td>117,241</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>26,679</td>
<td>10,006</td>
<td>4,533</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>304,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2209</td>
<td>163,912</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>27,537</td>
<td>14,491</td>
<td>7,606</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12.7.3 Planned 10-year Annual Harvest Volume on the Management Unit

The management objective indicator to provide annual harvest volume on the management unit is achieved by providing for an even flow of volume over the planning horizon. Figure 2 provides an overview of total planned annual harvest volumes over the planning horizon and shows fluctuation within a 77,000 m³ range. The lowest point occurs in year 2159 and the highest point occurs in 2209.

Figure 2 - Total Planned Harvest Volume ('000m³ per year)
12.8 Planned Annual Harvest Area

There are no objective indicators or desired levels for planned annual harvest area. Figure 3 depicts the planned harvest area required to move towards the achievement of the forest structure and composition related objectives described in section 12.1 to 12.6 above.

![Total Planned Harvest Area over the Planning Horizon](image)

Figure 3 - Total planned harvest area per year over the planning horizon

13. PRIMARY ROAD CORRIDORS

There is one confirmed primary road corridor and five proposed 20-year primary road corridors with 7 alternative primary road corridors in the long-term management direction for the 2019-2029 FMP. These are summarized in Table 3 and Table 4. The LTMD Summary Map portrays the confirmed, proposed, and alternative corridors and is available in Appendix I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Township</th>
<th>Road Name</th>
<th>Confirmed</th>
<th>(extension/upgrade/new)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brigstsock &amp; Kittson</td>
<td>Eagle Lake Road</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Township(s)</td>
<td>Road Name</td>
<td>(Propose/Alternate)</td>
<td>(extension/upgrade/new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kittson &amp; Barr</td>
<td>1) Eagle Lake Road</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kittson &amp; Barr</td>
<td>Eagle Lake Road</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>Extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extension-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banting &amp; Chambers</td>
<td>Banting Chambers</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>Upgrade/new</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chambers</td>
<td>Tasse Lake Road</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>Upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelburne</td>
<td>3) Shelburne Road</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selby, Acadia,</td>
<td>Acadia Road</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>Upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sladen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorrain</td>
<td>4) Lorrain Road</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lorrain road</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebert, Burnaby,</td>
<td>5) Burnaby Flett Road</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartle &amp; Fleet</td>
<td>Line Lake Road</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>Upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartle</td>
<td>Burnaby Fleet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Alternative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>Burnaby Fleet</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milne, Fleet &amp;</td>
<td>Burnaby Fleet</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartle</td>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clement</td>
<td>Clement Road</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>New</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The use management strategies for each primary road corridor are consistent with those indicated in the Crown Land Use Policy Atlas. The road use management strategies for each primary road corridor are available in the Supplementary Documentation 6.1(g).

The existing roads upgraded to primary road status associated with the 2019 Temagami FMP are also indicated on the LTMD Summary Map in Appendix I.
14. PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION OF SUSTAINABILITY

Based on the assessment of objective achievement results (FMP-10), the majority of indicators of sustainability (spatial and non-spatial) that were assessed at this stage of plan development had achieved targets, and/or desired levels. In those few cases where indicators did not achieve targets and/or desired levels, the current forest conditions (i.e. age class structure) or conflicting objectives requiring tradeoffs were required and supporting rationale has been provided. The existing age class imbalance on the forest and the spatial distribution of crown land ownership had the most significant impact on the achievement of objectives.

Preliminary preferred harvest areas were selected and age class substitution has been minimized in the process. The selection of areas of operation will occur during Stage 3 – Operational Planning which will allow for texture indicators to be reassessed.

The social and economic assessment for the plan suggests that no significant changes in social or economic benefits are projected for the first term of the 2019 FMP compared to the current plan. The assessment concluded that no immediate impact to employment due to harvest levels is projected for the next 10 years.

Based on this assessment of modeled objective achievement, spatial assessments, and the forecasted social and economic impacts subsequent to this long-term management direction, the planning team is satisfied that management objectives have been achieved. The preliminary determination of sustainability has achieved a balance of activities through time and progress is being made towards the desired forest and benefits.

The requirements of the Proposed Long-Term management direction were met with the endorsement of Checkpoint 5 – Support for the Proposed Long-term Management Direction Checkpoint received November 7th, 2017.

The LCC has prepared a report outlining the committee’s involvement in the development of the forest management plan to date. The report outlines the activities of the Temagami LCC and is available for review on request. The document confirms, at this time, the LCC’s general agreement with the FMP.

15. CONCLUSION

The planning team concludes, on balance, that plan objectives are being met and progress is being made towards the desired forest and benefits. The preliminary determination of sustainability for the long-term management direction has been achieved. The Temagami Management Unit continues to have regard for the plant life, animal life, water, soil, air and social and economic values, including recreational and heritage values.

A comment form is available in Appendix III for questions or concerns regarding the long-term management direction for the Temagami Management Unit.
APPENDIX I

Summary map of the preferred and optional areas for harvest operations and primary road corridors
APPENDIX II

FMP Tables 8, 9, 10
### MANAGEMENT UNIT NAME: TEMAGAMI MANAGEMENT UNIT

**PLAN PERIOD:** April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2029

#### FMP-8: Projected Available Harvest Area by Forest Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest Unit</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2039</th>
<th>2059</th>
<th>2079</th>
<th>2099</th>
<th>2119</th>
<th>2159</th>
<th>2209</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUS - PWUS4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUS - PWOR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUS - PWUSH</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>182.5</td>
<td>146.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWST</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>125.2</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>211.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUSC</td>
<td>114.3</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>133.2</td>
<td>191.8</td>
<td>229.0</td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>179.6</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJ1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>111.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJ2</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>127.6</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL - CE1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL - LC1</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>318.4</td>
<td>203.8</td>
<td>145.7</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>139.5</td>
<td>347.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>550.0</td>
<td>352.0</td>
<td>225.3</td>
<td>183.8</td>
<td>264.7</td>
<td>381.2</td>
<td>237.2</td>
<td>368.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - HDUS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - HDSL1</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - HDSL2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - OAK</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - BY1</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>216.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCC - LWMW</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCC - MWR</td>
<td>524.8</td>
<td>335.9</td>
<td>215.0</td>
<td>137.6</td>
<td>132.1</td>
<td>127.4</td>
<td>117.4</td>
<td>292.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCC - MWD</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>176.5</td>
<td>163.3</td>
<td>173.0</td>
<td>116.1</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>82.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWUS</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal: 2,118.9, 1,693.6, 1,415.9, 1,249.2, 1,292.8, 1,345.2, 1,439.8, 2,264.3
MANAGEMENT UNIT NAME: TEMAGAMI MANAGEMENT UNIT

PLAN PERIOD: April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2029

FMP-8: Projected Available Harvest Area by Forest Unit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forest Unit</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2039</th>
<th>2059</th>
<th>2079</th>
<th>2099</th>
<th>2119</th>
<th>2159</th>
<th>2209</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West A, West B, North, South, Center Strategic Management Zones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>191.5</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUS - PWUS4</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>104.4</td>
<td>150.4</td>
<td>216.6</td>
<td>311.8</td>
<td>646.6</td>
<td>1,611.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUS - PWOR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUS - PWUSH</td>
<td>434.4</td>
<td>625.5</td>
<td>900.8</td>
<td>892.1</td>
<td>521.3</td>
<td>587.5</td>
<td>1,238.0</td>
<td>1,073.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWST</td>
<td>2,706.9</td>
<td>2,080.2</td>
<td>2,609.7</td>
<td>2,524.5</td>
<td>2,931.9</td>
<td>3,099.1</td>
<td>3,320.1</td>
<td>3,203.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUSC</td>
<td>1,530.0</td>
<td>2,236.2</td>
<td>1,482.6</td>
<td>1,715.6</td>
<td>1,602.2</td>
<td>1,339.7</td>
<td>1,729.8</td>
<td>963.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJ1</td>
<td>393.3</td>
<td>566.4</td>
<td>815.6</td>
<td>1,174.5</td>
<td>1,691.2</td>
<td>2,435.4</td>
<td>2,367.6</td>
<td>4,219.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJ2</td>
<td>1,320.6</td>
<td>1,901.7</td>
<td>1,837.3</td>
<td>1,616.1</td>
<td>1,773.8</td>
<td>1,818.9</td>
<td>968.7</td>
<td>799.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL - CE1</td>
<td>462.7</td>
<td>507.1</td>
<td>579.9</td>
<td>770.2</td>
<td>483.1</td>
<td>379.2</td>
<td>214.9</td>
<td>490.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCL - LC1</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>113.6</td>
<td>163.6</td>
<td>251.3</td>
<td>160.8</td>
<td>343.4</td>
<td>854.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>573.3</td>
<td>366.9</td>
<td>234.8</td>
<td>208.3</td>
<td>302.5</td>
<td>207.5</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>248.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>3,183.0</td>
<td>2,881.5</td>
<td>2,148.9</td>
<td>1,370.1</td>
<td>1,334.6</td>
<td>1,809.2</td>
<td>1,971.8</td>
<td>3,672.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>5,469.1</td>
<td>3,525.2</td>
<td>2,256.1</td>
<td>2,398.9</td>
<td>3,454.5</td>
<td>4,974.4</td>
<td>2,239.2</td>
<td>3,283.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - HDUS</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - HDUS1</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>116.6</td>
<td>161.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - HDUS2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - OAK</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - BY1</td>
<td>371.2</td>
<td>534.5</td>
<td>679.3</td>
<td>540.0</td>
<td>366.5</td>
<td>417.6</td>
<td>418.8</td>
<td>736.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCC - LWMW</td>
<td>1,055.2</td>
<td>667.3</td>
<td>426.1</td>
<td>253.6</td>
<td>392.2</td>
<td>251.0</td>
<td>302.5</td>
<td>759.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCC - MWR</td>
<td>5,648.7</td>
<td>3,615.1</td>
<td>2,313.7</td>
<td>1,480.8</td>
<td>1,537.3</td>
<td>2,276.5</td>
<td>1,087.5</td>
<td>300.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWCC - MWD</td>
<td>886.7</td>
<td>567.5</td>
<td>752.8</td>
<td>691.0</td>
<td>442.2</td>
<td>283.0</td>
<td>115.8</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW</td>
<td>2,157.9</td>
<td>3,032.5</td>
<td>3,522.1</td>
<td>2,735.3</td>
<td>1,750.6</td>
<td>1,087.3</td>
<td>445.4</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO</td>
<td>2,915.5</td>
<td>3,447.9</td>
<td>3,407.6</td>
<td>3,321.9</td>
<td>3,486.0</td>
<td>3,539.3</td>
<td>2,955.7</td>
<td>3,239.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWUS</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>169.4</td>
<td>351.5</td>
<td>874.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>29,401.4</td>
<td>26,890.4</td>
<td>24,428.3</td>
<td>22,346.7</td>
<td>22,900.2</td>
<td>25,181.2</td>
<td>21,017.1</td>
<td>26,629.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31,520</td>
<td>28,587</td>
<td>25,844</td>
<td>23,596</td>
<td>24,193</td>
<td>26,526</td>
<td>22,457</td>
<td>28,894</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MANAGEMENT UNIT NAME: TEMA-GAMI MANAGEMENT UNIT

**PLAN PERIOD:** April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2028

**FMP-9: Projected Available Harvest Volume by Species Group and Broad Size or Product Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species Group</th>
<th>Size or Product Group</th>
<th>Land Set Aside (LSA)</th>
<th>Strategic Management Zone</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2039</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2029</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spruce Pine Fir (SPF)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>27,863</td>
<td>22,611</td>
<td>18,569</td>
<td>16,551</td>
<td>17,052</td>
<td>16,567</td>
<td>19,349</td>
<td>30,830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>66,316</td>
<td>54,775</td>
<td>44,922</td>
<td>41,248</td>
<td>44,612</td>
<td>40,428</td>
<td>45,355</td>
<td>73,144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,557</td>
<td>2,257</td>
<td>1,893</td>
<td>2,062</td>
<td>2,396</td>
<td>2,078</td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Group Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>98,137</td>
<td>79,442</td>
<td>65,363</td>
<td>59,981</td>
<td>64,661</td>
<td>61,073</td>
<td>67,009</td>
<td>107,910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poplar (Po)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>20,420</td>
<td>18,454</td>
<td>15,820</td>
<td>13,043</td>
<td>12,268</td>
<td>11,904</td>
<td>14,787</td>
<td>20,861</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>2,585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>1,089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Group Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,988</td>
<td>19,722</td>
<td>16,677</td>
<td>13,945</td>
<td>13,611</td>
<td>12,824</td>
<td>18,143</td>
<td>23,184</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch (Bw)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>13,816</td>
<td>11,191</td>
<td>9,065</td>
<td>7,607</td>
<td>6,694</td>
<td>5,958</td>
<td>6,661</td>
<td>9,365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td>18,997</td>
<td>15,388</td>
<td>12,484</td>
<td>10,659</td>
<td>9,479</td>
<td>8,193</td>
<td>9,099</td>
<td>12,677</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pole</td>
<td>1,727</td>
<td>1,399</td>
<td>1,133</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>1,171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Group Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>34,540</td>
<td>27,077</td>
<td>22,662</td>
<td>19,017</td>
<td>17,234</td>
<td>14,896</td>
<td>16,544</td>
<td>23,413</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar (Ce)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>6,172</td>
<td>4,999</td>
<td>4,050</td>
<td>3,661</td>
<td>3,446</td>
<td>3,787</td>
<td>3,887</td>
<td>6,009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>9,259</td>
<td>7,499</td>
<td>6,074</td>
<td>5,522</td>
<td>5,168</td>
<td>5,081</td>
<td>5,830</td>
<td>9,014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Group Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>15,431</td>
<td>12,499</td>
<td>10,124</td>
<td>9,204</td>
<td>8,614</td>
<td>9,469</td>
<td>9,717</td>
<td>15,023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerant Hardwoods (TerHwd)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>631</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>6,465</td>
<td>6,310</td>
<td>5,391</td>
<td>4,901</td>
<td>4,074</td>
<td>4,850</td>
<td>7,254</td>
<td>11,411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Group Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,006</td>
<td>6,941</td>
<td>5,896</td>
<td>5,413</td>
<td>4,428</td>
<td>5,231</td>
<td>7,790</td>
<td>12,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>31,802</td>
<td>31,688</td>
<td>31,459</td>
<td>31,423</td>
<td>31,328</td>
<td>31,474</td>
<td>31,515</td>
<td>32,744</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,858</td>
<td>2,925</td>
<td>4,189</td>
<td>4,332</td>
<td>4,711</td>
<td>4,116</td>
<td>3,571</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species Group Subtotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MANAGEMENT UNIT NAME: Temagami Management Unit
### PLAN PERIOD: April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2029

