

Lake Temagami Access Point Plan Ad Hoc Committee Meeting Municipal Office Old Chamber Space – June 22, 2018

These minutes of this meeting represent ideas or advice to Council. They do not represent decisions of Council and may require further study.

Adoption of agenda: possible additions by members

Meeting came to order at approximately 1:50 pm

June 22, 2018 LTAPP meeting – non-public meeting per Ministry of Natural Resources request per transition government in process.

Attendance: Chair Ron Prefasi, Dan O'Mara, Barry Turcotte, Julian Davies, Allan Eustis

Staff Support: Roxanne St. Germain

Ministry of Natural Resources: Randy MacLaren, Trish Moussa, Julie Robinson

Temagami First Nation - Robin Koistinen, Jamie Koistinen, Chief Arnold Paul

Agenda adopted by consensus.

Priorities for this meeting: Land Tenure, contractor's yard, parking fees, and signage.

Contractors Yard – What needs to be done to function properly including trees being cut which need permits to cut and will be discussed with MNRF after meeting. Parking fees are attached to tenure and expanded parking fee plan will not happen in 2018. Present parking fees can remain. No signs have been put up.

At the January 29 meeting we reviewed the survey mining claims and the Copperfield's/Tech Corp claims.

Suggested to extend present land tenure for a year to allow time to decide what is best way to proceed.

Some consultation regarding renewal of access area and accommodation will need to be done. Over the next year the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry is committed to work with the Municipality of Temagami and the Temagami First Nation to come to an agreement. Environmental assessment will likely need to be done.

Temagami First Nations spoke and stated there are government process to follow and the MNRF are responsible for accommodation concerning the TFN.

Priorities and needs of the TFN:

- Want the present TFN parking lot given to TFN. The TFN helps maintain the area and also contributed money and labour to the creation of the parking area. Doug McKenzie will have the information on TFN costs.
- TFN does not want to be under government or Municipal control.
- TFN present capital projects will require access to barge ramp and laydown area of 19,000 square feet plus 10,000 square feet and a 50 x 20 laydown area and 2 c-cans in the contractors parking lot.
- Temagami First Nation want to expand parking area but will need an environmental assessment to be done. TFN are looking to keep the present TFN parking lot as TFN designation.
- TFN require a new barge landing asap.

TFN spoke regarding Tech Corporation leases/claims to let the Municipality have tenure. Copperfield's leases are in limbo. TFN must be accommodated.

Questions arising from discussion:

How does the Temagami First Nations having interest in the land dictate the price?

MNR - Policy states it must be market value.

Could we pay a percentage of market value?

MNRF - Depends on tenure as to percentage of value. Lease is normally for 21 years. A Land Use Permit is the lowest form of tenure. Policy regards what type of tenure is best for what is being done with the area in use. The MNRF is to look at what different tenure options are more suitable than the present LUP. This process will require several meetings and it may be best to break the items down into sections and discuss at separate meetings. Investment in infrastructure from the public and perhaps do a joint agreement with TFN and purchase land and have a reduced price. Joint ownership is an option and has easements. Long term use best option is a sale of the property. Will have to be investigated. Will have to work this out. Policy is there for disposal of property at less than market value, but is difficult to do. Temagami First Nation presence takes the process to a different level and will include other levels of government and will take more time. Not sure how it would turn out.

What would we do to bring the road into the process?

Other options on table as an addition to the reserve and the TFN can take over that space with guaranteed 3rd party access. Need to find an agreement area. Must keep communication moving forward.

How do you determine if it is a minor amendment?

- Input from public or indigenous reaction, environmental impact.

Order of preference for required tasks:

Barge Landing

- Barge Landing First. Barge landing has turning radius. There are rocks in the water and barges are riding on rocks and damaging them. Trees need to be cut in this area for trucks to turn around.
- Original plan from 2007 did not contain a further large barge landing, would require MNRF and possible Engineers involvement. MNRF says could be quickly doable. Barry Jamie, Dan, Tom Matias and MNR rep to meet and discuss.

Tree Cutting

- Tree cutting and clean out – Trish has been talking with Barry. Need forest resource license to cut trees that are marketable. Email has been sent regarding sizes of trees. Merchantable size is 10 cm plus, marketable.
- Does any tree cutting need a permit? Any development in LUP must have MNRF permission. Count and sizes are to be provided. Environmental Assessment provides authorization. If changing the 2007 Official Plan we must amend the Environmental Assessment and it could be a major or could be minor processes.
- Any modifications must have a plan submitted to MNRF. The MNRF is open to doing what we need. They need to know exactly what we would like to do and when and then will advise on assessments needed. If Municipality and TFN agree it will speed the process up.
- Tree cutting to be reviewed would include development of barge area between landings, expansion of TFN parking area, the barge area turn around, swath of contractor laydown to lake, brush out contractor area, the trail to contractor area to lake area, and pull through trailer areas in old parking lots. View scape to be preserved.

Land Use Tenure

MNRF question – The present LUP expires on June 30, 2018 can we agree to a 1 year extension on present tenure? Legal issues and insurance issues would be an issue and is why the MNRF does not do joint LUPs.

MNRF acknowledge TFN want LUP looked at. A separate LUP is possible, but there is a process, different cost for LUP. Original LUP unknown established price. Renewal needs revisiting regarding costs for LUP. Example of cost are service marina, docking etc..... LUP is 4% of market value to be paid every year. Lease no purchase option 7% purchase at market value paid per year. ATR –Addition to Reserve, users will have access and so will the Municipality. Province of Ontario to transfer to Federal Government and is a negotiated acquisition. What would allowed development be if the TFN had control of the area?

Roads can be transferred with an administration fee there is an option. Need a survey done to transfer the road. The Temagami Lakes Association recently paid \$2,900.00 for half acre with their LUP.

Direct purchase could be a joint purchase.

Expansion of footprint, expansion of services.

Separate 2nd LUP will require fee. LUP fee has to be reviewed as to how it was determined. Looking for creative solution. Over next year work on solution. Cannot transfer or extend and LUP. Should be able to try and use existing fee structure MNRF will investigate. Renew as existing to get done in 1 week. Letter will be sent to TFN and Municipality from the MNRF to commit to long term dialogue and investigation to solutions. MNRF will determine level of public consultation if needed and will scope out the process required. Will do a 1 year LUP. Who will be at table for LUP negotiations? Council will be involved and Council will decide on a smaller committee.

Cannot sublet land use permit. Reserved spots are a service so present process is allowable re parking fees.

Ontario Federation of Snowmobile Clubs land use permit have been cancelled. Snowmobile trails are under Ministry of Transportation control.

Contact info will be shared by Roxanne.

Adjourned meeting at 3:30 pm