#### FMP-9: Projected Available Harvest Volume by Species Group and Broad Size or Product Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species Group</th>
<th>Size or Product Group</th>
<th>2,019</th>
<th>2039</th>
<th>2059</th>
<th>2079</th>
<th>2099</th>
<th>2119</th>
<th>2139</th>
<th>2159</th>
<th>2219</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>839,677</td>
<td>856,351</td>
<td>779,688</td>
<td>729,256</td>
<td>735,716</td>
<td>913,755</td>
<td>808,940</td>
<td>1,136,371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td>8,429</td>
<td>5,316</td>
<td>3,459</td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>2,379</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>671</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pole</td>
<td>43,701</td>
<td>47,197</td>
<td>47,376</td>
<td>47,329</td>
<td>51,256</td>
<td>69,711</td>
<td>64,107</td>
<td>95,892</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species Group Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,239,214</td>
<td>1,253,947</td>
<td>1,138,431</td>
<td>1,063,382</td>
<td>1,048,362</td>
<td>1,242,368</td>
<td>1,172,419</td>
<td>1,639,120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poplar (Po)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>611,960</td>
<td>614,774</td>
<td>615,902</td>
<td>609,900</td>
<td>608,237</td>
<td>648,191</td>
<td>574,507</td>
<td>582,305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>5,848</td>
<td>2,877</td>
<td>1,624</td>
<td>7,927</td>
<td>51,009</td>
<td>22,709</td>
<td>45,382</td>
<td>37,150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td>32,192</td>
<td>32,348</td>
<td>32,415</td>
<td>32,063</td>
<td>31,871</td>
<td>34,052</td>
<td>30,111</td>
<td>30,545</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species Group Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>659,900</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>704,953</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td>650,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birch (Bw)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>182,168</td>
<td>184,270</td>
<td>174,720</td>
<td>145,733</td>
<td>132,718</td>
<td>115,391</td>
<td>105,716</td>
<td>110,146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>250,481</td>
<td>253,371</td>
<td>240,240</td>
<td>200,382</td>
<td>182,487</td>
<td>158,663</td>
<td>146,734</td>
<td>151,451</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td>22,771</td>
<td>23,034</td>
<td>21,840</td>
<td>18,217</td>
<td>16,590</td>
<td>14,424</td>
<td>13,339</td>
<td>13,768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species Group Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>355,419</td>
<td>360,675</td>
<td>344,891</td>
<td>304,332</td>
<td>281,794</td>
<td>239,478</td>
<td>224,872</td>
<td>235,515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedar (Ce)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>67,207</td>
<td>54,552</td>
<td>47,462</td>
<td>48,270</td>
<td>39,975</td>
<td>49,327</td>
<td>33,988</td>
<td>48,235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>101,175</td>
<td>81,862</td>
<td>71,193</td>
<td>75,745</td>
<td>100,559</td>
<td>90,335</td>
<td>66,069</td>
<td>96,878</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species Group Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>168,411</td>
<td>136,412</td>
<td>118,656</td>
<td>124,014</td>
<td>140,234</td>
<td>139,662</td>
<td>109,057</td>
<td>144,913</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerant Hardwoods (ToHwd)</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>8,446</td>
<td>8,498</td>
<td>8,639</td>
<td>5,771</td>
<td>3,802</td>
<td>4,927</td>
<td>4,223</td>
<td>5,573</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>64,908</td>
<td>64,162</td>
<td>56,658</td>
<td>45,696</td>
<td>37,748</td>
<td>45,876</td>
<td>39,875</td>
<td>69,044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species Group Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>72,348</td>
<td>73,313</td>
<td>63,287</td>
<td>51,467</td>
<td>41,550</td>
<td>50,803</td>
<td>44,098</td>
<td>75,616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saw</td>
<td>509,036</td>
<td>505,494</td>
<td>504,696</td>
<td>516,221</td>
<td>657,673</td>
<td>581,815</td>
<td>569,585</td>
<td>607,767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vener</td>
<td>52,728</td>
<td>60,698</td>
<td>62,531</td>
<td>67,426</td>
<td>33,298</td>
<td>43,412</td>
<td>42,751</td>
<td>37,616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species Group Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective</td>
<td>Indicator(s)</td>
<td>Plant Level</td>
<td>Desirable Level</td>
<td>Timing of Assessment</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>LTAD Plant</td>
<td>Projected</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish Diversity and Forest Cover Objectives</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 1: To direct forest management activities to maintain or enhance natural landscape structure, composition, texture and patch size that provide for the long-term health of forest ecosystems and associated wildlife species by applying the Landscape Quilt.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of intolerant Hardwood Landscape Class (INTOL)</td>
<td>22.290</td>
<td>18.233 - 33.142</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTAD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to maintain within 18.233 - 33.142</td>
<td>22.710</td>
<td>24.903</td>
<td>13.653</td>
<td>17.333</td>
<td>10.027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of White Pine Mixedwood Landscape Class (PWWD)</td>
<td>39.487</td>
<td>55.060 - 70.440</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTAD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to increase within 55.060 - 70.440</td>
<td>34.113</td>
<td>38.148</td>
<td>70.036</td>
<td>70.436</td>
<td>71.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of Mixedwood Landscape Class (MIXED)</td>
<td>62.878</td>
<td>65.579 - 49.780</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTAD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to decrease within 49.780 - 65.579</td>
<td>88.012</td>
<td>67.753</td>
<td>61.590</td>
<td>57.270</td>
<td>49.765</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of Mixed Pine Landscape Class (MIXP)</td>
<td>84.534</td>
<td>23.092 - 51.668</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTAD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to maintain within 23.092 - 51.668</td>
<td>43.024</td>
<td>36.860</td>
<td>20.090</td>
<td>32.135</td>
<td>37.902</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of Spruce Fir Mixedwood Landscape Class SFC</td>
<td>80.325</td>
<td>64.237 - 64.341</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTAD Development</td>
<td>Decrease to within 64.237 - 64.341</td>
<td>80.240</td>
<td>64.850</td>
<td>87.201</td>
<td>80.800</td>
<td>86.148</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of Old Growth Forest by Planting Forest Trees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium or long term but will be achieved in 150 years (Term 13). The target directed milestone is achieved because management activities cause movement towards the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

The desired level and target is achieved in the short and medium term but not achieved in the long term. Forest dynamics will create a small and short dip below the desired level in 100 years (Term 11). The target directed milestone is achieved because management activities do not contribute to movement away from the desired level throughout the planning horizon. The desired level is achieved again in 200 years (Term 21).

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.1 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium term but will be achieved in the long term (Term 8, 70 years). The initial age class structure of the forest and natural forest succession transitions forest area out of the PWWD and into other landscape classes at Term 3. The target directed milestone is achieved in the short, medium and long term because management activities cause movement towards the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.1 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium term but will be achieved in the long term (Term 10, 90 years). The initial age class structure of the forest and natural forest succession causes a transitional forest area out of the MIXED landscape class at Term 2. The target directed milestone is achieved in the short, medium and long term because management activities cause movement towards the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.1 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level and target is achieved in the short and medium term. Forest dynamics will create a smaller and shorter dip below the desired level in 100 years (Term 11). The target directed milestone is achieved because management activities do not contribute to movement away from the desired level throughout the planning horizon. The desired level is achieved again in 200 years (Term 21).

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.1 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium or long term but will be achieved in the long term (Term 11, 110 years). The target directed milestone is achieved in the short, medium and long term because management activities cause movement towards the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.1 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Objective</th>
<th>Indicated(s)</th>
<th>Plant Start Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Short (10yr)</th>
<th>Medium (20yr)</th>
<th>Long (100yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MVCC - 15,009</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTM Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 12,343 - 5,500</td>
<td>17,254</td>
<td>22,279</td>
<td>13,319</td>
<td>11,235</td>
<td>14,606</td>
<td>The desired level is not achieved in the short, or medium term. The target directional milestone is not achieved in the medium term because the initial age class structure and natural forest succession are causing an increase for the indicator in the first 4 terms. The target directional milestone is achieved between the medium and long term (Terms 5) as management activities cause movement towards the desired level and never contribute to the increase above the desired level in the long term. Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.2 for in depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYSUS - 4,064</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTM Development</td>
<td>Movement to decrease within 2,528 - 5,503</td>
<td>6,002</td>
<td>9,291</td>
<td>17,325</td>
<td>14,818</td>
<td>9,671</td>
<td>The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium or long term. The target directional milestone is not achieved in the short or medium term because the initial age class structure and natural forest succession are causing an increase for this indicator in the first 8 terms. The target achieved in the long term (Terms 9) as management activities cause movement towards the desired level and never contribute to the increase above the desired level throughout the remainder of the planning horizon. Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.2 for in depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ1 - 1,060</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTM Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 1,180 - 3,207</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>1,291</td>
<td>1,204</td>
<td>2,103</td>
<td>2,104</td>
<td>The desired level is not achieved in the short term. The initial age class structure, natural forest succession and set of application of landscape guide indicators cause this indicator to sometimes fluctuate above and below desired level by several hundred hectares in. The target directional milestone is achieved in the long term (Terms 9) as management activities cause movement towards the desired level and never contribute to the increase above or below the desired level throughout the remainder of the planning horizon. Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.1 for in depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW1 - 2,047</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTM Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to increase within 2,000 - 7,211</td>
<td>3,964</td>
<td>5,034</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>1,821</td>
<td>2,129</td>
<td>The desired level achieved in the short, medium but it is not achieved in the long term, natural forest succession causes the drop below the desired level in the long term. The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities maintain the decline and cause movement to the increase towards the desired level. The desired level is never reached again because all BW1 old growth in the reserve forest will decline through succession with younger forest BW1 to replace it. Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.1 for in depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR1 - 198</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTM Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to maintain within 84 - 204</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>The desired level and target directional milestone is achieved in the short and medium term but is not achieved in the long term by several hundred hectares. Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.2 for in depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective</td>
<td>Indicator(s)</td>
<td>Plant Start Level</td>
<td>Desirable Level</td>
<td>Timing of Assessment</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>LTSD + Projection Short (1yr)</td>
<td>Medium (20yr)</td>
<td>Long (100yr)</td>
<td>(200yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PH2G - 14.642</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,050 - 24,418</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTID Development</td>
<td>Causes movement to increase within 15,050 - 24,418</td>
<td>18,257</td>
<td>13,948</td>
<td>27,361</td>
<td>25,616</td>
<td>26,041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PWST - 2.995</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,501 - 3,294</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTID Development</td>
<td>Causes movement to maintain within 1,501 - 3,294</td>
<td>3,383</td>
<td>6,158</td>
<td>7,329</td>
<td>7,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PJ1 - 2.058</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>673 - 2,281</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTID Development</td>
<td>Causes movement to maintain within 673 - 2,281</td>
<td>4,023</td>
<td>3,541</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PJ2 - 3.054</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,418 - 6,375</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTID Development</td>
<td>Causes movement to maintain within 2,418 - 6,375</td>
<td>5,333</td>
<td>5,333</td>
<td>2,872</td>
<td>1,790</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SP - 14.724</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,272 - 11,510</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTID Development</td>
<td>Causes movement decrease within 4,272 - 11,510</td>
<td>10,712</td>
<td>15,003</td>
<td>3,730</td>
<td>3,055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The desired level achieved in the short and medium term but is not achieved in the long term. The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities cause movement to increase towards the desired level and do not cause movement contributing to exceeding the desired level in the long term.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 8.1.2 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is achieved in the short term but is not achieved in the medium and long term. The order of application and the existing age class structure contributes to the fluctuations above or within the desired level. This is caused because landscape class indicators must be met first. The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities never contribute to exceeding the desired level.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 8.1.2 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium or long term. Natural forest succession causes the drop below the desired level in the long term.

The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities cause the decline and cause movement to increase towards the desired level. The desired level is never reached again because all PJ1 old growth in the reserve forest will decline through succession with no younger forest PJ1 to replace it. In the long term, all the PJ1 old growth will come from the available forest and none will persist in the reserve forest.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 8.1.2 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is achieved in the short and medium term but is not achieved beyond the long term. Natural forest succession causes a drop towards the lower range below the desired level in the long term.

The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities cause the decline and cause movement to increase towards the desired level. The desired level only reached again in 250 years because all PJ1 old growth in the reserve forest declines through succession with no younger forest PJ1 to replace it. In the long term, the majority of the PJ1 old growth will come from the available forest with none in the reserve forest.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 8.1.2 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short and medium term but is achieved in the long term. Natural forest succession causes the decline towards the desired level in the long term. The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities cause movement towards the desired level.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 8.1.2 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Objective</th>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plant Start Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LTMD - Projection</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short (10yr)</td>
<td>Medium (20yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFI - 10,538</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,295 - 2,007</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 6,295 - 2,007</td>
<td>17,587</td>
<td>23,230</td>
<td>23,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVVSC - 8,857</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,503 - 1,405</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 3,503 - 1,405</td>
<td>7,792</td>
<td>7,053</td>
<td>2,809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB1 - 3,271</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,100 - 4,406</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 1,100 - 4,406</td>
<td>3,028</td>
<td>3,082</td>
<td>1,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIDU1 - 708</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,207 - 21,102</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 12,207 - 21,102</td>
<td>2,112</td>
<td>5,002</td>
<td>9,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of Red and White Pine Forest (PWFR)</td>
<td></td>
<td>76,350 - 60,570</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 76,350 - 60,570</td>
<td>89,521</td>
<td>97,674</td>
<td>118,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWFR - 81,095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of young forest in preseeding development stage (PRESAP)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30,250 - 103,482</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 30,750 - 100,482</td>
<td>20,695</td>
<td>21,730</td>
<td>26,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hectares of Preseeding Sapling and 1-Stage development stage (PSST)</td>
<td></td>
<td>78,024 - 144,781</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Cause movement to within 78,024 - 144,781</td>
<td>88,663</td>
<td>87,177</td>
<td>86,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02 in the (0.1 - 0.2) hexagon</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11 in the (0.1 - 0.2) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08 in the (0.21-0.4) hexagon</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18 in the (0.21-0.4) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The desired level not achieved in the short, medium and long term. Natural forest succession (minimally transforming from SFI to ST) causes the increase of this indicator. The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities cause movement towards the desired level throughout the planning horizon. In this case, the majority of the SFI old growth is occurring within the reserve forest.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.2 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short and medium term but is achieved in the long term. Natural forest succession (primarily from more white pine dominated forest units in the PWFR) causes the increase and fluctuation of this indicator. The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities do not contribute to movement exceeding the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.2 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short and medium term but is achieved in the long term. The target directional milestone is achieved through the planning horizon because management activities cause movement towards the desired level.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.2 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short and medium term but is exceeded in the long term. The target directional milestone is achieved because management activities do not contribute to movement exceeding the desired level throughout the planning horizon.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.3 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level and target directional milestone is achieved throughout the planning horizon.

The desired level is not achieved in the short and medium term but is exceeded in the long term. The target directional milestone is achieved through the planning horizon because management activities cause movement towards the desired level.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.4 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The desired level is not achieved in the short, medium or long term. The target directional milestone is achieved throughout the planning horizon because management activities cause movement towards the desired level.

Refer to Analysis Package Section 6.1.4 for in-depth analysis of movement caused by management activities.

The spatial assessment indicates the plan start level was increased towards the desired level.

The spatial assessment indicates the plan start level was increased towards the desired level.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Objective</th>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plant Start Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LTMS Projection Short (15yr)</th>
<th>Medium (35yr)</th>
<th>Long (100yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texture of Mature and Old Forest - Proportion of 5000 ha Hexagon</td>
<td>0.2 in the (0.41 - 0.6) hexagon</td>
<td>0.19 in the (0.41 - 0.6) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 in the (0.61 - 0.8) hexagon</td>
<td>0.25 in the (0.61 - 0.8) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3 in the (0.81 - 1.0) hexagon</td>
<td>0.3 in the (0.81 - 1.0) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 in the (0.1 - 0.2) hexagon</td>
<td>0.01 in the (0.1 - 0.2) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01 in the (0.2 - 0.4) hexagon</td>
<td>0.01 in the (0.2 - 0.4) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 in the (0.41 - 0.6) hexagon</td>
<td>0.02 in the (0.41 - 0.6) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.07 in the (0.81 - 1.0) hexagon</td>
<td>0.07 in the (0.81 - 1.0) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.14 in the (1.01 - 1.2) hexagon</td>
<td>0.14 in the (1.01 - 1.2) hexagon</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch size of Young Forest (&gt;50 years) - Proportion of Patch size</td>
<td>0.10 in the (1-10 ha) patch size</td>
<td>0.61 in the (1-10 ha) patch size</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 in the (10-50) patch size</td>
<td>0.16 in the (10-50) patch size</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 in the (25-500) patch size</td>
<td>0.09 in the (25-500) patch size</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25 in the (501-1,000) patch size</td>
<td>0.05 in the (501-1,000) patch size</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 in the (1,000-5,000) patch size</td>
<td>0.03 in the (1,000-5,000) patch size</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 in the (5,000-10,000) patch size</td>
<td>0.01 in the (5,000-10,000) patch size</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 in the (10,000-30,000) patch size</td>
<td>0.00 in the (10,000-30,000) patch size</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 in the (30,000-100,000) patch size</td>
<td>0.00 in the (30,000-100,000) patch size</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Maintain</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective</td>
<td>Indicator(s)</td>
<td>Plant Start Level</td>
<td>Desirable Level</td>
<td>Timing of Assessment</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Short (1yr)</td>
<td>Medium (2yr)</td>
<td>Long (180 yr)</td>
<td>[150yr]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 3. To develop and implement Forest management activities in a manner that protects or enhances environmental, wildlife, recreational and cultural heritage values by applying the Stand and Site Guide.</td>
<td>Compliance with AOC prescriptions and Conditions on regular operations.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review of total moose carrying capacity</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35 - 0.4</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 4. To maintain productive forest area lost by forest management activities and to increase the amount of Crown productive forest by regenerating, where appropriate, non-forest area.</td>
<td>Hectares of planned Crown Forest available for timber production.</td>
<td>320,317</td>
<td>320,317</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>320,317</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>km2 of roads in EMA</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>km2 of roads on the TMU</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 5. To ensure silviculture regeneration harvest forest area to the targeted forest units and silvicultural intensity</td>
<td>Percent of harvested forest area assessed as free-growing by forest unit</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>90-100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned and actual percent of harvest area treated by silvicultural intensity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>90-100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planned and actual percent of area successfully regenerating to the projected forest unit by forest unit</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>90-100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 6. To improve marketability of low-grade, designed or overstocked stands by conducting a stand improvement silviculture projects annually.</td>
<td>Number of completed stand improvement projects in tolerant hardwood forest</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>As needed to address all potential stand improvement projects</td>
<td>Annual reports</td>
<td>Annual project implementation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MANAGEMENT UNIT NAME: TEMACAM MANAGEMENT UNIT
### PLAN PERIOD: April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2029

#### FWP-10: Assessment of Objective Achievement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Objective</th>
<th>Indicators/s</th>
<th>Plant Start Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LTMD - Projection</th>
<th>Long Term Goals</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 1. Maintain a forest resilient and adaptive to climate change through the improvement of the ecosystem resilience, structure, and composition and through the reporting and monitoring of invasive species, pests, and diseases.</td>
<td>Include indicators for management objective 1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Annual Project Implementation</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>Annual Project Implementation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 2. Maintain a forest resilient and adaptive to climate change through the improvement of the ecosystem resilience, structure, and composition and through the reporting and monitoring of invasive species, pests, and diseases.</td>
<td>Reporting signs of invasive species</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Annual reports</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 3. Investigate opportunities and economically viable alternatives and assess the structure and composition objectives from the application of herbicides.</td>
<td>Complete LTMD scaling and sensitivity analysis of herbicides use in SFUMA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Stage 7 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Completed relevant scaling and sensitivity analysis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of herbicide use per hectare of harvested</td>
<td>Benchmark established</td>
<td>as needed to achieve plan objectives</td>
<td>Stage 4 - Crush Plan, Stage 5 - Final Plan, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>Establish benchmark historical use by plan start and evaluate during plan implementation at Year 5 annual report</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Social and Economic Objectives

<p>| Management Objective 10. Provide for a maximum, continuous, predictable, even and cost-effective long-term wood supply. | Long Term Projected annual Available Harvest Area (ha) by Plan Forest Unit for the South, West, Center, West A, and West B Strategic Management Zones | MCL - 57 | Meets Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID | Stage 2 - LTMD Development | 82 | 59 | 82 | 83 | 58 | 135 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| | | MVCC - 759 | Meets Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID | Stage 2 - LTMD Development | 759 | 759 | 856 | 256 | 151 | 110 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| | | MVUS - 4 | Meets Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID | Stage 2 - LTMD Development | 4 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 55 | 87 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| | | PD1 - 291 | Meets Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID | Stage 2 - LTMD Development | 291 | 201 | 320 | 410 | 290 | 324 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| | | BW1 - 218 | Meets Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID | Stage 2 - LTMD Development | 218 | 218 | 292 | 137 | 45 | 7 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Objective</th>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plant Start Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Short (10yr)</th>
<th>Mid (50yr)</th>
<th>Long (100yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PY1 - 50</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWYS - 48</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWYST - 271</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJ1 - 30</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJ2 - 132</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP1 - 318</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF1 - 547</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUIGC - 153</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1 - 57</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS - 46</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total - 2,940</td>
<td>Meet Structure and Composition objectives and supply CID</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>2701</td>
<td>2410</td>
<td>2102</td>
<td>2903</td>
<td>Desirable and target levels were achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective</td>
<td>Indicator(s)</td>
<td>Plant Start Level</td>
<td>Desirable Level</td>
<td>Timing of Assessment</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>LTI/M - Projection (5yr</td>
<td>Len (100 yr)</td>
<td>Long (1000 yr)</td>
<td>Long (10,000 yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short (10yr)</td>
<td>Medium (100yr)</td>
<td>Large (1000yr)</td>
<td>Large (10,000yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Term Annual Projected</td>
<td>MCL G-0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Available Harvest Volume (m³/ha) by Species Group</td>
<td>MMCC - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVWUS - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BD - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BM1 - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PR1 - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PVWUS - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWST - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PJ1 - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PJ2 - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SF - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWUSC - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB1 - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HDUS1 - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total - 0 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual total harvest area utilization [%] over the current and preceding planning period</td>
<td>35% 100%</td>
<td>Annual report</td>
<td>Increasing to within 50 - 100% by Plan end</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Total Harvest Volume [%] by Species Group for the South, North, Center, West A and West B Strategic Management Zones</td>
<td>Birch - 0 ++100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poplar - 0 ++100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPF - 0 ++100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PVMR - 0 ++100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cedar - 0 ++100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total - 0 ++100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Desirable and target levels were achieved.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Objective</th>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plausible Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Short (1yr)</th>
<th>Medium (5yr)</th>
<th>Long (50yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual Taka Annual Harvest Volume utilisation (%) over the current and preceding planning period</td>
<td>40% 100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Annual reports</td>
<td>Increasing to within 50-100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of and arrangement of allocations - Analysis unit and resulting from the use of Third Party Tools to develop marketable allocations</td>
<td>Not used Documented use</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Documented use</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 12. To develop and distribute a comprehensive road status and quality inventory describing road quality, drivability and life span.</td>
<td>Run of road type not established</td>
<td>Established by Plan Start</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road condition indicator</td>
<td>not established</td>
<td>Established by Plan Start</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 13. To encourage the maximum harvest of available marketable forest stands while implementing forest operations.</td>
<td>% utilization of planned vs actual stand volume harvested by block</td>
<td>not established</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80-100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% compliance in Utilization activity over the current and preceding planning period</td>
<td>100% 100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Annual reports</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 14. To identify and mitigate management impacts to recreational commercial and other values from the forest resource and to identify and manage impact to forest management activities from recreational or other commercial values</td>
<td>% compliance with AOC prescriptions over the current and preceding planning period</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 15. To emphasize and provided access to non-timber values on the Temagami forest</td>
<td>Compliance with AOC prescriptions</td>
<td>100% 100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High mining potential access</td>
<td>NA as feasible</td>
<td>Operational planning</td>
<td>as feasible</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping where appropriate areas of potential non-timber values</td>
<td>none mapped all areas mapped</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>as appropriate</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 16. To undertake all forest management operations such that any negative environmental impacts are avoided or minimized.</td>
<td>% forest operation inspections in non-compliance, by activity and remedy type</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCC self-evaluation</td>
<td>NA Full Support</td>
<td>Stage 7: LTMD Development, Stage-3 Proposed Operations, Stage 4 Draft Plan, Stage 5 - Final Plans, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>Majority Support</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Management Objective 17
To engage Local Councillors and effectively participate in the development and implementation of the forest management plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plant Rot Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LTMD Projection Short (10yr)</th>
<th>Median (20yr)</th>
<th>Long (100 yr)</th>
<th>(150yr)</th>
<th>(200yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Support Management objectives</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Full Support</td>
<td>Stage 3: Proposed Operations, Stage 4: Draft Plan, Stage 5: Final Plan, Year 3 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>Majority Support</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Stage 4: Draft Plan</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Full Support</td>
<td>Stage 4: Draft Plan, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>Majority Support</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Stage 5: Final Plan</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Full Support</td>
<td>Stage 5: Final Plan, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>Majority Support</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of field trips per year</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>as per LCC terms of Reference</td>
<td>Stage 4: Draft Plan, Stage 5: Final Plan, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>as per LCC terms of Reference</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Management Objective 18
To collaborate with First Nations, and others in identifying feasible economic opportunities that contribute to capacity building and education on a broad range of forest management related activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plant Rot Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LTMD Projection Short (10yr)</th>
<th>Median (20yr)</th>
<th>Long (100 yr)</th>
<th>(150yr)</th>
<th>(200yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of First Nation communities involved in the Forest Management Planning and Implementation Process</td>
<td>Tenasga First Nation, Matchewa, First Nation, Tenasga Anishnabek, Timiskaming First Nation</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Stage 2: LTMD Development, Stage 4: Draft Plan, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of concerns successfully resolved by all parties involved</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Stage 4: Draft Plan, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of field trips to First Nation communities</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 per year</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>1 per year</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Management Objective 19
To collaborate with local municipalities in identifying feasible economic opportunities that contribute to capacity building and education on a broad range of forest management related activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plant Rot Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LTMD Projection Short (10yr)</th>
<th>Median (20yr)</th>
<th>Long (100 yr)</th>
<th>(150yr)</th>
<th>(200yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Municipalities involved in the Forest Management Planning and Implementation Process</td>
<td>one representative on the planning team</td>
<td>one representative on the planning team</td>
<td>Stage 2: LTMD Development, Stage 4: Draft Plan, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>one representative on the planning team</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of concerns successfully resolved by all parties involved</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Stage 4: Draft Plan, Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEEO model results</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Stage 2: LTMD Development</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of field trips to local municipalities</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 per year</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>1 per year</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Management Objective 20
To identify and map standing tree area for individual to harvest firewood for personal use and provide commercial firewood opportunities across the forest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plant Rot Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LTMD Projection Short (10yr)</th>
<th>Median (20yr)</th>
<th>Long (100 yr)</th>
<th>(150yr)</th>
<th>(200yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Stage 3: Planned Operations</td>
<td>as areas as appropriate</td>
<td>as areas as appropriate</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Management Objective 21
**Research opportunities to provide for future and emerging markets by establishing scientific trails for under-utilized species, and new technologies requiring long term local involvement.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Plant Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Short (1yr)</th>
<th>Medium (2-5yr)</th>
<th>Long (6-20yr)</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement, evaluation and expansion of opportunities</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 Projects</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>2 Projects</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Management Objective 22
**To use effective communication tools for the dissemination and gathering of information related to forest management activities that can brand forestry in a positive and forward-thinking manner that promotes the forest health, cultural identity and economic well-being of local communities.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Records of communication</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use of website, signage, texting and media notices that are accessible locally and remotely</td>
<td>website in place</td>
<td>accessible, visible and interactive presence within communities and online.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lands Set Aside Objectives

#### Management Objective 23
To build youth capacity by training and enabling youth to participate in forest management through a diversity of opportunities in silviculture, harvest, access and monitoring activities or as part of the Lands set aside that allows an individual to see the full suite of management activities within the 10 year plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of field trips or training opportunities carried out</th>
<th>none</th>
<th>one/year</th>
<th>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</th>
<th>one/year</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of harvest and renewal activities</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>location of activities such that the community may take advantage of local training opportunities</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations, Year 5 and Final Year AR</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forstry programs established within the community</td>
<td>No program established</td>
<td>Have a program in place that has the capacity to accommodate the level of interest within the community</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>Have a program in place that has the capacity to accommodate the level of interest within the community</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Management Objective 24
To ensure critical roose habitat is considered by developing or applying AOC prescriptions and to provide for new habitat through forest management activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure and composition of relevant IIA (see objective 3)</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>TB</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>TBD</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>NA</th>
<th>TBD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance with AOC prescriptions.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Management Objective 25
To use indicator for Management Objective 1: hardwood Landscape Class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Manage Plan</th>
<th>Start level for Management Objective 1</th>
<th>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</th>
<th>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</th>
<th>Contribute to objective 1 target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective</td>
<td>Indicator(s)</td>
<td>Present Start Level</td>
<td>Desirable Level</td>
<td>Timing of Assessment</td>
<td>Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Plan Start level for Management Objective 1</td>
<td>See Desired Levels for management objective 1</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMD Development</td>
<td>Contribute to objective 1 target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MANAGEMENT UNIT NAME: YEMADYI MANAGEMENT UNIT
#### PLAN PERIOD: April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2029
#### FUP-18: Assessment of Objective Achievement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Objective</th>
<th>Indicator(s)</th>
<th>Long Term Projected Annual Harvest Volume (m³/yr)</th>
<th>Plant Start Level</th>
<th>Desirable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LTMG - Projection</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 28 To provide an accessible and available wood supply so that Tenagana Pita Nation can benefit from all forest management activities (harvest and renewal) on the Lands set aside</td>
<td>MCL - 4</td>
<td>Long Term Projected Available Harvest Area (ha) for LSA Strategic Management Zones</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stage 2 - LTMG Development</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVCC - 66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVUS - 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO1 - 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW1 - 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR1 - 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY1US - 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWSV - 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PJ1 - 0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FJ1 - 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP1 - 32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF1 - 55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWUSC - 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB1 - 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDUS1 - 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total - 212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>212</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Management Objective 29 To provide an accessible and available wood supply so that Tenagana Pita Nation can benefit from all forest management activities (harvest and renewal) on the Lands set aside | Bich - 3,454 | | 3,454 | 3,454 | 3,454 | 1,551 | 1,954 | 2,341 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| Pobjar - 2,100 | | | 2,100 | 2,100 | 2,100 | 1,241 | 1,914 | 2,516 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| SPF - 0,878 | | | 0,878 | 0,878 | 0,878 | 8,640 | 8,258 | 8,701 | 10,792 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| Vlaeriait Handwood - T391 | | | 701 | 701 | 831 | 497 | 765 | 1,263 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| PWPR - 0,900 | | | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| Cedar - 1,543 | | | 1,543 | 1,543 | 1,543 | 1,543 | 1,543 | 1,543 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |
| Total - 22,863 | | | 22,863 | 22,863 | 21,136 | 15,368 | 18,926 | 23,415 | Desirable and target levels were achieved |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Objective</th>
<th>Indicators(s)</th>
<th>Plant Start Level</th>
<th>Destinable Level</th>
<th>Timing of Assessment</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>LFM - Projection</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>Long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10yr)</td>
<td>(20yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Harvest Area (%) for the LSA Strategic Management Zones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCL - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MWCC - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MWUS - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POI - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWI - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRI - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWUS - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWFST - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSH - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 13</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 104%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PZI - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 13</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 104%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPF - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEF - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWHSC - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DBI - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MOUSI - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total - 0</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Total Harvest Volume (% of the current planning period)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Annual reports</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Harvest Volume by Species Group for the LSA Strategic Management Zones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birch - 0</td>
<td>&lt;=100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poplar - 0</td>
<td>&lt;=100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPF - 0</td>
<td>&lt;=100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hardwood Hardwood - 0</td>
<td>&lt;=100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PWFR - 0</td>
<td>&lt;=100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cedar - 0</td>
<td>&lt;=100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total - 0</td>
<td>&lt;=100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Total Annual Harvest Volume (% of the current planning period)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Annual reports</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increasing to within 50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of and arrangement of allocations by Analysis unit and resulting from the Use of Third-Party Tools to develop maintainable allocations</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Documented use</td>
<td>Stage 3 - Planned Operations</td>
<td>Documented use</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of harvested forest area assessed as year grown by forest unit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10</td>
<td>Annual Reports</td>
<td>50 - 100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective</td>
<td>Indicator(s)</td>
<td>Plant Start Level</td>
<td>Desirable Level</td>
<td>Timing of Assessment</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>LTBCO - Projection</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Short (1yr)</td>
<td>Medium (10yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan and actual percent of harvest area treated by silvicultural intensity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>0-100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan and actual percent of area successfully augmented to the projected forest unit by forest unit</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>0-100%</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 27 To utilize non-herbicide tools for silvicultural including fire and manual tending where appropriate as alternatives to herbicides and to build capacity within the community</td>
<td>Number of completed silviculture projects involving the community</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 per year</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>As silviculturally relevant</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 28 To implement demonstration projects such as thinning, clearing of forest within the LSA that provide for future long term economic benefit and forest health</td>
<td>Number of completed silviculture projects involving the community</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 per year</td>
<td>Year 5 and Year 10 Annual Reports</td>
<td>As silviculturally relevant</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 29 To recognize, create opportunity and coordinate the harvest of non-timber forest products such as maple syrup and medicinal plants for the benefit of TPNTAA (Qualitative)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Objective 30 To communicate and brand forest management related activities in a positive and forest-thinking manner that promotes the cultural identity, economic well-being and long term forest health of Tenagami First Nation people and traditional lands (Qualitative)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX III

Comment Form
TEMPAGAMI MANAGEMENT UNIT
2019-2029 FOREST MANAGEMENT PLAN
STAGE 2 – REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT DIRECTION

November 8, 2017 – December 7, 2017

COMMENT SHEET

By having your name and address, we can reply to your concerns/comments.

Name: ____________________________

Address: ____________________________

______________________________

______________________________

Tel. No.: ____________________________

Under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act personal information will remain confidential unless prior consent is obtained. However, this information may be used by the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry to seek input on other resource management surveys and projects. For further information, please contact Marilyn Mills at 705-475-5598 (North Bay District MNRF).

Part 1: The Information Centre

1. Do you know of any other values (eg. nests, trapper cabins, heritage sites, etc.) which should be on the values map?

   Yes ____  No ____

   If yes, please contact Robert Baker of the North Bay District MNRF at 705-475-5521.

2. Do you have a concern with any of the proposed management strategy?

   Yes ____  No ____

   If you answered “yes” to the above, please identify your concern, why it concerns you and possible solution.

   ____________________________

   ____________________________

   ____________________________

   ____________________________
3. Do you have a concern with any of the proposed forest access road projections (e.g. location, river/stream crossing, unnecessary road or water crossing)?

Yes ___  No ___

If you indicated "yes", please specify the road, your concern and how you would change the road proposals to satisfy your concerns.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

4. Do you have a concern with any of the proposed preferred harvest areas (e.g. location)

Yes ___  No ___

If you indicated "yes", please specify which harvest area, your concern and how your concern could be addressed.

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

5. If you don't already receive notices about the Temagami Management Unit Forest Management Plan but would like to, please indicate here. (must provide mailing address)

Yes, I would like to be added to the mail list and receive mailed notices: _____

6. Did you hear about the Comment Period from (check as many as apply):

   ___ Letter  ___ Mailbox flyer
   ___ Newspaper  ___ Friend/another person

7. What are you most interested in?

   ___ Harvest allocations
   ___ Roads
Learning more about the forests/forestry

Talking to somebody about forest management planning

Other issues (please specify):
Please return your comments no later than December 7, 2017 to:

Robert Baker, R.P.F.
MNRF North Bay District
3301 Trout Lake Road
North Bay, ON P0L 1C0
705-475-5516
robert.baker@ontario.ca

Etienne Green, R.P.F.
First Resource Management Group
P.O. Box 850
New Liskeard, ON P0J 1P0
705-850-3360
etienne.green@frmg.ca

Lorne Hilioat
Local Citizen Committee Rep.
Site C 74 Scott Street, Box 1810
New Liskeard ON P0J 1P0
705-628-2444
hilicoat@temfunders.ca

Renseignements en français : Guylaine Thauvette, 705-475-5539

Thank you for your cooperation and interest in the Temagami Management Unit Forest Management Plan 2019 -2029
Mayor/Reeve and Clerk/CAO,

As a follow-up to the letter from April 27, 2017, I am pleased to share with you some updates from the Municipal Policing Bureau of the OPP.

In October 2017, our Bureau organized a webinar on the 2018 Annual Billing Statements, issued to municipalities in September. The Financial Services Unit Manager explained in detail the 2018 Annual Billing Statements and answered questions. The webinar presentation with the speaking notes was sent to all webinar participants; however, if you or your municipal representatives are interested in receiving it, please let our Bureau know at opp.municipalpolicing@opp.ca. The presentation will be also uploaded in both official languages on www.opp.ca/billingmodel page. Please check our www.opp.ca/municipalpolicing page for other municipal policing information and documents. Should you have any questions specific to your municipality, please email our Financial Services Unit members at opp.mpb.financial.services.unit@opp.ca

On November 2, 2017, Marie-France Lalonde, Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services, and Attorney General Yasir Naqvi announced that the first Reading for Bill 175, Safer Ontario Act, 2017 was carried. The bill is a comprehensive community safety legislative package that, if passed, would represent the largest policing and public safety transformation in a generation. A bill is considered to be "passed" by the Legislative Assembly once it has received three readings; upon receiving Royal Assent, the “passed” bill becomes an Act. Accordingly, the Police Services Act, 1990 will be in force until the new Act will receive Royal Assent.

As usual, our Bureau will have a booth at the upcoming Rural Ontario Municipal Association (ROMA) Conference, which will be held January 21-23 in Toronto. Drop in at our booth and speak to our analysts directly or should you like to have a more formal discussion during the conference, please contact us directly at opp.municipalpolicing@opp.ca and we will set up a time to meet you the day following the Minister’s delegation meetings.

Further, we will maintain the tradition we initiated last year, and will share with you the 2018 Municipal Policing Bureau Calendar. Same as last year, the Calendar will be sent to your Detachment Commanders and he/she or a detachment designate will further distribute it to your municipality.

We look forward to hearing from your municipality on these initiatives and our fruitful collaboration in the future.
The OPP is committed to work diligently with municipal stakeholders to ensure effective, efficient and sustainable police service delivery in Ontario.

Thank you again.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

M.M.(Marc) Bedard
Superintendent
Commander,
Municipal Policing Bureau

Email opp.municipalpolicing@opp.ca
Web: www.opp.ca/municipalpolicing
Twitter @OPP_Mun_Pol

/nv
From: Roxanne St. Germain
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2017 3:13 PM
To: Roxanne St. Germain
Subject: FW: Resolution Support- 718/2017 Flood Insurance program
Attachments: 718.2017.pdf

From: Vanessa Rosin [mailto:administration@town.ignace.on.ca]
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2017 12:07 PM
Subject: Resolution Support- 718/2017 Flood Insurance program

Sorry about that the attachment did not work in the first e-mail. Please find attached Resolution 718/2017.

Vanessa Rosin ☺
Administrative Assistant
Township of Ignace
34 Hwy 17 W
PO Box 248
Ignace ON P0T 1T0
tel: 807-934-2202

CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING:
This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the person responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are strictly prohibited from disclosing, distributing, copying or in any way using this message. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender, and destroy and delete any copies you may have received.

AVERTISSEMENT:
Ce message est destiné uniquement à la personne ou à l'organisation à laquelle il est adressé. Il peut contenir des informations privilégiées, confidentielles ou non divulguables en vertu de la loi. Si vous n'êtes pas le destinataire du présent message ou la personne chargée de remettre le présent message à son destinataire, il vous est strictement interdit de le divulguer, de le distribuer, de le copier ou de l'utiliser de quelque façon que soit. Si vous avez reçu la présente communication par erreur, veuillez en avertir l'expéditeur et détruire ou effacer tous les exemplaires que vous avez reçus.
The Corporation of the
Township of Ignace
34 Highway 17 West P.O. Box 248 Ignace, ON P0T 1T0

November 13, 2017

RESOLUTION # 118/2017

Moved By: Signed
Seconded By:

WHEREAS Weather patterns seem to have changed, in that excessive and prolonged rains are now becoming more frequent and regular,

WHEREAS There is an increased chance of flooding, as a result of excessive and prolonged rains;

WHEREAS Property owners in areas that are at an increased risk of flooding are often unable to purchase flood insurance to protect their properties;

WHEREAS The cost of property repairs after a flood cause financial hardship for individuals, families and businesses.

NOW THEREFORE IT BE RESOLVED That the government of Ontario be urged to create a Provincial Flood Insurance Program, to cover those individuals, families and businesses who are unable to secure flood insurance for their properties;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED That a copy of this motion be sent to the Honourable Kathleen Wynne, Premier of Ontario, the Honourable Patrick Brown, Leader of the Progressive Conservative party, the Honourable Andrea Horwath, Leader of the New Democratic Party, and all MPPs in the Province of Ontario; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this motion be sent to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) and all Ontario municipalities for their consideration.

MAYOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution Results</th>
<th>Recorded Vote (Signatures Required)</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CARRIED</td>
<td>MAYOR AND COUNCIL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFeated</td>
<td>John Taddeo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TABLED</td>
<td>Shaun Defeo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORDED VOTE</td>
<td>Alan Graver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PECUNIARY INTEREST DECLARED</td>
<td>Lee Kennard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITHDRAWN</td>
<td>Chicki Pesola</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Good morning,

Please see attached media release on behalf of the Ontario Forestry Coalition asking the government to support the sector. A translated version to follow.

Have a great day!

Alison
Media Release – Queen’s Park Event
Watch the event Live on OFIA’s Facebook page.

Forestry Coalition Asks Government to Support Sector
Leaders say Policy Must Consider Climate Change, Cumulative, and Socio-Economic Impacts

November 20th, 2017 – A coalition of municipal and Indigenous leaders, chambers of commerce, unions, and forest professionals are coming to Queen’s Park on Wednesday, November 22nd to dispel misinformation about Ontario’s forest sector and to urge the Government to avoid unintended consequences from rushed species at risk (SAR) policy.

Recently, a co-ordinated effort by groups opposed to forestry has attempted to label Ontario’s forest sector as unsustainable. On October 25th an opinion piece in the Toronto Star, authored by the David Suzuki Foundation and Environmental Defense, asked, “will anyone act to save the caribou? Ontario is not.” Similar comments were made by CPAWS Wildlands League and the American activist group Natural Resources Defence Council (NRDC).

In response, FONOM President and Mayor of Kapuskasing, Al Spacek, said, “To claim Ontario has not acted to save caribou is conveniently ignoring over 20 years of work, 600 tracked animals and $11 million dollars of government research.”

On October 18th, Ben and Jerry’s ice cream wrote a letter to provincial Ministers and Premiers to say that they are concerned about “unsustainable logging practices” in Canada’s boreal forest. NOMA President and Mayor of Shuniah, Wendy Landry, stated, “These attacks on forestry are extremely concerning. Decisions on policy need to be made on the best available science and informed by the people who are most impacted.” She went on to say, “Arguments presented by those with special interests and no skin in the game cannot be viewed as credible. We are forestry. This is our backyard and we deserve to have a say in the policy that governs it.”

Chair of ROMA and Mayor of the Township of Rideau Lakes, Ron Holman, said, “Each day, we grow more concerned with how activist rhetoric may threaten forest sustainability. New policy based on misinformation will have unintended consequences for communities in every region of this province.”

Chief Ed Wawia, from Red Rock Indian Band, stated, “The socio-economic impacts of the proposed species at risk rules have the potential to negatively impact Indigenous communities. If these proposed new regulations are implemented, the sustainable forestry businesses we have built and the jobs dependent on them will be lost.”

Jamie Lim, President and CEO of the Ontario Forest Industries Association (OFIA), said, “Since 2013, we have been asking the Ministry of Natural Resources to act on their commitment to establish a panel
that would review the linkages between the Crown Forest Sustainability Act (CFSA) and the Endangered Species Act (ESA). A change in timelines and an extension to the current Section 55 Rules in Regulation is required to take the appropriate amount of time to get things right.” She continued, “These are the affected stakeholders that need to form the panel. 57,000 direct jobs in this province are at stake and we can’t let misinformation get in the way of evidence-based policy decisions.”

Unifor’s Research Director, Bill Murnaghan, concluded by saying, “Forestry is one of the most important sectors of the Canadian economy, shapes many of our communities, and affects a wide and diverse range of stakeholders. Policy can dramatically affect forestry and workers need to ensure their views are heard and their interests are represented. Their livelihoods should not be threatened and undermined by misinformation and policy should be based on solid science.”

About the OFC

The Ontario Forestry Coalition is a grassroots organization focused on ensuring government policy that supports the continued resurgence of Ontario’s renewable forest sector, the maintenance of full-time forestry jobs, the transition to a low-carbon economy, and the three pillars of sustainability.
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Contact Information:

Wendy Landry
Mayor of Shuniah
President of NOMA
(807) 626-6686

Mayor Al Spacek
Town of Kapuskasing
President and FONOM
(705) 335-0001

Jamie Lim
President & CEO
OFIA
(416) 368-6188

Chief Edward Wawia
Red Rock Indian Band
(807) 887-2510

Bill Murnaghan
Research Director
Unifor
(416) 718-8440
Today, the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change released the Minister’s Annual Report on Drinking Water 2017. This report is available online at ontario.ca/drinkingwater.

It showcases how Ontario is taking action to protect drinking water and water resources.

Supporting data on Drinking Water Quality and Enforcement is available on the Open Data Catalogue.


Ce rapport décrit les mesures que prend l’Ontario pour protéger ses sources d’eau potable et ses ressources en eau.

Les données sous-jacentes sur la Qualité de l’eau potable et l’application des règlements sont accessibles dans le Catalogue de données publiques.
November 10, 2017

Dear Clerk:

We are pleased to share with you copies of our new publication, "What Ontario's Accessibility Laws Mean to You."

This booklet is intended for people with disabilities, their family and friends. It includes information on what people with disabilities can expect under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, and what they can do to promote accessibility in their community.

As the central point of contact in your municipality, we would appreciate your sharing this booklet with anyone who may benefit from the information, such as your accessibility coordinator, Accessibility Advisory Committee (if you have one), or community members with disabilities.

Please also spread the word through your municipality's communication channels.

You can order more free copies from ServiceOntario Publications at www.publications.serviceontario.ca or call 1-800-668-9938.

Alternate formats in English or French can be requested by emailing accessibility@ontario.ca.

Thank you for your dedication and commitment to making Ontario accessible.

Sincerely,

Alfred Spencer
Director, Accessibility Outreach, Education and Referral Branch
What Ontario’s accessibility laws mean to you

A guide for people with disabilities, their family, and friends
Ontario has a law called the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA). Its goal is to make Ontario accessible for people with disabilities by 2025.

What you can expect

If you are a person with a disability, you can:

Expect businesses and public service organizations, like schools, hospitals and community centres, to provide service in an accessible way

- You must receive service in a way that respects your dignity and independence as a person with a disability.
- You must have an equal chance like others to get, use and benefit from services.

Expect businesses and public service organizations to have accessibility policies

- Accessibility policies let you know how they will make their services accessible.

Expect employees to be trained on accessible customer service

- Employers must train their employees on how to interact and communicate with people who have different disabilities.

Bring your service animal with you almost anywhere, including restaurants, hotels, taxis and public transit

- If your service animal does not wear a vest or harness, you may be asked to show a document from a regulated health professional saying you need the animal because of your disability.
- You don’t have to say what your disability is.
What you can expect

Bring your support person with you

- Your support person might be asked to pay an admission fee.
- This information must be given in advance.

Expect to be informed when accessible services are temporarily unavailable

- When services such as elevators, ramps or accessible washrooms are temporarily out of service, organizations must provide public notice.
- The notice should explain how long the service will be unavailable and describe any alternative services.

Ask for information in an accessible format

- This includes printed documents and information on web sites.
- If you are an employee, this includes information you need to do your job, information provided to all employees and emergency procedures.
- If what you need cannot be provided, work with the organization to figure out what can meet your needs. They must provide the information to you as soon as possible.
- You cannot be charged a higher fee for an accessible format.
What you can expect

Ask for accessibility accommodation during the job recruitment process

- You can ask for an accessible format for information about the job or application form (if there is one).
- You can also ask for accessibility accommodation for the interview and any testing.

Expect more accessibility in new developments in your community

- Ontario’s Building Code sets the rules for accessibility in buildings. Accessibility must be included in new buildings or when there is major renovation to existing buildings.
- The AODA sets the rules for new and redeveloped outdoor spaces, like recreational trails, play spaces and sidewalks. It also covers indoor and outdoor service counters.
**What you can expect**

**Expect the same fare for specialized transit**
- You will not pay more than others to ride an accessible bus or accessible taxi.

**Expect stops to be announced electronically**
- There will be announcements for destination points or stops on municipal transit buses.

**Expect specialized transit services to have the same hours as other transit services**
- Specialized transit must operate during the same hours and on the same days as any other public transit.

---

**What you can do**

**If you are a person with a disability, you can:**

**Identify your needs**
- It may not be obvious what kind of accommodation you need.
- Explain clearly what your needs are.
- If you are attending an event, provide this information in advance as a courtesy. This will help event organizers meet your needs.
What you can do

Get involved in removing barriers in your community

- You can help your municipality be aware of people’s accessibility needs by participating in public consultations for:
  - Municipal plans
  - Transportation plans
  - Accessible taxis
  - On-street parking
  - Recreational trails
  - Outdoor rest areas
  - Play spaces

Provide feedback

- Organizations must have an accessible feedback process. You can contact them if you’re having accessibility problems because of barriers. They need to let you know how they will help you.
November 20, 2017

Dear Head of Council:

We are writing to announce the release of the Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF) municipal allocations for 2018. In 2018, the province will provide a total of $510 million in unconditional funding through the OMPF to 389 municipalities across the province. As announced in the 2017 Ontario Economic Outlook and Fiscal Review, the province is increasing the total OMPF envelope by $5 million beginning in 2018 to further support northern municipalities.

The OMPF, combined with the municipal benefit resulting from the provincial uploads, will total more than $2.6 billion in 2018, which is equivalent to 14 per cent of municipal property tax revenue in the province.

As you know, the OMPF was redesigned in 2014 following discussions with municipalities from across the province. Consultations with municipalities and the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) have continued over the past year and have focused on refining the program to ensure it meets the long-term priorities of municipalities. The 2018 OMPF balances the range of views expressed by municipalities through our consultations, while reflecting the core objectives of the redesigned program.

The 2018 program will further target support to municipalities with more challenging fiscal circumstances by increasing the Northern and Rural Fiscal Circumstances Grant to $89 million from $82 million in 2017. Additionally, the Northern Communities Grant component of the OMPF program will be enhanced to $89 million in 2018, an increase of $5 million over 2017, to further recognize the challenges of northern municipalities. This builds on the enhancement provided to northern municipalities in 2016. Lastly, the Rural Communities Grant, which continues to support rural communities across the province, will total $150 million in 2018. The farm enhancement of the Rural Communities Grant will grow from $10 million in 2017 to $15 million in 2018 to further support municipalities with the highest levels of farm land.

As in prior years, the 2018 funding guarantee for municipalities in southern Ontario will be at least 85 per cent of their 2017 OMPF allocation and for municipalities in northern Ontario will be at least 90 per cent of their 2017 OMPF allocation. Municipalities in all regions of the province with the most challenging fiscal circumstances will continue to have their funding enhanced up to 100 per cent of the prior year's allocation.

.../cont'd
The Ministry of Finance’s (MOF) Provincial-Local Finance Division will be providing your municipal Treasurers and Clerk-Treasurers with further details on the 2018 OMPF. This information and other supporting materials will be posted in both English and French on the MOF website: http://www.fin.gov.on.ca/en/budget/ompf/2018.

Our government has a very strong record of supporting and working in partnership with municipalities. As confirmed in the 2017 Ontario Budget, we are pleased to fulfil our commitment to the upload of social assistance benefit costs, as well as court security and prisoner transportation costs, off the local property tax base. These uploads will be fully implemented by 2018. As a result of the provincial uploads, Ontario municipalities will benefit from approximately $2 billion in reduced costs in 2018 alone, for a total benefit of over $13.5 billion since the uploads began in 2008.

Going forward, the province will focus on investing in infrastructure, which will benefit communities across Ontario. The government is making one of the largest infrastructure investments in Ontario’s history, more than $190 billion over 13 years, beginning in 2014–15. This includes increasing provincial investments in municipal infrastructure through the Ontario Community Infrastructure Fund (OCIF), Connecting Links and the Provincial Gas Tax Program. These investments will strengthen Ontario’s road, bridge, transit, water and wastewater infrastructure.

The province is also working with the federal government to invest in infrastructure programs such as the Clean Water and Wastewater Fund (CWWF), and is investing up to $100 million of proceeds from the provincial carbon market in a new Municipal Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Challenge Fund in 2017–18. This investment will support projects that reduce GHG emissions, such as renewable energy and energy efficiency retrofits to municipal facilities like arenas, and energy-efficiency upgrades to drinking water or wastewater treatment plants.

In closing, we would like to thank our municipal partners for their feedback and input into the design of the 2018 OMPF program. We look forward to continuing the strong partnership we have with communities across Ontario.

Sincerely,

Charles Sousa
Minister of Finance

Bill Mauro
Minister of Municipal Affairs
Northern Lights Cannabis Corp  
58 White Bear Court, Temagami, On. P0H2H0

November 22, 2017

Patrick Cormier, CAO  
Municipality of Temagami  
7 Lakeshore Drive, P.O. Box 220, Temagami, On P0H2H0

Re: Written Notice

This will replace our notice of August 31/17 as the site location has now been confirmed.

This is a written notice to inform you of our intent to submit an application to become a Licensed Producer under the Health Canada Access to Cannabis for Medical Purposes Regulations (ACMPR). The application will include licenced activities for possession of cannabis seeds and plants, dried cannabis and cannabis extracts/oil, sale or provision of cannabis, dried cannabis, starting materials and cannabis extracts/oil, destruction and production of seeds, plants, dried cannabis and cannabis extracts/oil as well as shipping, transportation or delivery of dried cannabis, starting materials, and cannabis extracts/oil.

The site where the above mentioned activities will be conducted is 22 Industrial Park Drive, Temagami. The application will be submitted to Health Canada on January 31, 2018.

[Signature]

Dave MacDonald  
CEO Northern Cannabis Corp.  
705-569-4568(h)  705-826-0884(c)

c.c Lorie Hunter, Mayor, Municipality of Temagami
Ontario Government Increases Financial Support to Communities through the Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund

November 21, 2017 - Kirkland Lake, ON - The Federation of Northern Ontario Municipalities (FONOM) is pleased with the provincial government’s announcement on November 20, 2017 to increase the Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF) by $5 million for 2018 to a total of $510 million in funding for 389 municipalities across the province.

The province has recognized that municipalities are facing challenging fiscal circumstances by not only increasing funding but also targeting the funding to municipalities that are most challenged. Specifically, the funding will be increased for the Northern and Rural Fiscal Circumstances Grant, the Rural Communities Grant, and the Northern Communities Grant.

"While we are pleased with the increase in funding and for recognizing rural and northern communities are facing unique circumstances, it is important to acknowledge that not all communities are seeing increases. In fact, there are communities across Northern Ontario that will be seeing a decrease from their 2017 funding allocations," says Mayor Alan Spacek of Kapuskasing and FONOM President.

“We would also like to acknowledge the government for fulfilling their commitment to upload social assistance benefit costs and court security and prisoner transportation costs from the property tax base as part of the 2008 Provincial Municipal Fiscal Service Delivery Review agreement,” said Spacek.
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For More Information:
Mayor Al Spacek
FONOM President
705-335-0001
Explore the Wonders of Winter
Official Guide for Fun in and around Temiskaming Shores

Temiskaming Shores, November 23th, 2017 – What a great way to start winter festivities than with Village Noel Temiskaming. Lots of snow is in the forecast, let’s enjoy it to its fullest!

The Building Ties project is very proud to launch this innovative, one-of-a-kind Winter Fun Guide, filled with important information on activities to do this winter, in and around the Temiskaming Shores area. We are hoping that this guide will help promote our winter adventures to newcomers to our area as well as encouraging locals to discover some new places. Numerous activities include ice fishing, snowshoeing, snowmobiling, downhill skiing, tube sliding, sleigh rides, carnivals, curling, hockey, skating and, of course, relaxing in our numerous inns and resorts and delighting in our tasty restaurants. ‘We are thrilled to provide this Winter Fun Guide which we hope will make easier to promote winter activities in our region, helping you to enjoy winter in all its glory. Also, you may discover new attractions.’ says Anne-Marie Loranger, project co-ordinator of the Building Ties.

The Winter Fun Guide is available at the Temiskaming Shores Tourism Information Centre (883356 Hwy 65), as well as in many local businesses and accommodations.

The Building Ties Temiskaming project would like to recognize the support of FedNor, Temiskaming Shores & Area Chamber of Commerce, South Temiskaming Community Futures Development Corporation, partners of the Lake Temiskaming Tour du lac Témiscamingue and local businesses that are assisting us in distributing the guide.
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Anne-Marie Loranger
Project Co-ordinator for Building Ties Temiskaming
705-647-5771
Tdlt.btt@gmail.com

Building Ties Temiskaming

Tisser des Liens Témiscamingue
Fall Newsletter 2017

FONOM Office contact:
Katelyn Guertin, Projects and Operations Coordinator
705-567-9361 ext. 258
3 Kirkland Street W
Kirkland Lake, ON P2N 3P4

Meeting of the Board of Directors' held September 28, 2017 – The FONOM Board of Directors' held their September Board meeting in Timmins to correspond with the Northern Ontario Business Awards. It was a great opportunity to show FONOM's support of the business leaders in our communities. The Board discussed a number of issues including supporting a request to the government to reconsider its approach to tax registration procedures for out of court payments. FONOM received a significant number of resolutions and has since sent a letter to the Minister requesting action. The Board also discussed energy related concerns, broadband services, a pilot project for Indigenous Inter-Professional Primary Care Teams, to name a few.

2018 ROMA Conference – The FONOM Board will be attending the upcoming ROMA conference and has submitted its request to meet with the Premier and members of Cabinet. While FONOM ensures flexibility to include additional matters of concern as they arise, some of the issues of potential discussion include: railway rights-of-way property taxation, fire-medic proposal, public health units, electricity prices, and others. With provincial election year fast approaching, FONOM is looking forward to pressing the government on matters that demand attention and action.

2018-2019 FONOM Membership Fees – Membership invoices have been prepared and will be sent out in the next few weeks to ensure significant time is in place to meet the March 31, 2018 deadline.

2018 FONOM/MMA Northeastern Municipal Conference – Planning is underway for the upcoming annual conference taking place May 9, 10 and 11 in partnership with the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and the host community of Parry Sound. Stay tuned for more information!
Update on policy matters:

**Bill 148, Fair Workplaces, Better Jobs Act**

FONOM provided a written submission to the Standing Committee on Finance and Economic Affairs requesting exemptions be made for municipal employers from the legislation. They included an exemption for municipal employees that are required to be on call to provide public safety and public health services and an exemption for volunteer firefighters for equal pay for equal work provisions of full-time firefighters. FONOM is pleased that the government has recognized the significant implications of these provisions and committed to exempting municipal employers from on-call provisions to ensure the delivery of essential services as well as exempting firefighters from the equal pay for equal work provisions.

**Bill 160, Strengthening Quality and Accountability for Patients Act, 2017**

FONOM provided a written submission to the Standing Committee on General Government focusing on the fire-medic proposal that would see expanded medical services performed by fire fighters who are certified paramedics. FONOM requested that an amendment to the legislation be included that would prevent arbitrators from replicating the pilot projects onto unwilling municipalities, given the current interest arbitration system.

**Ontario’s Cannabis Act**

FONOM attended the Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services' Cannabis Legalization: Enforcement Summit. The summit, which was attended by municipalities, Indigenous leaders, law enforcement representatives, public health and representatives from a number of government Ministries, consisted of roundtable discussions which raised several themes including education and training, better clarification about roles and responsibilities and communication, amongst law enforcement, communities, public health and the public. FONOM continues to advocate the need for resources to municipalities, community supports and law enforcement, local say in determining where store fronts will be located and the need for a long-term strategy to work with municipalities on economic development opportunities.
Forestry/Species at Risk

FONOM continues to advocate on behalf of the forestry sector. President, Al Spacek attending Queen’s Park on November 22, 2017 along with community leaders, Indigenous leaders, unions, chambers of commerce and forestry professionals to urge the provincial government to avoid unintended consequences by rushing Species at Risk policy.

The Weather Network’s application to CRTC

FONOM submitted a letter of support to the Canadian Radio-television Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) to renew The Weather Network’s broadcasting license to ensure that weather information will remain on basic television where it is available to all subscribers rather than moved to more expensive television packages. Access to weather information is important in understanding local weather forecasts and road conditions to help make safe travel and planning decisions.

AMO Task Forces

Members of the FONOM Board are represented on a number of AMO Task Forces, providing key northern perspectives on a number of issues. The Task Forces included are:

Health Task Force
Waste Management Task Force
Marijuana Legalization Task Force
Indigenous Relations Task Force
Changing Workplaces Task Force
Energy Task Force

Next FONOM Board Meeting:

The November Board of Directors meeting was postponed and will now take place on December 12, 2017.
Dear Sir or Madam:

I am pleased to inform you of the release of Building Better Lives: Ontario’s Long-Term Infrastructure Plan 2017. This plan responds to the changing needs of Ontario’s communities and sets forth a vision for Ontario’s long-term infrastructure planning and delivery.

This plan outlines important policy commitments in areas that matter to Ontarians. In this era of accelerated technological change where internet connection is ever more critical to business and communities, we need to focus on improving access to broadband infrastructure and building resilient and substantive infrastructure.

Ontario is also integrating climate change considerations into infrastructure planning to ensure environmental sustainability as well as linking infrastructure investments to social policy initiatives such as community benefits projects and apprenticeships that support more jobs and training opportunities. To further advance community goals, the province’s commitment to a new Social Purpose Real Estate strategy will ensure the broader community need is taken into consideration in infrastructure planning and public property decision-making.

Finally, this plan summarizes Ontario’s first-ever Asset Inventory that includes a description of the condition, age and value of Ontario’s assets by sectors.

The Infrastructure for Jobs and Prosperity Act, 2015 mandates the Government of Ontario to develop a long-term infrastructure plan by May 2019. This plan represents an interim step towards meeting the full requirements of the act and demonstrates Ontario’s commitment to long-term, strategic, evidence-based public infrastructure planning and delivery.

To read more about Ontario’s 2017 Long-Term Infrastructure Plan, please visit www.ontario.ca/document/building-better-lives-ontarios-long-term-infrastructure-plan-2017 and www.ontario.ca/document/building-better-lives-ontarios-long-term-infrastructure-plan-2017/technical-appendix-assessing-ontarios-existing-infrastructure for more information. As we work towards fulfilling the policy commitments outlined in the plan and meeting our legislative requirements, we welcome comments on this plan. It is being posted on the Environmental Registry for a period of 60 days, and can be accessed at www.ebr.gov.on.ca/.
Thank you for your interest and I look forward to engaging with you in the future to support Ontario’s infrastructure investments.

Sincerely,

[Original signed by]

Bob Chiarelli
Minister

Confidentiality Warning: This e-mail contains information intended only for the use of the individual names above. If you have received this e-mail in error, we would appreciate it if you could advise us through the Minister’s website at www.ontario.ca/page/ministry-infrastructure and destroy all copies of this message. Thank you.

Madame, Monsieur,


Ce plan décrit d’importants engagements en matière de politique dans des secteurs qui tiennent à cœur aux Ontariens. En cette ère d’innovation technologique rapide où l’Internet est plus que jamais essentiel aux entreprises et aux communautés, nous devons mettre l’accent sur l’amélioration de l’infrastructure à large bande et la construction d’importants réseaux résilients.

L’Ontario tient également compte des changements climatiques dans la planification de l’infrastructure pour assurer la durabilité de l’environnement et relire les investissements dans l’infrastructure aux initiatives en matière de politique sociale, comme les projets ayant des retombées dans la communauté et les apprentissages ouvrant la porte à de nouvelles possibilités d’emploi ou de formation. Pour faire avancer nos objectifs communautaires, les efforts déployés par la province dans la nouvelle stratégie des biens immobiliers à vocation sociale feront en sorte que les besoins de l’ensemble de la communauté soient pris en compte dans la planification de l’infrastructure et la prise de décision liée à la propriété publique.

Enfin, ce plan donne un aperçu du tout premier inventaire de la province indiquant l’état, l’âge et la valeur des biens de l’Ontario classés par secteurs.


Merci de votre attention. Je suis impatient de collaborer avec vous pour soutenir les investissements dans l’infrastructure de l’Ontario.

Veuillez agréer l’expression de mes sentiments les meilleurs,

Le ministre

[original signé par]
Please be advised that Council for the Municipality of East Ferris supported the Resolution No. 363-17 from the Town of Hearst requesting the development of a pilot project involving a two plus one road program between North Bay and Nipigon.

Monica L. Hawkins, AMCT
Clerk,
Municipality of East Ferris,
390 Hwy #94,
Corbeil, ON POH 1K0
Office: 705-752-2740
Fax: 705-752-2452
e-mail: monica.hawkins@eastferris.ca
website: www.eastferris.ca
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING
HELD
November 28th, 2017

No. 2017-396

Moved by Councillor Rochefort
Seconded by Councillor Champagne

THAT Council for the Municipality of East Ferris supports Resolution No. 363-17 of the Corporation of the Town of Hearst requesting the development of a pilot project involving a two plus one road program between North Bay and Nipigon;

AND FURTHER THAT copies of this resolution be forwarded to municipalities in the Districts of Nipissing, Timiskaming, Cochrane and FONOM.

Carried Mayor Vrebosch

CERTIFIED to be a true copy of

Monica L. Hawkins, AMCT
Clerk
Good afternoon,

FONOM has received a request to write a letter of support for an initiative proposed by a private company, the Canadian Stimulus Fund Ltd. It has been brought to our attention that many of our members have received this request as well.

We would like to advise the membership that FONOM does not support the proposed initiative. There is a lack of information and analysis which does not provide us with confidence. It is also important to note that FONOM has no prior engagement or working experience with this company.

Attached you will find a sample letter that would have been provided.

Kindly forward this email to your Municipal Clerk.

Thank you,

Alison
Sample Letter From
Canadian Stimulus Fund

Dear Member of Provincial Parliament,

We have recently been made aware of a potential investment initiative through a company known as the Canadian Stimulus Fund Ltd.

The focus of the Canadian Stimulus Fund is to create jobs and bring positive economic change to Northern Ontario through investing an anticipated $400M annually into sectors which will drive growth, such as mining/forestry, general construction (private and municipal), tourism and healthcare.

Given the fund has a mandate to utilize foreign investment dollars they require a letter of support from the province in order to prove the legitimacy of their program.

We fully support the Canadian Stimulus Fund and its initiatives and are formally requesting the provincial government provide a letter of support so that we may begin to bring positive growth to Northern Ontario.

Regards,
BY-LAW NO. 17-1379

Being a by-law to ratify the disposal of real property locally known as 6710 and 6714 Highway 11 North, Temagami.

WHEREAS under Section 9 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c. 25, as amended, a municipality has the capacity, rights, powers and privileges of a natural person for the purpose of exercising its authority under this or any other Act;

AND WHEREAS the Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami vested the properties locally known as 6710 and 6714 Highway 11 North, Temagami following an unsuccessful tax sale and, on the 1st day of September 2016, Council declared these properties to be surplus; and they have been listed with a local real estate agent since April of 2017;

AND WHEREAS Council gave direction to staff on October 31, 2017 regarding an offer to purchase both of the said properties and confirmed that direction with the confirmation by-law 17-1378 passed on November 23, 2017;

AND WHEREAS the Disposal of Real Property Bylaw 14-1171, as amended, requires the passage of a by-law with regard to the disposal of real property and notice to be given regarding intention to consider this by-law and said notice was advertised in the North Bay Nugget on Saturday, December 9, 2017;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami hereby enacts as follows:

1. That Council hereby ratifies the authorization and direction to transfer the lands legally described as PCL 15848 SEC NIP; PT LT 368 PL M66 STRATHY; PT LT 369 PL M66 STRATHY; PT LT 370 PL M66 STRATHY; PT TWP OF STRATHY AS IN L T53461 EXCEPTING THE MINES AND MINERALS AND MINING RIGHTS; MUNICIPALITY OF TEMAGAMI, locally know as 6710 Highway 11 North, for the sum of Five Thousand Dollars ($5,000.00) plus applicable taxes; AND the lands legally described as PCL 19161 SEC NIP; FIRSTLY PT LT 312 PL M66 STRATHY; SECONDLY PT LT 313 PL M66 STRATHY AS IN L T79986 EXCEPT MRO; SIT L T207150 AMENDED BY L T382342E; TEMAGAMI; DISTRICT OF NI PISSING, locally know as 6710 Highway 11 North, for the sum of Twenty-Eight Thousand Dollars ($28,000.00) plus applicable taxes to Jeff Hilts and 6393926 Canada Corp. o/a Four Corners Construction;

2. That Council hereby ratifies the authorization and direction to the Deputy Mayor and the Municipal Clerk to execute said transfer and such further and other documents as were reasonably required to complete the transfer of said lands;

3. That the Municipality’s solicitor has the authority to electronically sign for completeness and release any document required to be registered on title electronically;
4. That the Clerk of the Municipality of Temagami is hereby authorized to make minor modifications or corrections of a grammatical or typographical nature to the by-law, after the passage of this by-law, where such modifications or corrections do not alter the intent of the by-law.

5. That this bylaw shall come into force and take effect upon final passing thereof.

BE TAKEN AS READ A FIRST time on this 15th day of December 2017.

READ A SECOND AND THIRD time and finally passed this 15th day of December 2017.

______________________________
Mayor

______________________________
Clerk
THE CORPORATION OF THE
MUNICIPALITY OF TEMAGAMI

BY-LAW NO. 17-1380

Being a by-law to appoint an Acting Chief Administrative Officer for the Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami.

WHEREAS under Section 8 (1) of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O., 2001, c.25, as amended, the powers of a municipality under this or any other Act shall be interpreted broadly so as to confer broad authority on the municipality to enable the municipality to govern its affairs as it considers appropriate and to enhance the municipality’s ability to respond to municipal issues;

AND WHEREAS under Subsection 10 (1) (2) of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O., 2001, c. 25, as amended, a single-tier municipality may pass by-laws respecting the governance structure of the municipality and its local boards;

AND WHEREAS the Chief Administrative Officer of the Municipality is currently unable to fulfill his duties due to illness and the Council of the Municipality of Temagami deems it appropriate to appoint an Acting Chief Administrative Officer who shall have all the powers and duties of the Chief Administrative Officer under this and any other Act while the Chief Administrative Officer is unable to fulfill his duties due to illness or for any other reason;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami hereby enacts as follows:

1. That Elaine Gunnell is hereby appointed as Acting Chief Administrative Officer for the Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami until such time as the Chief Administrative Officer resumes his duties or a new Chief Administrative Officer is appointed; and

2. That this appointment shall take effect on the date of the final passing of this by-law;

3. That the Clerk of the Municipality of Temagami is hereby authorized to make minor modifications or corrections of a grammatical or typographical nature to this by-law, after the passage of the by-law, where such modifications or corrections do not alter the intent of the by-law.

BE TAKEN AS READ A FIRST time on this 15th day of December, 2017.

READ A SECOND AND THIRD time and finally passed this 15th day of December, 2017.

____________________________
Mayor

____________________________
Clerk
Good afternoon, the following correspondence is attached for information:

- Board of Health Minutes (October 4, 2017)
- Q3 Board Report

For distribution as appropriate. Thank you!

Rachelle Côté
Executive Assistant
Secretary to the Board of Health
Timiskaming Health Unit
247 Whitewood Avenue, Unit 43
P.O. Box 1090
New Liskeard, ON P0J 1P0
Tel: 705-647-4305 ext: 2254
Fax: 705-647-5779

"The information in this e-mail is intended for the addressee(s) named, and is confidential. Any other distribution, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply by e-mail to the sender and delete or destroy all copies of this message.

Ce courriel et toutes pièces-jointes sont transmises à titre confidentiel et ne sont destinés qu'à la personne ou à l'entité à qui ils sont adressés et pourraient bénéficier du secret professionnel. Un tel privilège est réclamé de façon expresse. Si vous avez reçu ce courriel par erreur vous êtes prié de contacter l'auteur immédiatement et d'effacer la version originale ainsi que toutes pièces-jointes. Veuillez ne pas diffuser, distribuer ou copier."
1. The meeting was called to order at 6:34 p.m.

2. **ROLL CALL**

   **Board of Health Members**
   - Tony Antoniazzi, Vice-Chair, Municipal Appointee for Town of Kirkland Lake
   - Kathleen Bougie, Municipal Appointee for Township of Larder Lake, McGarry & Gauthier
   - Kimberly Gauthier, Municipal Appointee for Township of Armstrong, Hudson, James, Kerns & Matachewan
   - Audrey Lacarte, Municipal Appointee for Township of Brethour, Harris, Harley & Casey, Village of Thornlooe
   - Merrill Bond, Municipal Appointee for Township of Chamberlain, Charlton, Evanturel, Hilliard, Dack & Town of Englehart
   - Sue Cote, Municipal Appointee for Town of Cobalt, Town of Latchford, Municipality of Temagami, and Township of Coleman
   - Mike McArthur, Municipal Appointee for Temiskaming Shores

   **Regrets**
   - Carman Kidd, Chair, Municipal Appointee for Temiskaming Shores
   - Jean-Guy Chamaillard, Municipal Appointee for Town of Kirkland Lake
   - Jesse Foley, Municipal Appointee for Temiskaming Shores
   - Maria Overtot, Provincial Appointee
   - Dr. Alex Hukowich, Medical Officer of Health (A)
   - Vacant, Provincial Appointee

   **Timiskaming Health Unit Staff Members**
   - Randy Winters, Director of Corporate Services, CEO (A)
   - Kerry Schubert-Mackey, Director of Community Health
   - Rachelle Cote, Executive Assistant

3. **PRESENTATION:** Understanding Opioid Use & Public Health Implications
   *by Kerry Schubert-Mackey & Erin Cowan*
4. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**  
**MOTION #54R-2017**  
Moved by: Sue Cote  
Seconded by: Kathleen Bougie  
Be it resolved that the Board of Health adopts the agenda for its regular meeting held on October 4, 2017, as presented.  
CARRIED

5. **DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND GENERAL NATURE**  
None.

6. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**  
**MOTION #55R-2017**  
Moved by: Audrey Lacarte  
Seconded by: Merrill Bond  
Be it resolved that the Board of Health approves the minutes of September 6, 2017, as presented.  
CARRIED

7. **BUSINESS ARISING**
   a. **Expert Panel Meeting Update – September 29, 2017**  
      Chair Kidd and Randy Winters attended the Ministry consultation meeting in Toronto on September 29, 2017 for Board Chairs and CEOs. Many expressed their concerns with the new recommended health unit boundaries and proposed structure. To date, the recommendations are still under review by the Minister. The Board discussed sending a letter of concerns.

8. **REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER (A)**  
The CEO report was reviewed by the Board for information.

9. **NEW BUSINESS**  
N/A

10. **CORRESPONDENCE**  
**MOTION #56R-2017**  
Moved by: Mike McArthur  
Seconded by: Sue Cote  
The Board of Health acknowledges receipt of the correspondence for information purposes;  
- Northwestern Health Unit  
Resolution 64-2017 to commend the provincial government’s actions to address the root causes through the Changing Workplaces Review 2015-2016 and the introduction of Bill 148, Fair Workplaces, Better Jobs Act, 2017. The Board also proposes to the Employment
Standards Act to expand the pay equity provisions and increase the minimum wage.

- **Peterborough Public Health**
  Letter to the Attorney General of Ontario to congratulate the Province of Ontario and the Cannabis Secretariat on releasing their plans for regulating federally legalized cannabis and hope that the Province continues to use a public health approach such as advertising restrictions, promotion, prohibit the production and sale to youth.

11. **IN-CAMERA**
   **MOTION #57R-2017**
   Moved by: Merrill Bond
   Seconded by: Kathleen Bougie
   Be it resolved that the Board of Health agrees to move in-camera at 7:34 p.m. to discuss the following matters under section 239 (2):
   a. In-Camera Minutes (September 6, 2017)
   b. MOH/CEO Applications-Interview Update
   
   CARRIED

12. **RISE AND REPORT**
   **MOTION #58R-2017**
   Moved by: Mike McArthur
   Seconded by: Kim Gauthier
   Be it resolved that the Board of Health agrees to rise with report at 7:41 p.m.
   
   CARRIED

   **In-Camera Minutes**
   **MOTION #59R-2017**
   Moved by: Mike McArthur
   Seconded by: Kim Gauthier
   Be it resolved that the Board of Health approves the in-camera minutes of meeting held on September 6, 2017 as presented.
   
   CARRIED

13. **DATES OF NEXT MEETINGS**
   The next Board of Health meeting will be held on November 1, 2017 at 6:30 p.m. in New Liskeard.
14. **ADJOURNMENT**
   
   **MOTION #60R-2017**
   
   Moved by:   Kathleen Bougie  
   Seconded by: Sue Cote  
   
   Be it resolved that the Board of Health agrees to adjourn the regular meeting at 7:42 p.m.

   CARRIED

   ____________________________  ____________________________
   Tony Antoniazzi, Board Vice-Chair  Rachelle Cote, Recorder
On Our Radar

Hot topics

Public Health Transformation
Many interest groups are providing feedback to the Minister of Health and Long-Term Care regarding the Expert Panel Public Health Report on recommendations for structural, organizational and governance changes for Ontario’s public health sector within a transformed health system.

Amendments to the Health Promotion and Protection Act (HPPA) 1990
If passed, amendments to the HPPA would permit the regulation of recreational water facilities like splash pads and wading pools to protect the health and safety of children. Changes would also permit the regulation of personal service settings like barber shops, nail salons, tattoo parlours and their aesthetic practices to better prevent infection in these settings. This could result in new inspection requirements for Public Health Inspectors.

Tobacco - Smoke-Free Ontario Modernization
In the spring of 2017, the Minister of Health and Long-Term Care established the Executive Steering Committee for the Modernization of Smoke-Free Ontario (SFO) with a mandate to identify levers across all sectors that can have an impact on tobacco and other harmful inhaled substances. The report will be used to consult with stakeholders to inform a new Smoke-free Ontario Strategy. Health units across Ontario currently receive funding to implement aspects of the SFO strategy. The report was released in October and can be found here:

Legalization of Cannabis
Details are evolving and consultation is ongoing regarding Ontario’s framework and plan to regulate legalization of cannabis in response to the federal Cannabis Act.

Timiskaming Health Unit In Action
Our people – our stories.

The following section contains some highlights for each program area. Activities related to surveillance, research, evaluation and health equity are included within the program area unless otherwise indicated. Mid-year (Q2) and final reports (Q4) will provide program activity status to date.
General Report

In the third quarter, there is an enhanced focus across the agency, involving all staff, in the systematic collection and analysis of information available to ensure that public health programs and services address local population health needs. This includes identifying priority populations and ensuring that the delivery of programs and services are tailored to meet their needs. This work, as part of our annual planning cycle, supports decision making for effective public health interventions and practice and informs the allocation of resources to address local public health priorities.

Chronic Disease and Injuries Program

Staff have been dedicating time to ensure plans and resources are in place to continue our work in areas that have the greatest impact locally on chronic disease prevention (tobacco, physical activity and healthy eating) as well as injury and substance misuse prevention. Topic areas that are emerging for more in-depth assessment include Mental Health Promotion and the Built Environment (helping the parts of our communities that are built to be done in a way that supports healthy living). Other Q3 highlights include:

Tobacco Enforcement  In Q3, THU issued 29 warnings (20 of these were for smoking on hospital property) and 1 charge (for smoking in an enclosed workplace) under the Smoke Free Ontario Act.

Of our required annual Smoke-Free Ontario Act inspections, Youth Access 44/46, Secondary schools 5/5 and 47/50 Display and Promotion are complete. For the Electronic Cigarettes Act, 21 Youth Access required inspections are on target to be completed.

Of the 34 Timiskaming premises requiring inspection under the Healthy Menu Choices Act, 33 had been completed by the end of Q3. Of these, 17 are in compliance and 16 require some follow-up.

Physical Activity & Healthy Eating  We know that the food choices around us have large impact on our eating behaviours. The Healthy Eating in Recreational Settings project has evolved in partnership with the City of Temiskaming Shores’ Healthy Kids Community Challenge. After surveying users of municipal arenas in New Liskeard and Haileybury, results were distributed widely in preparation for the piloting in fall 2017 of new healthy menu options at these two participating arenas – making healthy choices more widely available in the community.

In partnership with the City of Temiskaming Shore’s Healthy Kids Community Challenge, THU hosted Adventures in Cooking teaching healthy eating and food preparation skills to children. The week long program reached 10 children and included an evaluation to determine if the program met its objectives. In addition to this program, THU staff participated in providing training to approximately 15 childcare staff involved in preparing meals and snacks. Information on nutrition recommendations and guidelines was shared along with practical tips for healthy menu planning and creating a positive healthy eating environment.

Q3 was a busy time for our work with partners as delivery of two programs to help people across Timiskaming who can particularly benefit from healthy eating and physical activity support adopt healthier behaviours. These include the 6-month Fresh Start and the 6-week Food Skills for Families
programs. These are a great example of THU supporting other community organizations and health care providers in delivering preventative programming to those who can benefit.

**Family Health Program**

Staff have been dedicating time to ensure plans and resources are in place for effective public health practice a topics of interest for enhancing our knowledge on local need to inform effective interventions locally include prenatal education and parenting. Other Q3 highlights for this program area include the following:

In September, staff participated in the Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD) day in collaboration with Brighter Futures and North Eastern Ontario Family and Children Services to raise awareness about alcohol during pregnancy. On the ninth day of the ninth month of the year, the world remembers that during the nine months of pregnancy a woman should abstain from alcohol.

THU staff have been working with local Family Health Coalition partners in planning National Child Day interactive activities for North Cobalt, Earlton, Englehart, Kirkland Lake and Virginiatown. Since its inception in 1993, National Child Day (November 20th) has made a commitment to ensure that all children are treated with respect and dignity and that children have every opportunity to reach their full potential.

As part of a provincial initiative, local partnership work has been underway between THU and the Temiskaming Hospital to ensure needs of mothers and newborns are met. This initiative enhances the way in which key maternal child screening information moves between hospitals and public health. Public health’s Healthy Babies Healthy Children (HBHC) program staff use this screening information to prioritize home visits and supportive community care for women and newborns. The BORN-ISCIS integration initiative involves moving from manual data collection to an electronic tool that results in more HBHC screens being completed in a timely fashion and results that are more accurate and complete. Since it was launched, the initiative has demonstrated efficiencies for hospitals and public health and improved screening rates and completion. THU and Temiskaming Hospital staff are working together with the BORN-ISCIS coordinator for a January deployment date.

**Healthy Schools Programs**

The third quarter for the school team marks a time of reassessing what public health interventions are needed related to the school setting and the health and wellbeing of the school aged population, to guide our work in the new school year.

The team also planned for the implementation of school immunization clinics. In September consents went out to all Grade 7 students regarding the school immunization program offering free vaccines protecting against Hepatitis B, Meningococcal disease and Human Papillomavirus.

Staff have been communicating with school boards and schools in preparation for the roll out of the Northern Fruit and Vegetable Program in schools. During this first year of the program in Temiskaming, a survey will be done with students in Grade 5 to 8 to collect baseline data as part of an outcome evaluation. This Ministry of Health and Long-Term care funded program involves partnership with the Ontario Fruit and Vegetable Growers, Public Health and School Boards.
THU staff worked to leverage an opportunity for Share the Road funding to have CAN BIKE training for local instructors and provide another bike skills rodeo at a local school reaching 25 Grade 4 and 5 students in September. These students gained cycling skills through a certified instructor and some of our newly-trained local CAN BIKE graduates — promoting safe, active living.

THU staff partnered with our local Student Nutrition Program Red Cross Coordinator to provide training to 5 school nutrition program volunteers representing 3 school boards and 4 schools. Information was shared on revised nutrition guidelines and practical tips for school breakfast and snack programs.

**Infectious Diseases Program**

**Harm Reduction – Naloxone Distribution Program**

In September, Timiskaming Health Unit’s application to be an Ontario Naloxone Program site was accepted. Thus THU staff will be able to dispense Naloxone to clients of the Needle Exchange Program and the general public. Several Health Units, Community Health Centres, and Pharmacies already dispense Naloxone to the general public. Locally, several pharmacies in the Timiskaming District have injectable Naloxone kits available for use.

Naloxone is a short-acting medication that temporarily blocks the effects of opiates on the body for individuals suspected of opioid poisoning (overdose). The kits include: two doses of 4mg of Naloxone inside a pre-filled nasal spray, gloves, a card indicating proof of training, and a poster directing the rescuer on how to administer the medication and provide chest compressions. In 2016, Timiskaming Health Unit gave out 14,000 needles in the needle exchange program; the Naloxone Program is expected to be meeting a need.

**Infection Prevention and Control (IPAC)**

During Q3, the THU IPAC team was busy planning for the local Infection Prevention and Control Conference which is organized jointly with Public Health Ontario’s North East office. The event has a robust agenda including presentations delivered by Public Health Ontario, the Ministry of Labour, and local practitioners to network and discuss shared challenges, and deepen understanding of infection prevention and control practices in health care facilities. Local hospitals, long-term care homes, health unit staff, and retirement homes are invited to attend this local workshop.

**Seasonal Influenza Immunization Program Planning**

The third quarter involves significant planning at THU, for the 2017-2018 influenza season to prepare for promoting and delivering community clinics in October.

Pharmacies began administering flu vaccines in 2012. Last year, pharmacies administered 1,000,000 flu vaccines across the province. While flu immunization administered by Ontario health units is decreasing, pharmacies, family health teams, community health centres, and health care facilities all contribute to the efforts. Some health units have stopped administering flu vaccine due to the impact and reach of pharmacies...
and other providers, however THU continues to offer community clinics including some more remote and rural areas. New this year, due to a review of actual reach/response and resources required, local school flu clinics will be discontinued. Various options for families to receive the seasonal influenza vaccine in the community will be widely promoted.

![Graph showing number of influenza vaccines administered from 2005/06 to 2016/17](image)

**Environmental Health & Emergency Preparedness Program**

**Summertime Priorities for Environmental Health**

The past few months for this program is one of the busiest times of the year for our Public Health Inspectors. Numerous inspections related to safe water take place, including Small Drinking Water Systems (SDWS) for seasonal operations and beach water testing. Similarly, Q3 is a busy time for ongoing food safety inspections and temporary food permits as many summer events take place. This time of year also means we are travelling to remote locations for recreational camp inspections, often having to do so by boat. Our mosquito trapping and testing program for West Nile Virus (WNV) and Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus (EEEV) has been in full swing. In Q3 staff also see an increase in tick inquiries and submissions. The team is on track to meet our mandatory targets for the year, which will be reported in Q4.

**Other Programs**

**Land Control – Collaboration at Work**

The Land Control Program at the Timiskaming Health Unit (THU) conducts valuable work to ensure the safety of the environment by working with residents on proper septic system planning, approvals, and installation.

In addition to many residential septic systems, there can be other unique projects that require support such as at a trailer park or campground. Recently, THU staff worked with the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) and the Town of Kirkland Lake regarding a camp on a local lake. Working collaboratively with the operator, who was eager to comply with any septic system upgrade requirements, THU and MOECC staff reviewed the situation to identify what was
needed for compliance, and who should lead it from a jurisdiction point of view. With support from MOECC the THU team lead the plan and next steps for the camp.

Once the compliance requirements were clear for the operator of the camp they responded quickly with the upgrade project.

This work exemplifies the importance of communication, collaboration and partnerships required in the Land Control Program. The MOECC, THU, Camp Operator, and the Town of Kirkland Lake, worked together for a common goal — protecting the health of our environment and residents.

In the Spotlight Local & Beyond
Public Health Items of Interest

THU Communications and Media Releases — Keeping the Public Informed
There are often topics or situations that we need to draw special attention to in order to promote and protect the public’s health. In addition to ongoing communication strategies, the THU issued the following media releases in the third quarter:

- World Hepatitis Day
- Low Risk Lyme Disease in Timiskaming
- West Nile Virus
- Alcohol is Causing More Hospitalizations Than Heart Attacks; It’s Time to Rethink Our Drinking
- Baby Friendly Initiative (BFI) Designation

With recent amendments to the Immunization School Pupils Act, as of the 2017-18 school year, parents will need to complete an immunization education session if they choose to exempt their child from required immunizations for non-medical reasons. The THU is preparing to provide this education session to the few parents in our area who choose non-medical exemption. This will become important later in the school year when we begin to consider suspension (per legislation) if children are under-immunized without a valid exemption on file.

Breastfeeding is an important determinant of health and has been associated with health benefits for children and mothers. Due to a lack of data collection in Ontario, the Timiskaming Health Unit began collecting infant feeding data in 2012. This involves staff collecting information from mothers at strategic intervals in the postpartum period and subsequent analysis. This information helps us to identify needs and opportunities for interventions along with community partners, to increase breastfeeding rates, duration and exclusivity. This data collection is also a requirement for THU to meet the Baby Friendly Initiative designation. More recently, stakeholders from across the province have worked together to develop tools to allow for accurate, standardized and comparable infant feeding surveillance. The Timiskaming Health Units is preparing to join other health units and shift to this provincial data collection tool.

A new provincial requirement for flushing and sampling water for lead in schools is in full swing. This is being administered by the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change with minimal involvement from local public health units. ontario.ca/page/flushing-and-sampling-lead
Upcoming Events

The following list contains some of the upcoming events and opportunities that THU staff are participating in or supporting.

- **October** - Breast Cancer Awareness Month, Cervical Cancer Awareness Week & National Teen Driver Safety Week
- **October** - Start of seasonal influenza immunization program.
- **October** - Cultural Humility for Effective Public Health Practice training for THU staff - in Timiskaming through a collaboration with the Ontario Public Health Association.
- **November** - Fall Prevention Month with local and Northeast campaign focusing on medication management.

Human Resource Update

The comings and goings of our colleagues

**New Staff:**
- Community Health Worker/Registered Practical Nurse, KL, Temporary-Maternity Leave Contract (*October 2017-June 2018*)
- Dental Assistant, KL, Casual-Maternity Leave (*September 2017-June 2018*)
- Registered Dietitian, NL, Temporary Contract (*September-December 2017*)
- Practicum (Masters in Public Health) Student Placement – (May to August 2017)

**Current Vacancies:**
- MOH/CEO

*Report contributors:* Randy Winters – Acting Chief Executive Officer/Director of Corporate Services, Kerry Schubert-Mackey – Director of Community Health, Program Managers; Ryan Pelers, Angie Manners, Erin Cowan (CNO), Amanda Mongeon. Executive Assistant: Rachelle Cote.
These minutes of this Committee represent ideas or advice to Council. They do not represent decisions of Council and may require further study.

Committee Members Present: (Acting Chair) John Kenrick, Claire Rannie (By Phone), Barret Leudke, Jim Hasler (By Phone) and Barry Graham
Staff: Tammy Lepage
Absent: Cathy Dwyer (With Notice), Debby Burrow (with Notice)
Members of the Public: 2

Call to Order: 1:00 p.m.
The support staff called the meeting to order and the consensus of the Committee is to appoint John Kenrick as Acting Chair for this meeting.

Adoption of Agenda
17-32
MOVED BY: B. Graham
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the revised agenda for the November 22, 2017 meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee be adopted as presented.
CARRIED

Revision is to add item 6.4 Discussion on retaining services from a Planner and/or Consultant.

Audio Recordings
The Committee discussed audio recordings of committee meetings and passed the following motion:
17-33
MOVED BY: B. Graham
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting be audio recorded and that members of the public and presenters be notified that the meeting is being recorded and that for the purpose of this meeting they may request to have their comments not be recorded;
AND FURTHER THAT the Committee hereby requests Council to add committee meetings to its Audio Recording Policy for recording meetings, making the recordings available to the public through the Municipal website, and retention of the recording
CARRIED

Minutes of Previous Meeting
17–34
MOVED BY: C. Rannie
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Minutes of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting held on October 30, 2017 be adopted as revised.
CARRIED
Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and Conflict of Interest
None.

Business Arising from the Minutes
Staff informed the Committee regarding all items that were requested in the last set of Minutes, have been provided within the package for this meeting. Further discussion took place regarding the planning inspection budget and the consensus of the Committee is to reduce that budget to $5,000.

Unfinished Business
Planning Act Tariff of Fees Schedule A to By-law No. 06-684
Discussion took place regarding comparing our fees to other rural communities similar to ours. The consensus of the committee is not to increase the Planning Tariff of Fees Schedule.

Discussion on Mining Location B
Discussion took place regarding the above, and if the duty to consult was complied with during the time of the Official Plan review. M. Cummings, Chief Building Official gave a bit of history the consultation process during the Zoning By-law implementation and informed the Committee that according to the mailing list they were sent the draft Zoning By-law. The publication of the draft Zoning By-law resembled a newspaper article similar to the Temagami Times and at that time the mailing list followed the tax mailing list. He further informed the Committee that he was certain that the mainland development originated from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Land Use Plan.

Mr. Kenrick gave a brief history on mainland development, and the Crown’s policies. Further discussion took place regarding mainland development and staff will bring the information below back to the Committee at a later date.

The consensus of the Committee is to recommend to Council to seek a legal opinion on the following items:
1. Does the status of the buildings have any bearings on the decision?
2. Seek another opinion from a planner regarding the above property.

Clarification from Jamie Robinson regarding OP Amendment or ZBL Amendment
The Committee received this item for information.

Clarification from the Clerk regarding External Relations Committee structure as per the MOU
The Committee received this item for information and the consensus of the Committee is to recommend to Council to adopt this consultation process for the 2017-2018 OP review and to request, through the MOU Committee that TFN & TAA establish an External Relations Committee to review the Draft OP at agreed upon stages in the process and provide comments and concerns to PAC.

Discussion on retaining a Planner vs. Consultant for the OP review
Staff informed the Committee that hiring a Consultant in a firm who specializes in OP can be quite costly vs. hiring a Planner on contract. Staff further informed the Committee that a Planner retained on contract can also accomplish alternate policies that need to be in place such as the Community Improvement Plan and Archaeological policies. The consensus of the Committee was to allow Mr. Prefasi to comment, on the above. He informed the Committee that at the General Government and
Finance meeting, a discussion took place on the Planner vs. Consultant and that it would be an excellent learning experience for the Planning Assistant and more importantly catch up on policies and plans that could be implemented.

Further discussion took place as to the challenges the Municipality faced when looking at hiring a Planner vs. a Planning Assistant. The consensus of the Committee is to recommend to Council consider hiring a planner on contract and passed the following motion:

17–35
MOVED BY: J. Hasler
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
WHEREAS the Municipality would be hiring a consultant for the Official Plan review and a consultant for the Community Improvement Plan and uses a consulting firm for other planning related matters; AND WHEREAS it may be beneficial to hire a Full-Time Planner on contract for 18months to 2 years to accomplish these projects and mentor the Planning Assistant on regular planning matters; AND WHEREAS the qualification should emphasise their past experience regarding official plan development/review and zoning by-law development/review;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Planning Advisory Committee recommends to Council to consider hiring a planner on contract.

CARRIED

**Items for next agenda**
- OP review
- Terms of Reference

**Set Meeting Date**
The consensus of the Planning Advisory Committee is that the next meeting be scheduled for after the Christmas holidays.

**Meeting Adjournment**
17-36
MOVED BY: B. Leudke
SECONDED BY: B. Graham
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the November 22, 2017 meeting be adjourned at 2:24 p.m.
Corporation of the Municipality of Temagami
Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) Meeting
Municipal Office Theatre
October 30, 2017 at 1:00p.m.
Minutes

Committee Members Present: (Chair) Debby Burrows, Claire Rannie, Barret Leudke, John Kenrick, Jim Hasler, Cathy Dwyer, Lorie Hunter and Barry Graham
Staff: Tammy Lepage
Absent: 0
Members of the Public: 6

Call to Order: 1:01 p.m.

Adoption of Agenda
17-21
MOVED BY: J. Kenrick
SECONDED BY: L. Hunter
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the agenda for the October 30, 2017 meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee be adopted as presented.
CARRIED

Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and Conflict of Interest
None.

Minutes of Previous Meetings
17-22
MOVED BY: J. Kenrick
SECONDED BY: C. Rannie
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the minutes of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting held on September 28, 2017 be adopted as revised.
CARRIED

Revision is to fix a grammatical error on page two the spelling of “Strachona” should read as “Strathcona”.

The committee discussed that in the September 28, 2017 Minutes staff was to gain clarification from the Clerk, relating to external relations on committee structure, as noted in the MOU and staff will provide an update for the next meeting.

Business Arising from the Minutes
Minutes of the September 7, 2017 meeting B. Graham as absent and present in the header of Minutes.
17-23
MOVED BY: C. Dwyer
SECONDED BY: J. Hasler
BE IT RESOLVED THAT it be noted in the minutes of this meeting for the record that the minutes of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting held on September 7, 2017, which were adopted on September 28th, showed Barry Graham as both absent and present, and he should have been only shown as absent.
CARRIED
Unfinished Business

Budget
The Committee reduced Conference expenses to $5,000, increased Advertising to $2,000 to incorporate advertising for Official Plan Review; Legal fees will remain at 3,000 and further discussion took place to have a separate Professional Fees GL for the Official Plan.

The Clerk provided the Committee the Official Plan project budget overview and provided that to date in the reserves the balance is $54,230. The Committee reviewed the budget overview, for the official plan, and wants to put in the amount of $40,000 in the 2018 budget.

The Committee reviewed the budget spreadsheet for Planner salaries & benefits wages, and the Clerk provided clarification, that the municipality has not budgeted for a full-time planner for the past several years.

Staff will confirm with the Chief Building Official regarding the Planning Inspection GL and provide an update at the next meeting and, leave the inspection budget for now.

Further discussion took place with breaking up the fee for GIS system between the individual departments that utilize the software. Discussion also took place to update the zone maps as part of the Official Plan review and to get more information on the cost of updating these maps vs. a new map printer.

The Committee passed the following motion:
17-24
MOVED BY: C. Dwyer
SECONDED BY: J. Hasler
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Planning Advisory Committee recommend the budget submissions be submitted as discussed.
CARRIED

Site Plan Control Application and By-Law 07-728
The Committee discussed the Site Plan Control By-law and application form, and will wait to make any recommendations to Council until the Official Plan Review.

Official Plan Step 1 Terms of Reference
The Committee received this item for information only, and discussed that the Chair and staff will review the 2009 Terms of Reference and make up a draft Terms of Reference the Committee can review. Ms. Dwyer informed the Committee she will be able to attend the next meeting scheduled for November 21 @ 1:00 by phone.

Correspondence from Chelsea Leblanc regarding 2 Storey Boathouses
Discussion took place regarding doing a housekeeping amendment to the Official Plan and zoning by-law to incorporate the current provincial legislation regarding 2 Storey Boathouses. The Clerk provided clarification on the process for a housekeeping amendment to the Official Plan (OP) and informed the committee that 90 days prior to giving notice of the public hearing, the OP amendment must be forwarded to the Ministry, and then we must give 20 days’ notice of the public hearing and following the decision there is a 20 day appeal period as set out by the Planning Act. The Committee chose to defer until the review of the Terms of Reference.

Correspondence from Christopher Brown regarding Second Units
The Committee discussed the Second Units and whether to perform a housekeeping amendment now or wait for the Official Plan Review. The decision of the Committee was not unanimous and passed the following motion by recorded vote.
17 – 25
MOVED BY: B. Leudke
SECONDED BY: C. Dwyer
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Planning Advisory Committee recommends to Council to perform a
housekeeping amendment in the official plan and zoning by-law to incorporate the existing legislation
dealing with second units;
AND WHEREAS the benefits of second units are to allow homeowners to earn additional income to
help meet the cost of homeownership; support changing demographics by providing more housing
options for extended families or elderly parents, or for a live-in caregiver; help create mixed-income
communities, which support local businesses and local labour markets; make more efficient use of the
existing infrastructure, including public transit where it exists or is planned; make more efficient use of
the existing housing stock; create jobs in the construction/renovation industry and assist municipalities
in meeting their goals regarding affordable housing, intensification and density targets and climate
change mitigation and greenhouses gas emissions reduction;
AND WHEREAS however, they may be circumstances where second units may not be appropriate
given other planning considerations and policies, particularly relating to health and safety or the natural
environment.
J. Kenrick requested a recorded vote.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAS</th>
<th>NAYS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. Dwyer</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Hasler</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Kenrick</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Leudke</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Hunter</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Rannie</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Graham</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair D. Burrows</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

S. 5.0 Lake Temagami Neighbourhood OP, SMA designation and Zoning
B. Graham declared a conflict of interest on the topic of the mining location named as Ferguson
Mountain as he is the real estate agent for the property.

The Committee discussed the Jack Latter property that the designation and zoning should be a part of
the OP review. Staff will provide more background information for the next meeting including the
 correspondence dated October 7, 2010. Staff will also gain clarification from Jamie Robinson, Planning
Consultant as to the procedures regarding the properties being designated as Special Management Area
and if it is a Zoning By-Law Amendment or OP Amendment for the next meeting.

MV application Form
Staff to gain clarification from the Clerk, as to the procedures when changing administrative forms does
this require the forms to be submitted to Council. Staff to provide an update at the next meeting. The
Committee passed the following resolution:
17 – 26
MOVED BY: C. Dwyer
SECONDE BY: J. Hasler
BE IT RESOLVED THAT that the Planning Advisory Committee support the revised Minor Variance application form.  

CARRIED

**Items for information**

17-27  
MOVED BY: L. Hunter  
SECONDED BY: B. Graham  
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the information item be noted, filed, and recorded in the minutes of this meeting.  
Items for information were:  
   1. Correspondence from Paul Kraehling regarding Final Report on Green Infrastructure  
   CARRIED

**Items for next agenda**

- Jack Latter property correspondence  
- Confirmation from Jamie regarding OP & ZBL Amendment  
- Clarification from the Clerk regarding external relations on committee structure  
- Clarification from the Clerk regarding procedure on administrative forms.

**Set Meeting Date**

17-28  
MOVED BY: C. Rannie  
SECONDED BY: B. Graham  
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the next Planning Advisory Committee meeting be scheduled for November 21, 2017@ 11:00 a.m.  
CARRIED

**Meeting Adjournment**

17-29  
MOVED BY: C. Rannie  
SECONDED BY: B. Graham  
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the October 30, 2017 meeting be adjourned at 3:10 p.m.  
CARRIED
These minutes of this Committee represent ideas or advice to Council. They do not represent decisions of Council and may require further study.

Committee Members Present: (Acting Chair) John Kenrick, Claire Rannie (By Phone), Barret Leudke, Jim Hasler (By Phone), and Barry Graham (arrived at 11:05)
Staff: Tammy Lepage
Absent: Cathy Dwyer (With Notice), Debby burrows (With Notice)
Members of the Public: 2

Call to Order: 11:03 a.m.
The support staff called the meeting to order and informed the Committee that as per the Terms of Reference, they may elect one of themselves as Acting Chair for this meeting.
The consensus of the Committee was to elect John Kenrick is Acting Chair for this meeting.

Adoption of Agenda
17-30
MOVED BY: B. Leudke
SECONDED BY: J. Hasler
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the agenda for the November 22, 2017 meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee be adopted as presented.
CARRIED

Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and Conflict of Interest
None.

Business Arising from the Minutes

Terms of Reference for the Official Plan
The Committee reviewed and discussed the 1st version of the Draft Terms of Reference for the Official Plan (OP) Review and had the following recommended changes:

- To include the sentence from the OP “the Municipality of Temagami OP applies to all land with the municipal boundary save and except for land owned by the Federal Government, land which is part of a first nations reserve, Crown Land and land owned by the Provincial Government” under s. 1.2 Geographic/Regional Location Study Area”;
- The Planner gain statistics from Stats Canada for Bullet point 1.3 Key Characteristics of our Community and include the population of the Temagami First Nations;
- Zoning By-law be drafted concurrently with the OP;
- OP to be updated and not new;
- To include Wind and Solar policies to encourage green energy and how these issues will be dealt with for Bullet point 1.4.1 Matters of Provincial Interest and to also include shoreline vegetation restrictions;
- Bullet point 2.3 Planning Horizon, to include the Waste Management Plan, Capitol Assess Plan, Investment readiness report, and Economic Development plans to assist the Planner;
- Staff to gain clarification from MMA regarding an interactive website;
- Staff provided clarification on meetings held in Toronto in the 2008 Terms of Reference, was to provide the seasonal residents from the Lake to have the opportunity to make their submissions.
• Bullet Point 2.4 Timelines & Project Budget, should read as: “the selection of this project is by tender”.
• One meeting shall be held in Marten River Neighbourhood, Lake Temagami Neighbourhood & the Municipality of Temagami – bullet point 3.3
• Bullet Point 3.4 Committees – should outline the following Committees:
  o The steering Committee be the Planning Advisory Committee,
  o Technical Advisory Committee – Government Agencies
  o The Citizen Advisory Committee.
• That the determination of Reproduction Bullet Point 3.9 come from the planner as to the quantity of the documents to be provided.

Further discussion took place regarding the list of issues should include demographic population trends, employment trends, investment readiness.

Set Meeting Date
The consensus of the Planning Advisory Committee is that the next meeting be scheduled for after the Christmas holidays.

Meeting Adjournment
17-31
MOVED BY: B. Leudke
SECONDED BY: B. Graham
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the November 22, 2017 meeting be adjourned at 12:01 p.m.
These minutes of this Committee represent ideas or advice to Council. They do not represent decisions of Council and may require further study.

Committee Members Present: (Acting Chair) John Kenrick, Claire Rannie (By Phone), Barret Leudke, Jim Hasler (By Phone) and Barry Graham
Staff: Tammy Lepage
Absent: Cathy Dwyer (With Notice), Debby Burrow (with Notice)
Members of the Public: 2

Call to Order: 1:00 p.m.
The support staff called the meeting to order and the consensus of the Committee is to appoint John Kenrick as Acting Chair for this meeting.

Adoption of Agenda
17-32
MOVED BY: B. Graham
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the revised agenda for the November 22, 2017 meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee be adopted as presented.
CARRIED

Revision is to add item 6.4 Discussion on retaining services from a Planner and/or Consultant.

Audio Recordings
The Committee discussed audio recordings of committee meetings and passed the following motion:
17-33
MOVED BY: B. Graham
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
BE IT RESOLVED THAT this meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting be audio recorded and that members of the public and presenters be notified that the meeting is being recorded and that for the purpose of this meeting they may request to have their comments not be recorded;
AND FURTHER THAT the Committee hereby requests Council to add committee meetings to its Audio Recording Policy for recording meetings, making the recordings available to the public through the Municipal website, and retention of the recording
CARRIED

Minutes of Previous Meeting
17–34
MOVED BY: C. Rannie
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Minutes of the Planning Advisory Committee meeting held on October 30, 2017 be adopted as revised.
CARRIED
Revision is to remove the duplicate word “reduced” and add a “d” to the word “increase” on page 2 first paragraph. Revision is to also add L. Hunter as being present in the header “Committee Members Present”.

**Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest and Conflict of Interest**
None.

**Business Arising from the Minutes**
Staff informed the Committee regarding all items that were requested in the last set of Minutes, have been provided within the package for this meeting.
Further discussion took place regarding the planning inspection budget and the consensus of the Committee is to reduce that budget to $5,000.

**Unfinished Business**
**Planning Act Tariff of Fees Schedule A to By-law No. 06-684**
Discussion took place regarding comparing our fees to other rural communities similar to ours. The consensus of the committee is not to increase the Planning Tariff of Fees Schedule.

**Discussion on Mining Location B**
Discussion took place regarding the above, and if the duty to consult was complied with during the time of the Official Plan review. M. Cummings, Chief Building Official gave a bit of history the consultation process during the Zoning By-law implementation and informed the Committee that according to the mailing list they were sent the draft Zoning By-law. The publication of the draft Zoning By-law resembled a newspaper article similar to the Temagami Times and at that time the mailing list followed the tax mailing list. He further informed the Committee that he was certain that the mainland development originated from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry Land Use Plan.

Mr. Kenrick gave a brief history on mainland development, and the Crown’s policies. Further discussion took place regarding mainland development and staff will bring the information below back to the Committee at a later date.

The consensus of the Committee is to recommend to Council to seek a legal opinion on the following items:
1. Does the status of the buildings have any bearings on the decision?
2. Seek another opinion from a planner regarding the above property.

**Clarification from Jamie Robinson regarding OP Amendment or ZBL Amendment**
The Committee received this item for information.

**Clarification from the Clerk regarding External Relations Committee structure as per the MOU**
The Committee received this item for information and the consensus of the Committee is to recommend to Council to adopt this consultation process for the 2017-2018 OP review and to request, through the MOU Committee that TFN & TAA establish an External Relations Committee to review the Draft OP at agreed upon stages in the process and provide comments and concerns to PAC.

**Discussion on retaining a Planner vs. Consultant for the OP review**
Staff informed the Committee that hiring a Consultant in a firm who specializes in OP can be quite costly vs. hiring a Planner on contract. Staff further informed the Committee that a Planner retained on contract can also accomplish alternate policies that need to be in place such as the Community Improvement Plan and Archaeological policies. The consensus of the Committee was to allow Mr. Prefasi to comment, on the above. He informed the Committee that at the General Government and
Finance meeting, a discussion took place on the Planner vs. Consultant and that it would be an excellent learning experience for the Planning Assistant and more importantly catch up on policies and plans that could be implemented.

Further discussion took place as to the challenges the Municipality faced when looking at hiring a Planner vs. a Planning Assistant. The consensus of the Committee is to recommend to Council consider hiring a planner on contract and passed the following motion:

17–35
MOVED BY: J. Hasler
SECONDED BY: B. Leudke
WHEREAS the Municipality would be hiring a consultant for the Official Plan review and a consultant for the Community Improvement Plan and uses a consulting firm for other planning related matters;
AND WHEREAS it may be beneficial to hire a Full-Time Planner on contract for 18 months to 2 years to accomplish these projects and mentor the Planning Assistant on regular planning matters;
AND WHEREAS the qualification should emphasize their past experience regarding official plan development/review and zoning by-law development/review;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Planning Advisory Committee recommends to Council to consider hiring a planner on contract.

CARRIED

**Items for next agenda**
- OP review
- Terms of Reference

**Set Meeting Date**
The consensus of the Planning Advisory Committee is that the next meeting be scheduled for after the Christmas holidays.

**Meeting Adjournment**
17-36
MOVED BY: B. Leudke
SECONDED BY: B. Graham
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the November 22, 2017 meeting be adjourned at 2:24 p.m.
Minutes of the Regular Meeting
of the Board of Management of Au Château
held at Au Château
on October 18, 2017 at 4:45 pm

PRESENT:  Léo Malette   Chairperson
          Bertrand Bizier  Vice-Chairperson
          Denise Brisson
          Yvon Duhaime
          Jacques Dupuis   Administrator / Secretary
          Guy Éthier
          Caroline Lowery
          Joanne Savage
          Nicole Janson    Recording Secretary

01. Meeting called to order

Meeting was called to order.

02. Declaration of Conflict of Interest

No declaration of conflict of interest was declared.

03. Adoption of Agenda

Resolution No. 57

Moved by : Caroline Lowery
Seconded by: Denise Brisson

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Agenda of the Regular Meeting on October 18, 2017 be approved as presented at 4:45 pm.

Carried
04. **Adoption of Minutes**

Resolution No. 58

Moved by : Denise Brisson  
Seconded by : Caroline Lowery

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Minutes of the Regular Meeting held September 27, 2017 be approved as presented.

Carried

05. **New Business:**

a) **Health & Safety Committee Meeting Minutes**

None presented.

b) **Quality Management Team Meeting Minutes**

After clarification of a few items the Quality Management Team Meeting Minutes were accepted as presented and the following resolution was adopted:

Resolution No. 59

Moved by : Caroline Lowery  
Seconded by : Joanne Savage

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Minutes of the Quality Management Team have been received.

Carried

c) **MOHLTC Inspection Report**

Discussion was held regarding the inspection and the approach that the Home has taken to have the Minister review this order.

d) **MOHLTC Letter to Request a Review of the Orders**

After discussion of such it was decided that the results of the Minister’s review will determine what direction, if any, the Board wishes to pursue.
e) **Resident/Family Survey Results**

The survey continues to show improvement from the previous years.

e) **Strategic Plan**

No new developments to report.

06. **Unfinished Business:**

a) **Financial Report**

After clarification of a few items, the Financial Report was accepted as presented and the following resolution was adopted:

Resolution No. 60

Moved by : Guy Éthier  
Seconded by : Bertrand Bizier  

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Financial Report be accepted as presented.  

Carried

b) **Administrative Report**

There being no further discussion other than what was presented, the Administrative report was adopted as presented and the following resolution was passed:

Resolution No. 61

Moved by : Bertrand Bizier  
Seconded by : Guy Éthier  

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Administrator’s Report be accepted as presented.  

Carried

07. **In-Camera Session**

None
08. **Other Business / Information Items**

a) **Next Meeting**

The next Board meeting is scheduled for November 15, 2017 at 4:45 pm.

c) **Information Items**

AdvantAge Ontario – Executive Report – September 21, 2017
AdvantAge Ontario – Action Update – September, 2017

09. **Adjournment**

Resolution No. 62

Moved by : Guy Éthier
Seconded by : Bertrande Bizier

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the meeting now adjourn at 5:50 pm

Carried
Sounds great!

On Fri, Dec 1, 2017 at 3:33 PM Patrick Cormier <cao@temagami.ca> wrote:

Hi Ron,

Adam has provided me with the expenses for Horizon: A 360 Degree Journey, the event put on by the Temagami Community Foundation and Parks and Recreation. I note that the net expenses totaling $284.05 are $134.05 over the budget of up to $150 authorized by Council resolution No. 17-647. We will require Council approval of this overage as they have authorized the budget for the event.

Staff will ensure that this is on the next meeting agenda for authorization.

Thank you for your understanding.

Sincerely,

Patrick Cormier, Dipl.M.A.

CAO

Municipality of Temagami

P.O. Box 220, 7 Lakeshore Drive

Temagami, ON, P0H 2H0

Phone: 1-705-569-3421 extension 204

Fax: 1-705-569-2834
THE CORPORATION OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF TEMAGAMI

NO.: 17-439
DATE: June 29, 2017

MOVED BY:

SECONDED BY:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council receive the correspondence with regard to the Temagami Train Station;

AND FURTHER THAT Council support in principle the Living Temagami expression of interest, with a short term lease to be prepared and reviewed in November and with the Information Centre in the south end of the station.

CARRIED ✓ AMENDED ____ DEFEATED ____ DEFERRED ____

Declaration of Conflict of Interest:

MAYOR: 

Page 164 of 164